

SPACES OF CLOSED SUBGROUPS OF LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

PIERRE DE LA HARPE

16th of June, 2008

ABSTRACT. The set $\mathcal{C}(G)$ of closed subgroups of a locally compact group G has a natural topology which makes it a compact space. This topology has been defined in various contexts by Vietoris, Chabauty, Fell, Thurston, Gromov, Grigorchuk, and many others.

The purpose of the talk was to describe the space $\mathcal{C}(G)$ first for a few elementary examples, then for G the complex plane, in which case $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is a 4–sphere (a result of Hubbard and Pourezza), and finally for the 3–dimensional Heisenberg group H , in which case $\mathcal{C}(H)$ is a 6–dimensional singular space recently investigated by Martin Bridson, Victor Kleptsyn and the author [BrHK].

These are slightly expanded notes prepared for a talk given at several places: the Kortrijk workshop on *Discrete Groups and Geometric Structures, with Applications III*, May 26–30, 2008; the *Tripode 14*, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, June 13, 2008; and seminars at the EPFL, Lausanne, and in the Université de Rennes 1. The notes do not contain any other result than those in [BrHK], and are not intended for publication.

I. Mahler (1946)

Let n be a positive integer. A *lattice* in \mathbf{R}^n is a subgroup of \mathbf{R}^n generated by a basis. Two lattices $L, L' \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ are *close to each other* if there exist basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset L$, $\{e'_1, \dots, e'_n\} \subset L'$ with e_j and e'_j close to each other for each j ; this defines a topology on the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ of lattices in \mathbf{R}^n . It coincides with the natural topology on $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ viewed as the homogeneous space $GL_n(\mathbf{R})/GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$. It is easy to check that the covolume function $L \mapsto \text{vol}(\mathbf{R}^n/L)$ and the minimal norm $L \mapsto \min L \doteq \min_{x \in L, x \neq 0} \|x\|^2$ are continuous functions $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^*$.

1. Mahler's Criterion [Mahl–46]. *For a subset \mathcal{M} of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the two following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{M} is relatively compact;
- (ii) there exist $C, c > 0$ such that $\text{vol}(\mathbf{R}^n/L) \leq C$ and $\min L \geq c$ for all $L \in \mathcal{M}$.

For proofs of this criterion, see for example [Bore–69, corollaire 1.9] or [Ragh–72, Corollary 10.9].

An immediate use of the criterion is the proof that, in any dimension $n \geq 1$, the space $\mathcal{L}^{\text{unimod}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ of lattices of covolume 1 contains a lattice L_{\max} such that $\min L_{\max} = \sup\{\min L \mid L \in \mathcal{L}^{\text{unimod}}(\mathbf{R}^n)\}$; equivalently, there exists a lattice L_{\max} with a maximal

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 22D05, 22E25, 22E40.

Key words and phrases. Chabauty topology, Heisenberg group, space of closed subgroups, space of lattices, affine group.

density for the associated ball packing. (It is a much more difficult problem to identify such a lattice L_{\max} , and this problem is open unless $n \leq 8$ or $n = 24$.)

Let us quote one other application of Mahler's Criterion. It is one of the ingredients of the proof of the following fact, special case for $SL_n(\mathbf{R})$ of a more general result conjectured by Godement and proved independently by Borel–Harish Chandra and by Mostow–Tamagawa [Ragh–72, Theorem 10.18]: *an arithmetic lattice in $SL_n(\mathbf{R})$ is cocompact if and only if it does not contain any unipotent matrix.*

II. Chabauty (1950) and Fell (1962)

For a topological space X , let 2^X denote the set of closed subsets of X . For a compact subset K and a nonempty open subset U of X , set

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \{F \in 2^X \mid F \cap K = \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}'_U = \{F \in 2^X \mid F \cap U \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The finite intersections $\mathcal{O}_{K_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_m} \cap \mathcal{O}'_{U_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{O}'_{U_n}$, $m, n \geq 0$, constitute a basis of the *Chabauty topology* on 2^X ; observe that $\mathcal{O}_{K_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_m} = \mathcal{O}_{K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_m}$.

For example, consider the case $X = \mathbf{R}^n$, and two lattices L_0, L in this space. If K is a compact subset of \mathbf{R}^n disjoint from L_0 , then $L \in \mathcal{O}_K$ if and only if L is also disjoint from K ; if U is an open neighbourhood of some point of L_0 , then $L \in \mathcal{O}'_U$ if and only if L has also one point (at least) in U . It follows that the Chabauty topology on $2^{\mathbf{R}^n}$ induces on $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the same topology as that considered in Section I.

This topology was defined in [Chab–50] (written in French), as a tool to show a version of Mahler's Criterion which is valid in a large class of topological groups (Proposition 3 below). Later, this topology was studied in greater detail by Fell for unrelated purposes, precisely for the study of the appropriate topology on the space of irreducible unitary representations of a locally compact group; Fell (who writes in English) does not quote Chabauty (see [Fell–62] and [Fell–64]). This *Chabauty topology*, or *Chabauty–Fell topology*, or *H–topology* (terminology of Fell), or *geometric topology* (terminology of Thurston), on 2^X should not be confused with other standard topologies on the same space, of which the study goes back to Hausdorff and Vietoris, and for which a canonical reference is [Mich–51] (however, for X compact, the Chabauty topology coincides with the F–topology of Michael). The Chabauty topology is also useful in the study of low–dimensional manifolds; see [Thurs, Definition 9.1.1], as well as [CaEG–87, Chapter I.3], where Chabauty is quoted (but Fell is not). A pleasant account of the most basic properties of this topology can be found in [Paul–07].

