

## Three easy points on relative truth

Diego Marconi

University of Turin, Italy

As a contribution to the debate on the intelligibility of the notion of relative truth, I discuss three issues that are of some interest in the way of bush-beating. They are (1) whether relative truth can be explicated as truth in a subjective world, (2) whether alleged relative truth could just be belief (i.e., “ $p$  is true for  $X$ ” = “ $X$  believes that  $p$ ”), and, finally (3) whether plain truth could, or should be defined on the basis of relative truth. The first two questions receive a negative answer, while the third is seen to depend on further decisions on the nature of relative truth, though one particular attempt at articulating the relation between plain and relative truth (Kölbel 2002) is shown to be unconvincing.