

# The parametrix construction of the heat kernel on a graph

Gautam Chinta    Jay Jorgenson \*    Anders Karlsson †    Lejla Smajlović

August 9, 2023

## Abstract

In this paper we develop the parametrix approach for constructing the heat kernel on a graph  $G$ . In particular, we highlight two specific cases. First, we consider the case when  $G$  is embedded in a Euclidean domain or manifold  $\Omega$ , and we use a heat kernel associated to  $\Omega$  to obtain a formula for the heat kernel on  $G$ . Second, we consider when  $G$  is a subgraph of a larger graph  $\tilde{G}$ , and we obtain a formula for the heat kernel on  $G$  from the heat kernel on  $\tilde{G}$  restricted to  $G$ .

## 1 Introduction

A heat kernel is the fundamental solution to a heat equation in a domain, manifold or graph, which may be subject to certain boundary conditions. Heat kernels have proved useful when studying a variety of problems, from geometry and topology to combinatorics and mathematical physics; see, for example [Gr09] or [JoLa01] for some general discussion as to the many manifestations of heat kernel techniques throughout mathematics. Algebraically, the heat kernel can be viewed as a generating function of the spectrum of the Laplace operator, in instances when its spectrum is discrete.

In this article we will prove a construction of the heat kernel on graphs analogous to the parametrix construction in Riemannian geometry, [MP49, Ch84, Ro97]. The parametrix approach plays an important role in geometry and in varied applications such as machine learning [LL05] and quantum field theory [Av01]. In brief, this approach to constructing the heat kernel on a Riemannian manifold  $M$  begins with an initial approximation which could, for example, come from the Euclidean heat kernel patched together on local charts. This is then refined through an iterative process. The successive refinements provide an increasingly precise small time asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel.

We will describe the construction of the heat kernel on a finite graph by starting with a general parametrix. We pay particular attention to situations in which a finite graph  $G$  is embedded in an ambient space, which may be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a manifold or another larger graph. Then we may take our initial approximation in the parametrix construction to be the heat kernel on the ambient space. This allows us to extend our results to construction of the heat kernel on infinite subgraphs with a finite boundary, see Example 14 below in which we explicitly compute the heat kernel on a half-line. A similar construction in the special case when  $G$  is embedded in a complete graph is considered in [LNY21]. In Section 6 below we

---

\*The second-named author acknowledges grant support from PSC-CUNY Award 65400-00-53, which was jointly funded by the Professional Staff Congress and The City University of New York.

†The third-named author acknowledges grant support by the Swiss NSF grants 200020-200400, 200021-212864 and the Swedish Research Council grant 104651320.

relate our method to the approach in [LNY21] and show how our construction implies one of the main results of [LNY21].

Let us now be more specific in describing the results of this article. Let  $G$  be a finite, edge-weighted graph with finite vertex set  $VG$  and nonnegative weight function  $w : VG \times VG \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $w \mapsto w_{xy}$ . When  $w_{xy} = 0$  we say there is no edge between  $x$  and  $y$ . Furthermore, we assume the symmetry  $w_{xy} = w_{yx}$  holds for all  $x, y \in VG$ . This data defines the Laplace operator  $\Delta_G$  on functions  $f : VG \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\Delta_G f(x) = \sum_{y \in VG} (f(x) - f(y))w_{xy}.$$

Note that the sum in fact is taken over the neighbors of  $x$ , that is, over the vertices  $y$  with weight  $w_{xy} > 0$ . The *heat kernel* on the graph  $G$  associated to the weighted graph Laplacian  $\Delta_{G, v_1}$  acting on functions in the first variable  $v_1$ , is the unique solution  $H_G(v_1, v_2; t)$  to the differential equation

$$\left( \Delta_{G, v_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) H_G(v_1, v_2; t) = 0$$

with the property that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H_G(v_1, v_2; t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_1 = v_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } v_1 \neq v_2. \end{cases}$$

For the proof of the existence and uniqueness of the heat kernel on  $G$ , we refer to [Do84] and [DM06]. We do not normalize the Laplacian  $\Delta_G f(x)$  by dividing the sum defining it by the weighted degree  $\mu(x) = \sum_{y \in VG} w_{xy}$  of  $x$ ; this normalization appears mostly when discussing stochastic properties of graphs, see e.g. [Wo21] and the extensive bibliography there. However, the parametrix construction of the heat kernel given in our main result Theorem 5 can be carried out in the same manner for the “normalized” Laplacian.