2. Proposition. *Let X be a topological space, and let 2^X be endowed with the Chabauty topology.*

- (i) *The space 2^X is compact.*
- (ii) *In case X is discrete, 2^X is homeomorphic to the product space $\{0, 1\}^X$ with the compact Tychonoff product topology.*

Suppose moreover that X is a locally compact metric space.

- (iii) *The Chabauty topology on 2^X is induced by the metric defined by*

$$d(F_1, F_2) = \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 \mid \begin{array}{l} F_1 \cup \left(X \setminus B(*, 1/\epsilon) \right) \subset \mathcal{V}_\epsilon \left(F_2 \cup \left(X \setminus B(*, 1/\epsilon) \right) \right) \\ F_2 \cup \left(X \setminus B(*, 1/\epsilon) \right) \subset \mathcal{V}_\epsilon \left(F_1 \cup \left(X \setminus B(*, 1/\epsilon) \right) \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Here, for a subset S of X , we write $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(S)$ for $\{x \in X \mid d(x, S) < \epsilon\}$; and $B(, 1/\epsilon)$ is the open ball of radius $1/\epsilon$ around an arbitrarily chosen base point $* \in X$.*

- (iv) A sequence $(F_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of closed subsets of X converges in 2^X to a closed subset F if and only if the two following conditions hold:
- for all $x \in F$, there exists for all $i \geq 1$ a point $x_i \in F_i$ such that $x_i \rightarrow x$,
 - for all strictly increasing sequence $(i_j)_{j \geq 1}$ and for all sequences $(x_{i_j})_{j \geq 1}$ such that $x_{i_j} \in F_{i_j}$ and $x_{i_j} \rightarrow x \in X$, we have $x \in F$.
- (v) If $X' = X \sqcup \{\omega\}$ is the one-point compactification of X , then $F \mapsto F \sqcup \{\omega\}$ is a homeomorphism from 2^X to the subspace of $2^{X'}$ of closed sets containing $\{\omega\}$. Moreover, the subset $\{\{x\} \in 2^X \mid x \in X\} \cup \emptyset$ of 2^X and its image in $2^{X'}$ are homeomorphic to X' .

Suppose moreover that $X = G$ is a locally compact group, and let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ be the subset of 2^G of closed subgroups.

- (vi) The subspace $\mathcal{C}(G)$ of 2^G is closed, and therefore compact.
 (vii) In $\mathcal{C}(G)$, a basis of neighbourhoods of a closed subgroup C is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{K,U}(C) = \{D \in \mathcal{C}(G) \mid D \cap K \subset CU \text{ and } C \cap K \subset DU\}.$$

Comments. (i) The proof appears in [Fell–62, Theorem 1]. In general, 2^X need not be Hausdorff, even if X is metrisable; thus in a french-like terminology, 2^X is quasi-compact. However, if X is locally compact and possibly non-Hausdorff, then 2^X is a compact Hausdorff space. In the relevant context and in terms of the geometric topology (defined by the conditions of (iv)), the proof of (i) appears also in [Thurs, Proposition 9.1.6], where it is established that $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is compact for a Lie group G .

(ii) For a positive integer k , let F_k denote the free group on k generators. The space $\mathcal{N}(F_k)$ of normal subgroups of F_k is closed in $2^{F_k} = \{0, 1\}^{F_k}$, and therefore compact. This space can be naturally identified with the space of marked groups on k generators, namely of groups Γ given together with a generating set $\{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$, or equivalently together with a quotient homomorphism $F_k \rightarrow \Gamma$. This space has been intensively studied in recent years: see among others [Grom–81, final remarks], [Grig–84], [Cham–00], [ChGu–05], and [CoGP–07].

(iii) and (iv) See for example [Paul–07], Proposition 1.8, Page 60.

(v) See [Fell–62, Page 475], or [Bour–63], chapitre VIII, § 5, exercice 1.

(vi) See [Fell–62, Page 474].

From now on, $\mathcal{C}(G)$ will denote the compact space of closed subgroups of a locally compact group G , furnished with the Chabauty topology. It has several subspaces of interest, including:

- the space $\mathcal{D}(G)$ of discrete subgroups of G ,
- the space $\mathcal{L}(G)$ of lattices of G ,
- the space $\mathcal{A}(G)$ of closed abelian subgroups of G ,
- the space $\mathcal{N}(G)$ of closed normal subgroups of G .

(Recall that a *lattice* in G is a discrete subgroup Λ such that G/Λ has a G -invariant probability measure.)

3. Proposition (Chabauty’s Mahler’s Criterion). *Let G be a unimodular¹ locally compact group satisfying some extra technical conditions, for example let G be a connected unimodular Lie group, and let \mathcal{M} be a subset of $\mathcal{L}(G)$. Then \mathcal{M} is relatively compact if and only if*

- (i) *there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\text{vol}(G/\Lambda) \leq C$ for all $\Lambda \in \mathcal{M}$,*
- (ii) *there exists a neighbourhood U of e in G such that $\Lambda \cap U = \{e\}$ for all $\Lambda \in \mathcal{M}$.*

¹Recall that, if G was not unimodular, it would not contain any lattice at all; in other terms, one would have $\mathcal{L}(G) = \emptyset$. Even if G is unimodular, it may happen that $\mathcal{L}(G) = \emptyset$; this happens for example with nilpotent Lie groups of which the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} has no rational form; a *rational form* of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 over \mathbf{Q} such that $\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$ and \mathfrak{g} are isomorphic real Lie algebras.

The Chabauty topology provides some natural compactifications. More precisely, let S be a space of which the points are in natural correspondance with closed subgroups of a given locally compact group G , in such a way that the corresponding injection $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G)$ is continuous. Then $\overline{\varphi(S)}$ is a compactification of S . Examples to which this applies are:

- Riemannian symmetric spaces of the non-compact type S , for which each point of S corresponds to a maximal compact subgroup of the isometry group S ;
- Bruhat–Tits buildings;
- the space of complete Riemannian manifolds of dimension n and of constant sectional curvature -1 , given together with a base point and an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at this base point; this is a space which can be identified with a space of discrete subgroups of the isometry group of the hyperbolic space H^n .

III. First examples

If $G = \mathbf{R}$, the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R})$ is homeomorphic to a compact interval $[0, \infty]$. The points 0 , λ (with $0 < \lambda < \infty$), and ∞ correspond respectively to the subgroups $\{0\}$, $\frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbf{Z}$, and \mathbf{R} .

The space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Z})$ is homeomorphic to the subspace $\{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n \geq 1} \cup \{0\}$ of $[0, 1]$, with $\frac{1}{n}$ corresponding to $n\mathbf{Z}$ and 0 to $\{0\}$. [Exercise: the spaces $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Z})$ are homeomorphic.]

Even if the list of easily understandable spaces $\mathcal{C}(G)$ could be slightly extended (exercise: look at $SO(3)$ and at the affine group of the real line), it is essentially a very short list. This was our main motivation to understand two more cases : the additive group of \mathbf{C} ($= \mathbf{R}^2$), see [HuPo–79] and Section IV below, and the Heisenberg group H , see [BrHK] and Sections V to VII.

In \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 1$, any closed subgroup is isomorphic to one of $\mathbf{R}^a \oplus \mathbf{Z}^b$, where a, b are non-negative integers such that $0 \leq a + b \leq n$. For a given pair (a, b) , the subspace² $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^a \oplus \mathbf{Z}^b}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a homogeneous space of $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$, for example $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Z}^n}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^n) = GL_n(\mathbf{R})/GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$, as already observed in Section I. But the way these different “strata” are glued to each other to form $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is complicated, and we do not know of any helpful description if $n \geq 3$.

To describe the case $n = 2$, it will be convenient to think of \mathbf{C} rather than of \mathbf{R}^2 .

IV. Hubbard and Pourezza (1979)

We will describe $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C})$ in three steps: first the space $\mathcal{C}_{\text{nl}}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathcal{C}_{\{0\}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C})$ of closed subgroups of \mathbf{C} which are *not* lattices (easy step), then the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$ of lattices (classical step in complex analysis), and finally the way these two parts are glued to each other to form a 4-sphere (the contribution of Hubbard and Pourezza).

IV.1. The space $\mathcal{C}_{\text{nl}}(\mathbf{C})$ is a 2-sphere.

In $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C})$, the subset of closed subgroups isomorphic to \mathbf{R} is the real projective line \mathbf{P}^1 , namely a circle. Each infinite cyclic subgroup of \mathbf{C} is contained in a unique real line, and the subgroup $\{0\}$ is contained in all of them. It follows that the space $\mathcal{C}_{\{0\}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{C})$ is a cone over \mathbf{P}^1 , namely a closed disc. We rather think of it as the closed lower hemisphere of a 2-sphere \mathbf{S}^2 .

²Let G, A, \dots, B be topological groups. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{A, \dots, B}(G)$ the subspace of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ of closed subgroups of G topologically isomorphic to one of A, \dots, B .

A closed subgroup C of \mathbf{C} isomorphic to $\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ is uniquely determined by its connected component C° , isomorphic to \mathbf{R} , and by the minimal norm $\min_{z \in C, z \notin C^\circ} |z|$. When this minimal norm is very large [respectively very small], C is “near” a closed subgroup of \mathbf{C} isomorphic to \mathbf{R} [respectively is “near” \mathbf{C}]. It follows that the space $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C})$ is also a cone over \mathbf{P}^1 , which can be identified to the closed upper hemisphere of \mathbf{S}^2 .

Consequently, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{nl}}(\mathbf{C})$ is homeomorphic to \mathbf{S}^2 , with

- $\{0\}$ corresponding to the South Pole,
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{C})$ to the complement of the South Pole in the open lower hemisphere,
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{C})$ to the equator,
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{C})$ to the complement of the North Pole in the open upper hemisphere,
- \mathbf{C} to the North Pole.

IV.2. The space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$ is the product of an open interval with the complement of a trefoil knot in \mathbf{S}^3 .