The aim of this paper is to describe a parametrix approach to the heat kernel on  $G$ , inspired by the work of Minakshisundaram and Minakshisundaram and Pleijel, see [Mi49], [Mi53] and [MP49] as well as [Ch84]. After we give a reasonably general construction, we will highlight the following two special cases.

1. When the set  $VG$  is a subset of a bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we show that the parametrix of an arbitrary order for the heat kernel on  $G$  can be obtained from the heat kernel on  $\Omega$  and a certain partition of unity depending upon a (Dirichlet-)Voronoi decomposition of  $\Omega$  induced by  $G$ .
2. When  $G$  is a finite or infinite subgraph of a graph  $\tilde{G}$ , we prove that the heat kernel on  $\tilde{G}$  can be used as a parametrix of order zero in the construction of the heat kernel on  $G$ , under the technical assumption that the boundary  $\partial G$  of  $G$  when viewed as a subgraph of  $\tilde{G}$  has a finite number of vertices.

Any new expression for the heat kernel is potentially a source for interesting new identities, particularly in the settings where the heat kernel is unique. For example, when studying continuous functions on the continuous circle, one can write the heat kernel either through its spectral expansion or through the periodization of the heat kernel on the covering space. In doing so, one immediately obtains a classical theta inversion formula, which itself is logically equivalent to the one-variable Poisson summation formula. A similar argument in the setting of compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces yields a quick proof of the Selberg trace formula; see Remark 3.3 of [GJ18]. In the case of a heat kernel on a discrete circle with  $N$  points, then similar considerations in [KN06] lead to “heat kernel proofs” of  $I$ -Bessel identities. See

also [Gr22] and [CHJSV23] for proofs of trigonometric identities using the uniqueness of the discrete-time heat kernel on a discrete circle with  $N$  points.

With this in mind, in Example 16 we specialize our general result to the discrete half-line  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  with a Dirichlet boundary condition. Let  $I_x(t)$  be the  $I$ -Bessel function and define the convolution

$$(I_x * I_y)(t) = \int_0^t I_x(\tau) I_y(t - \tau) d\tau. \quad (1)$$

Then the parametrix construction of the heat kernel on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  yields the identity

$$I_{x+y}(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2^{\ell+1}} \left( I_1^{*\ell} * I_{x-1} * I_y \right)(t) \quad (2)$$

where  $I_1^{*\ell}$  denotes the  $\ell$ -fold convolution of  $I_1$  itself. This identity can be confirmed directly by comparing the Laplace transform of both sides.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we develop various analytic results which are needed in our study. Section 3 contains the details of the general parametrix construction. In Section 4 we relate the heat kernel of a graph embedded in a domain with the heat kernel of the domain. Remark 10 is particularly interesting since it gives a precise formula for the (graph) heat kernel on a graph  $G$  which is embedded in a domain  $\Omega$  in terms of the (geometric) heat kernel associated to  $\Omega$ ; see equation (26). Section 5 deals with derivation of expressions for the heat kernel in a general subgraph case. In Section 6 we show that our general result, applied to the setting of the complete graph on  $N$  vertices yields some of the main results from Section 3 of [LNY21]. We also give an alternative formulation for those results and explicitly compute the heat kernel on the graph obtained by removing a single edge from the complete graph. An example of an infinite subgraph is treated in Section 7. In Section 8, we show how to construct the Dirichlet heat kernel on a subgraph  $G$  of a finite or infinite graph, assuming that boundaries of  $G$  and  $G \setminus \partial G$  are finite. An example in this section yields the  $I$ -Bessel function identity (2).