For a lattice L in \mathbf{C} , set as usual

$$g_2(L) = 60 \sum_{z \in L, z \neq 0} z^{-4}, \quad g_3(L) = 140 \sum_{z \in L, z \neq 0} z^{-6}, \quad \Delta(L) = g_2(L)^3 - 27g_3(L)^3.$$

Let Σ be the complex curve in \mathbf{C}^2 of equation $a^3 = 27b^2$. It is a classical fact that we have a homeomorphism

$$g : \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma \\ L & \longmapsto & (g_2(L), g_3(L)) \end{cases}$$

(see for example [SaZy–65, § VIII.13]). By the same formulas, g can be extended to a homeomorphism

$$g' : \mathcal{L}_{\{0\}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}^2}(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^2.$$

Observe that Σ , viewed as a real surface in $\mathbf{C}^2 \approx \mathbf{R}^4$, is smooth outside the origin, and that its intersection with the unit sphere \mathbf{S}^3 of equation $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ is a *trefoil knot*

$$T = \{(a, b) \in \mathbf{S}^3 \mid a^3 = b^2\}.$$

(It follows that the origin is indeed a singular point of Σ .)

The multiplicative group \mathbf{C}^* acts on $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$ and on \mathbf{C}^2 by

$$(s, C) \longmapsto \sqrt{s}C \quad \text{and} \quad (s, (a, b)) \longmapsto (s^{-2}a, s^{-3}b)$$

(observe that $\sqrt{s}C$ is well defined, because $-C = C$). Moreover, the homeomorphism g' is \mathbf{C}^* -equivariant.

Let us restrict these actions to the subgroup \mathbf{R}_+^* of \mathbf{C}^* . The resulting action of \mathbf{R}_+^* on $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$ is free, and its orbits are transverse to the subset $\mathcal{L}^{\text{unimod}}(\mathbf{C})$ of unimodular lattices in \mathbf{C} . The resulting action of \mathbf{R}_+^* on $\mathbf{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma$ is also free, and its orbits are transverse to the sphere \mathbf{S}^3 . And the homeomorphism g is \mathbf{R}_+^* -equivariant. [The restriction of the action to the subgroup of complex numbers of modulus one is also interesting, producing on $\mathcal{L}^{\text{unimod}}(\mathbf{C})$ the structure of a Seifert manifold, but we will not expand this here.]

However, note that the transversals $\mathcal{L}^{\text{unimod}}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathbf{S}^3 \setminus T$ do not correspond to each other by the homeomorphism g . Compare with the first comment following Theorem 4 below.

IV.3. The space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C})$ is a 4–sphere.

The covolume is usually defined on the set of lattices, but there is no difficulty to see it as a continuous mapping $\mathcal{C}_{\{0\}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}^2}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, cyclic subgroups being of infinite covolume. We can therefore consider the set $\mathcal{C}^{\text{covol} \geq 1}(\mathbf{C})$ of closed subgroups of \mathbf{C} of covolume at least 1; it is a subspace of $\mathcal{C}_{\{0\}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}^2}(\mathbf{C})$ which contains $\mathcal{C}_{\{0\}, \mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{C})$.

Denote by B^4 the open unit ball $\{(a, b) \in \mathbf{C}^2 \mid |a|^2 + |b|^2 < 1\}$, by $\overline{B^4} = B^4 \sqcup \mathbf{S}^3$ its closure, and by γ the restriction to $\overline{B^4}$ of the inverse of the homeomorphism g' defined above. We modify γ to obtain a mapping

$$f : \overline{B^4} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{covol} \geq 1}(\mathbf{C}) \cup \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{C})$$

defined as follows:

- $f(0, 0) = \{0\}$,
- if $(a, b) \in \mathbf{S}^3 \setminus T$, then $f(a, b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{covol}(\gamma(a, b))}} \gamma(a, b)$,
- if $(a, b) \in B^4 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is in the \mathbf{R}_+^* -orbit of a point $(a_1, b_1) \in (\mathbf{S}^3 \setminus T)$, then $f(a, b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(a, b)}} \gamma(a_1, b_1)$ for an appropriate factor $h(a, b) \in]0, \text{covol}(\gamma(a_1, b_1))]$,
- if $(a, b) \in T$ and $\gamma(a, b) = \mathbf{Z}\omega$, then $f(a, b) = \mathbf{R}\omega$,
- if $(a, b) \in B^4 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is in the \mathbf{R}_+^* -orbit of a point $(a_1, b_1) \in T$, then $f(a, b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(a, b)}} \gamma(a_1, b_1)$ for an appropriate factor $h(a, b) \in]0, \infty[$.

For a precise definition of the function h , see [HuPo–79] and [BrHK]. It can be shown that f is a homeomorphism.

Moreover, f can be extended to a mapping $\mathbf{C}^2 \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C})$ by defining for (a, b) outside B^4

$$f(a, b) = (f(\sigma(a, b)))^\sharp$$

where σ denotes the inversion $(a, b) \mapsto \frac{(a, b)}{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$ of \mathbf{C}^2 fixing \mathbf{S}^3 and where the *dual* of a closed subgroup C of \mathbf{C} is defined by

$$C^\sharp = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid \text{Im}(\overline{z}c) \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ for all } c \in C\}.$$

(Observe that $C^\sharp = C$ if and only if C is either a unimodular lattice or a subgroup isomorphic to \mathbf{R} .) The one–point compactification $\mathbf{C}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$ of \mathbf{C}^2 can be identified to the 4–sphere \mathbf{S}^4 , and we have:

4. Theorem [HuPo–79]. *The mapping $f : \mathbf{S}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C})$ is a homeomorphism.*

Comments on Theorem 4. By the homeomorphisms f , the equator \mathbf{S}^3 of \mathbf{S}^4 corresponds to the union of the set $\mathcal{L}^{\text{unimod}}(\mathbf{C})$ of unimodular lattices and the set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{C})$ of subgroups isomorphic to \mathbf{R} , the latter corresponding to a trefoil knot $T \subset \mathbf{S}^3$.

This cannot be seen using only the homeomorphism g' of Subsection IV.1. Indeed, whenever a point $(a, b) \in \mathbf{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma$ converges towards a point $(a_{\text{lim}}, b_{\text{lim}}) \in \Sigma$, the corresponding $(g')^{-1}(a, b)$ has a volume which tends to infinite. When (a, b) is rescaled in such a way that the corresponding lattice is unimodular, then (a, b) escapes any compact subset of \mathbf{C}^2 , the minimal norm of the corresponding lattice tends to 0, and the lattice itself tends inside $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C})$ to a subgroup isomorphic to \mathbf{R} .

If we view \mathbf{S}^4 as the suspension of its equator \mathbf{S}^3 , the two–dimensional sphere \mathbf{S}^2 of Subsection IV.1, which corresponds to the complement of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C})$, corresponds to the suspension of T . A pole has a typical neighbourhood inside \mathbf{S}^2 which is a cone over

the knot T (other points of \mathbf{S}^2 have typical neighbourhoods in \mathbf{S}^2 which are cones over unknotted closed curves inside \mathbf{S}^3); in particular, the embedding of this \mathbf{S}^2 in the total space \mathbf{S}^4 is not locally flat at the South and North Poles.

We know that the space $\mathcal{L}^{\text{unimod}}(\mathbf{C})$ is not simply connected; indeed, it is an aspherical space with fundamental group the inverse image of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ in the universal covering group of $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$. An oriented closed curve ℓ in $\mathcal{L}^{\text{unimod}}(\mathbf{C})$ can be viewed as inside \mathbf{S}^3 and disjoint from the trefoil knot T (oriented in some way), so that there is an associated *linking number* $\text{link}(\ell, T)$. An interesting particular case is that of a periodic orbit of the *geodesic flow* on $SL_2(\mathbf{R})/SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, viewed as the unit tangent bundle of the *modular surface* $\mathbf{D}^2/PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, as explained in [Ghys–07].

V. On the Heisenberg group

The Heisenberg group is a 3–dimensional nilpotent Lie group, which is connected and simply connected. We use the model³

$$H = \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{R} \quad \text{with} \quad (z_1, t_1)(z_2, t_2) = \left(z_1 + z_2, t_1 + t_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{Im}(z_1 \bar{z}_2) \right),$$

we denote by $p : H \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ the projection $(z, t) \mapsto z$, and we identify \mathbf{R} to $\{0\} \times \mathbf{R} \subset H$. The following is a collection of easily verified properties.

5. Proposition. *(i) The subset \mathbf{R} of H is both the commutator subgroup and the centre $Z(H)$ of the Heisenberg group; moreover, commutators are given by*

$$[(z_1, t_1), (z_2, t_2)] = (0, \text{Im}(\bar{z}_1 z_2)).$$

(ii) Maximal abelian subgroups of H are of the form $\mathbf{R}z_0 \times \mathbf{R}$, with $z_0 \in \mathbf{C}^$.*

(iii) For any $C \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ with $C \cap Z(H) \neq \emptyset$, the projection $p(C)$ is a closed subgroup of \mathbf{C} (this applies in particular to non–abelian closed subgroups of H).

(iv) For any non–abelian $C \in \mathcal{C}(H)$, either $Z(H) \subset C$ or $C \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.

(v) For any lattice Λ in H , the commutator subgroup $[\Lambda, \Lambda]$ is a subgroup of finite index in the centre $Z(\Lambda)$.

(vi) The automorphism group of H is a semi–direct product $(H/Z(H)) \rtimes GL_2(\mathbf{R})$, with $H/Z(H)$ the group of inner automorphisms and with $GL_2(\mathbf{R})$ acting on H by

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, (x + iy, t) \right) \mapsto \left((ax + by) + i(cx + dy), (ad - bc)^2 t \right).$$

For any positive integer n , we denote by $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$ the subspace of lattices $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ with $[\Lambda, \Lambda]$ of index n in $Z(\Lambda)$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}_\infty(H)$ the subspace of $\mathcal{C}(H)$ of subgroups of the form $p^{-1}(L)$, with $L \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$.

³An element $(x + iy, t)$ corresponds to a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & t + \frac{1}{2}xy \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in the matrix picture $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{R} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbf{R} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of the Heisenberg group.

6. Examples. For any $n \geq 1$, the subgroup Λ_n of H generated by $(1, 0)$, $(i, 0)$, and $(0, 1/n)$ is in $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$. Moreover,

$$\Lambda_n = \mathbf{Z}[i] \times \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{Z}$$

if n is even and

$$\Lambda_n = \left\{ \left(x + iy, \frac{t}{2n} \right) \in \mathbf{Z}[i] \times \frac{1}{2n}\mathbf{Z} \mid xy \equiv t \pmod{2} \right\}$$

if n is odd. In the matrix picture for H , we have $\Lambda_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{Z} & \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{Z} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbf{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for all n .

The subgroup of H generated by $(1, 0)$, $(i, 0)$, and $Z(H)$ is in $\mathcal{L}_\infty(H)$.

VI. Three subspaces of $\mathcal{C}(H)$

The space $\mathcal{C}(H)$ consists of several parts which, as it is the case for $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C})$, are relatively easy to describe, and their gluing is harder. From Proposition 5, the space $\mathcal{C}(H)$ consists of three parts:

- the space $\mathcal{A}(H)$ of closed abelian subgroups,
- the space $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ of closed subgroups of H containing $Z(H)$,
- the space of lattices $\mathcal{L}(H) = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n(H)$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is disjoint from $\mathcal{A}(H) \cup \mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$.