## 2 Convolution on a graph

Let  $G$  be a finite weighted graph as above with vertex set  $VG$  of cardinality  $|VG|$ . Let  $F_1, F_2 : VG \times VG \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume further that for  $i = 1, 2$  and fixed vertices  $v_1, v_2$ , the functions  $F_i(v_1, v_2; t)$  are integrable as functions of  $t$  on every interval  $(0, b]$  with  $b > 0$ . The *convolution* of functions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  is defined to be

$$(F_1 * F_2)(v_1, v_2; t) := \int_0^t \sum_{v \in VG} F_1(v_1, v, t - r) F_2(v, v_2; r) dr. \quad (3)$$

When thinking of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  as operators on  $L^2(VG)$ , or equivalently as  $|VG| \times |VG|$  matrices, we may simply write

$$(F_1 * F_2)(t) := \int_0^t F_1(t - r) \circ F_2(r) dr. \quad (4)$$

The above convolution is not commutative in general but it is associative. Specifically, for any three functions  $F_i(v_1, v_2; t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $F_i : VG \times VG \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are integrable as functions of  $t$  on every interval  $(0, b]$ ,  $b > 0$  for all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ , we have

$$[(F_1 * F_2) * F_3](v_1, v_2; t) = [F_1 * (F_2 * F_3)](v_1, v_2; t). \quad (5)$$

*Remark 1.* There are two notions of convolution which are used in this paper: the two-variable vertex and time convolution (3) defined in this section and a one-variable time convolution as in (1). The latter is used only in Example 16 of Section 8 and we hope no confusion will arise from using the same symbol  $*$  to denote both types of convolution.

We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $F_1, F_2 : VG \times VG \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be as above. For some  $t_0 > 0$ , assume there exist constants  $C_1, C_2$  and integers  $k, \ell \geq 0$  such that for all  $0 < t < t_0$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ , we have*

$$|F_1(v_1, v_2; t)| \leq C_1 t^k \quad \text{and} \quad |F_2(v_1, v_2; t)| \leq C_2 t^\ell.$$

*Then, for all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$  we have*

$$|(F_1 * F_2)(v_1, v_2; t)| \leq C_1 C_2 |VG| \frac{k! \ell!}{(k + \ell + 1)!} t^{k+\ell+1} \quad \text{for } 0 < t < t_0.$$

*In particular, if  $k = 0$  then*

$$|(F_1 * F_2)(v_1, v_2; t)| \leq C_1 C_2 |VG| \frac{t^{\ell+1}}{\ell + 1} \quad \text{for all } v_1, v_2 \in VG \text{ and } 0 < t < t_0.$$

*Proof.* The proof is immediate from the definition of the convolution and the formula for the Euler beta function, namely that

$$\int_0^t r^k (t - r)^\ell dr = \frac{k! \ell! t^{k+\ell+1}}{(k + \ell + 1)!}.$$

□

For any positive integer  $\ell$  and any function  $f = f(v_1, v_2; t) : VG \times VG \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is integrable in  $t$  on every interval  $(0, b]$ ,  $b > 0$  for all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ , we can inductively define the  $\ell$ -fold convolution  $(f)^{* \ell}(v_1, v_2; t)$  by setting  $(f)^{*1}(v_1, v_2; t) = f(v_1, v_2; t)$  and, for  $\ell \geq 2$  we put

$$(f)^{* \ell}(v_1, v_2; t) := \left( (f)^{* (\ell-1)} * f \right) (v_1, v_2; t).$$

Note that in the last definition the order is not important because the convolution operator is associative.