VI.1. The space $\mathcal{A}(H)$ of abelian subgroups.

From Proposition 5.ii, the space $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2}(H)$ of maximal abelian subgroups of H is homeomorphic to the projective line $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{C}) \approx \mathbf{P}^1$ of lines in the space $H/Z(H) \approx \mathbf{R}^2$. For a closed abelian subgroup A of H , there are two cases to distinguish:

- (i) if $A \not\subseteq Z(H)$, then A is contained in a unique maximal abelian subgroup of H ,
- (ii) if $A \subseteq Z(H)$, then A is contained in all maximal abelian subgroups of H .

If one could identify coherently each maximal abelian subgroup of H to a copy of \mathbf{R}^2 , the space $\mathcal{A}(H)$ would simply be the quotient of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2}(H) \times \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C}) \approx \mathbf{S}^1 \times \mathbf{S}^4$ by the relation identifying each of the circle (A_{\max}, C) to a point (for A_{\max} in the circle $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2}(H)$, and for $C \subset Z(H)$ fixed). This identification is possible locally, but not globally; indeed, even once H is furnished with some Riemannian structure, each A_{\max} can be identified to \mathbf{C} in two ways, corresponding to the two orientations of A_{\max} . However, the following can be shown (Proposition 6.1.i of [BrHK]):

7. Proposition. *There exists in \mathbf{S}^4 a tame closed interval I , corresponding in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C})$ to the subspace of closed subgroups contained in \mathbf{R} , such that*

$$\mathcal{A}(H) \approx \left(S^1 \times S^4 \right) / \left((\varphi, x) \sim (\varphi', x), \text{ for all } \varphi, \varphi' \in \mathbf{S}^1 \text{ and } x \in I \right).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{A}(H)$ is a space of dimension 5.

VI.2. The space $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ of groups containing the centre $Z(H)$.

As a straightforward consequence of the results of Section IV, we have:

8. Proposition. *The space $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C})$, namely to a 4-dimensional sphere. Moreover, the intersection $\mathcal{A}(H) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ is embedded in $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ as a closed 2-disc.*

More precisely, this intersection $\mathcal{A}(H) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ is the lower hemisphere of the 2-sphere described in the comments which follow Theorem 4. Observe that the complement of this 2-sphere in $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ is precisely the space $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(H)$ of subgroups of the form $p^{-1}(L)$ for $L \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$, as defined just before Example 6.

VI.3. The space $\mathcal{L}(H)$ of lattices in H .

Consider an integer $n \geq 1$, a lattice $\Lambda_0 \in \mathcal{L}_n(H)$, and denote by $L_0 = p(\Lambda_0) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$ its projection. Choose a positively oriented basis (z_0, z'_0) of L_0 and two points $(z_0, t_0) \in \Lambda_0 \cap p^{-1}(z_0)$, $(z'_0, t'_0) \in \Lambda_0 \cap p^{-1}(z'_0)$. The infinite cyclic subgroup $[\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0]$ is generated by the commutator

$$[(z_0, t_0), (z'_0, t'_0)] = (0, \operatorname{Im}(\overline{z_0} z'_0)) = (0, \operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{C}/L_0)),$$

and Λ_0 itself is generated by (z_0, t_0) , (z'_0, t'_0) , and $(0, \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{C}/L_0))$.

For any nearby lattice $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_n(H)$, there exist a unique positively oriented basis (z, z') of $L \doteq p(\Lambda)$ near (z_0, z'_0) and numbers t, t' near t_0, t'_0 such that (z, t) , (z', t') , and $(0, \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{C}/L))$ generate Λ . Moreover, any lattice in $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$ with projection L is generated by elements of the form (z, t) , (z', t') , and $(0, \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{C}/L))$, for some $t, t' \in [0, \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{C}/L)]$.

It is easy to check that the subgroup $GL_2(\mathbf{R})$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$, see Proposition 5.vi, operates on $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$ in such a way that, for any orbit \mathcal{O} , we have

$$\{L \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C}) \mid L = p(\Lambda) \text{ for some } \Lambda \in \mathcal{O}\} = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C}).$$

Moreover, the group of inner automorphisms operates transitively on the set of possible choices for t, t' . We have essentially proved the first two claims of the following proposition; for details and for the last claim, see [BrHK, Section 7].

9. Proposition. *For each $n \geq 1$, the space $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$ is both*

(i) *a torus bundle with base space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$,*

(ii) *a homogeneous space of the 6-dimensional Lie group $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ by a discrete subgroup.*

For $n, n' \geq 1$, the spaces $\mathcal{L}_n(H), \mathcal{L}_{n'}(H)$ are homeomorphic to each other; moreover, the torus bundles $\mathcal{L}_n(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n'}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$ are isomorphic.

VI.4. Summing up for $\mathcal{A}(H)$, $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$, and $\mathcal{L}(H)$.

Let $(\varphi_s)_{s>0}$ be the one-parameter subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ defined by $\varphi_s(z, t) = (sz, s^2t)$. We have $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_s(D) = \{e\}$ for any discrete subgroup D of H and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \varphi_s(\Lambda) = H$ for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. Since $\mathcal{A}(H)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ are clearly arc-connected (Propositions 7 and 8), and contain respectively $\{e\}$ and H , it follows that $\mathcal{C}(H)$ is arc-connected. This is the very first part of the following theorem, one of the two theorems which summarise the results in [BrHK]; the space $\mathcal{C}(H)$ is not locally connected because any neighbourhood of a point in $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(H)$ is disconnected, containing points from $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$ for n large enough.

10. Theorem (Theorem 1.3 in [BrHK]). *The compact space $\mathcal{C}(H)$ is arc-connected but not locally connected. It can be expressed as the union of the following three subspaces.*

- (i) *$\mathcal{L}(H)$, which is open and dense in $\mathcal{C}(H)$; this has countably many connected components $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$, each of which is homeomorphic to a fixed aspherical 6-manifold that is a 2-torus bundle over $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C}) \approx GL_2(\mathbf{R})/GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$.*

- (ii) $\mathcal{A}(H)$, which is homeomorphic to the space obtained from $\mathbf{S}^4 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ by fixing a tame arc $I \subset \mathbf{S}^4$ and collapsing each of the circles $\{\{i\} \times \mathbf{P}^1 : i \in I\}$ to a point.
- (iii) $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$, from which there is a natural homeomorphism to \mathbf{S}^4 ; the complement of $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(H)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ is a 2-sphere $\Sigma^2 \subset \mathbf{S}^4$ (which fails to be locally flat at two points).

The union $\mathcal{A}(H) \cup \mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ is the complement of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ in $\mathcal{C}(H)$. The intersection

$$\mathcal{A}(H) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H) = \{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H) \mid p(C) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\{0\}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{C})\}$$

is a closed 2-disc in Σ^2 . The space $\mathcal{L}(H) \cup \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(H)$ is precisely $\{C \in \mathcal{C}(H) \mid p(C) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})\}$.

$\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ is a weak retract of $\mathcal{C}(H)$: there exists a continuous map $f : \mathcal{C}(H) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^4$, constant on $\mathcal{A}(H)$, such that⁴ $f \circ j \simeq \text{id}_{\mathbf{S}^4}$, where $j : \mathbf{S}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ is the homeomorphism of (iii). In particular, $\pi_4(\mathcal{C}(H))$ surjects onto \mathbf{Z} .

The subspace $\mathcal{N}(H)$ of normal closed subgroups of H is the union of $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ (which is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C}) \approx \mathbf{S}^4$) and the closed interval $\{C \in \mathcal{C}(H) \mid C \subset Z(H)\}$, attached to the sphere $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ by one of its endpoints.

We would like to know more generally when $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(G)$, say for a unimodular connected Lie group G .

VII. The space $\mathcal{C}(H)$

As an illustration of the density of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ in $\mathcal{C}(H)$, let us describe the following simple

11. Example. Let $n \geq 1$ be fixed. For any integer $k \geq 1$, let A_k denote the subgroup of $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ generated by $(1, 0)$ and $(-\frac{1}{k}, 1)$; let A denote the subgroup \mathbf{Z}^2 of $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; and let Λ_k denote the subgroup of H generated by A_k and $(-ik^2n, 0)$.

Then $\Lambda_k \in \mathcal{L}_n(H)$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k = A$ in $\mathcal{C}(H)$.

Remark, and consequence of this example. Here, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ is viewed as a subgroup of $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{R} = H$; in particular, it should not be confused with \mathbf{C} . (Inside $H = \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{R}$, note that \mathbf{C} is not a subgroup.) Observe that $p(A_k)$ is a lattice in \mathbf{C} for each $k \geq 1$, but the projection of the limit, $p(A)$, is a cyclic subgroup of \mathbf{C} .

It follows from Example 11 that the frontier of $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$ in $\mathcal{C}(H)$ contains the closure of the $\text{Aut}(H)$ -orbit of A , and it is a fact that this closure coincides with $\mathcal{A}(H)$ (Proposition 6.1.ii in [BrHK]). Moreover, it can be seen that the frontier of $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$ contains $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$.

The previous argument shows part of the following theorem, again copied from [BrHK], by which we will end this account. Recall that we denote here by Σ^2 the topologically embedded sphere in $\mathcal{C}_{\geq Z}(H)$ which corresponds to the $\mathbf{S}^2 \subset \mathbf{S}^4$ in the comments on Theorem 4. The symbols \mathbf{P}^2 and \mathbf{K} stand respectively for a real projective plane and a Klein bottle.

12. Theorem (Theorem 1.4 in [BrHK]). The spaces $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$ are homeomorphic to a common aspherical homogeneous space, namely the quotient of the 6-dimensional automorphism group $\text{Aut}(H) \cong \mathbf{R}^2 \rtimes GL_2(\mathbf{R})$ by the discrete subgroup $\mathbf{Z}^2 \rtimes GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$.

The frontier of $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$, which is independent of n , consists of the following subspaces:

- (i) the trivial group $\{e\}$;

⁴Here, \simeq denotes homotopy equivalence.

- (ii) $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}}(H) \approx \mathbf{P}^2$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Z}}(H) \approx \mathbf{P}^2 \times]0, \infty[$;
- (iv) $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2}(H) \approx \mathbf{P}^1$;
- (v) $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{Z}}(H) \approx \mathbf{K} \times]0, \infty[$, which is a $(\mathbf{P}^1 \times]0, \infty[)$ -bundle over \mathbf{P}^1 ;
- (vi) $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Z}^2}(H)$, which is a $(\mathbf{S}^4 \setminus \Sigma^2)$ -bundle over \mathbf{P}^1 ;
- (vii) $p_*^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{C}))$;
- (viii) the full group H .

In particular, the frontier of $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$ is the union of $\mathcal{A}(H)$ and the complement Σ^2 of $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(H)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\geq \mathbf{Z}}(H)$; the part $\mathcal{A}(H)$ is itself the union of the subspaces (i) to (vi), and $\Sigma^2 \setminus (\Sigma^2 \cap \mathcal{A}(H))$ is itself the union of the subspaces (vii) and (viii). The frontier of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n(H)$ further contains

- (ix) $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(H)$.

Each of these spaces, except (vi), consists of finitely many $\text{Aut}(H)$ -orbits.

Observe that, as $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is open dense, the spaces (i) to (ix) of Theorem 1.4 together with the spaces $\mathcal{L}_n(H)$ for $n \geq 1$ constitute a partition of $\mathcal{C}(H)$.

REFERENCES

- Bore–69. A. Borel, *Introduction aux groupes arithmétiques*, Hermann, 1969.
- Bour–63. N. Bourbaki, *Intégration, chapitres 7 et 8*, Hermann, 1963.
- BrHK. M. Bridson, P. de la Harpe, and V. Kleptsyn, *The Chabauty space of closed subgroups of the three-dimensional Heisenberg group*, Preprint (2007), posted on arXiv.
- CaEG–87. R.D. Canary, D.B.A. Epstein, and P.L. Green, *Notes on notes of Thurston*, in “Analytical and geometrical aspects of hyperbolic spaces”, D.B.A. Epstein Editor, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series **111** (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987), 3–92 [reprinted with a new foreword by R.D. Canary in “Fundamental of hyperbolic manifolds: selected expositions”, R. Canary, D. Epstein, and A. Marden Editors, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series **328** (Cambridge Univ. Press 2006), pages 1–115].
- Chab–50. C. Chabauty, *Limite d’ensembles et géométrie des nombres*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **78** (1950), 143–151.
- Cham–00. C. Champetier, *L’espace des groupes de type fini*, Topology **39** (2000), 657–680.
- ChGu–05. C. Champetier and V. Guirardel, *Limit groups as limits of free groups: compactifying the set of free groups*, Israel J. Math. **146** (2005), 1–76.
- CoGP–07. Y. de Cornulier, L. Guyot, and W. Pitsch, *On the isolated points in the space of groups*, J. of Algebra **307** (2007), 254–277.
- Fell–62. J.M.G. Fell, *A Hausdorff topology for the closed subsets of a locally compact non Hausdorff space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 472–476.
- Fell–64. J.M.G. Fell, *Weak containment and induced representations of groups. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1964), 424–447.
- Ghys–07. E. Ghys, *Knots and dynamics*, Proceedings ICM, Madrid, 2006, Volume I, Plenary lectures and ceremonies, European Math. Soc. (2007), 247–277.
- Grig–84. R. Grigorchuk, *Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means*, Math. USSR Izv. **25** (1985), 259–300.
- Grom–81. M. Gromov, *Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps*, Publ. Inst. Hautes Études Scient. **53** (1981), 53–73.
- HuPo–79. J. Hubbard and I. Poureza, *The space of closed subgroups of \mathbf{R}^2* , Topology **18** (1979), 143–146.
- Mich–51. E. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1951), 151–182.
- Mahl–46. K. Mahler, *On lattice points in n -dimensional star bodies : I. Existence theorems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London **A, 187** (1946), 151–187.
- Paul–07. F. Paulin, *De la géométrie et de la dynamique de $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ et $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$* , in “Sur la dynamique des groupes de matrices et applications arithmétiques”, N. Berline, A. Plagne & C. Sabbah Éditeurs, Éditions de l’École Polytechnique (2007), 47–110.

- Ragh-72. M.S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer, 1972.
SaZy-65. S. Saks and A. Zygmund, *Analytic functions*, Second Edition, Polish Scientific Publishers, 1965.
Thurs. W.P. Thurston, *The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, Circulated notes from a course in Princeton University, 1978–1980.

OTHER REFERENCES RELATED TO CHABAUTY'S PAPER
MATH REVIEWS CITATIONS

- Grom-81. M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic manifolds (according to Thurston and Jørgensen)*, *Bourbaki Seminar, Vol. 1979/80*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **842** (1981), 40–53.
Mumf-71. D. Mumford, *A remark on Mahler's compactness theorem*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28** (1971), 289–294.
Sant-55. L.A. Santaló, *On geometry of numbers*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **7** (1955), 208–213.
Wang-68. S.P. Wang, *Limit of lattices in a Lie group*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (1968), 519–526.
Wang-69. S.P. Wang, *On a conjecture by Chabauty*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (1969), 569–572.
Wang-70a. S.P. Wang, *On the limit of subgroups in a group*, *Amer. J. Math.* **92** (1970), 708–724.
Wang-70b. S.P. Wang, *Some properties of lattices in a Lie group*, *Illinois J. Math.* **14** (1970), 35–39.
Wang-75. S.P. Wang, *On isolated points in the dual spaces of locally compact groups*, *Math. Ann.* **218** (1975), 19–34.

PIERRE DE LA HARPE, SECTION DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE, C.P. 64,
CH-1211 GENÈVE 4. E-MAIL: PIERRE.DELAHARPE@MATH.UNIGE.CH