With this notation we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f = f(v_1, v_2; t) : VG \times VG \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that for all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , the function  $f(v_1, v_2; \cdot)$  is integral on the interval  $(0, t_0]$ . Assume further there exists a constant  $C$  and integer  $k \geq 0$  such that*

$$f(v_1, v_2; t) \leq C t^k \quad \text{for all } v_1, v_2 \in VG \text{ and } 0 < t < t_0.$$

*Then the series*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (f)^{* \ell}(v_1, v_2; t) \tag{6}$$

*converges absolutely and uniformly on every compact subset of  $VG \times VG \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . In addition, we have that*

$$\left( f * \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (f)^{* \ell} \right) (v_1, v_2; t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (f)^{* (\ell+1)}(v_1, v_2; t). \tag{7}$$

*and,*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left| (f)^{* \ell}(v_1, v_2; t) \right| = O(t^k) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \tag{8}$$

*Proof.* With the stated assumptions, together with Lemma 2, we have that

$$|(f * f)(v_1, v_2; t)| \leq C^2 |VG| (k!)^2 \frac{t^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}. \quad (9)$$

Similarly, by induction for  $\ell \geq 1$  we have the bound that

$$\left| (f^{*(\ell)})(v_1, v_2; t) \right| \leq (Ck!)^\ell |VG|^{\ell-1} \frac{t^{\ell k + \ell - 1}}{(\ell k + \ell - 1)!}. \quad (10)$$

The assertion regarding the convergence of (6) now follows from the Weierstrass criterion.

Fix  $t > 0$ . By reasoning as above, it is easy to deduce that the series on the right-hand side of (7) converges absolutely. Therefore, we may integrate the equation

$$\left( f * \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (f)^{* \ell} \right) (v_1, v_2; t) = \int_0^t \sum_{v \in VG} \left( f(v_1, v, t-r) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (f)^{* \ell}(v, v_2; r) \right) dr$$

termwise to establish (7). Finally, the assertion in (8) follows by combining (6), (10), and Lemma 2.  $\square$

### 3 The parametrix construction of the heat kernel on $G$

We repeat and expand on some notation from the introduction. Let  $G$  be a finite weighted graph with vertex set  $VG$  and edge weight function  $w_{xy}$  for vertices  $x, y$ . The Laplace operator  $\Delta_G$  acting on functions  $f : VG \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by

$$\Delta_G f(x) = \sum_{y \in VG} (f(x) - f(y)) w_{xy}. \quad (11)$$

and the heat operator  $L$  on the graph is defined by

$$L = \Delta_G + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \quad (12)$$

The heat kernel  $H_G$  on  $G$  associated to the Laplacian  $\Delta_G$  is the unique solution  $H_G : VG \times VG \times [0, \infty)$  to the differential equation

$$L_{v_1} H_G(v_1, v_2; t) = 0$$

with the property that

$$H_G(v_1, v_2; 0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_1 = v_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } v_1 \neq v_2. \end{cases}$$

The subscript  $v_1$  on  $L_{v_1}$  indicates the sum associated to the Laplacian, as in (11) is over neighbors of  $v_1$  which is the first space variable. A *parametrix* for the heat operator on  $G$  is any continuous function  $H : VG \times VG \times [0, \infty)$  which is smooth on  $VG \times VG \times (0, \infty)$  and satisfies the following two properties.

1. For all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ ,

$$H(v_1, v_2; 0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H(v_1, v_2; t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_1 = v_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } v_1 \neq v_2 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

2. The function  $L_{v_1}H(v_1, v_2; t)$  extends to a continuous function on  $VG \times VG \times [0, \infty)$ .

We say the parametrix  $H$  is of order  $k$  if for some integer  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$|L_{v_1}H(v_1, v_2; t)| = O(t^k) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $H$  be a parametrix for the heat operator on  $G$ . Let  $f = f(v_1, v_2; t) : VG \times VG \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous in  $t$  and bounded for all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ . Then*

$$L_{v_1}(H * f)(v_1, v_2; t) = f(v_1, v_2; t) + (L_{v_1}H * f)(v_1, v_2; t)$$

for all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $t > 0$  we have

$$L_{v_1}(H * f) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(H * f) + \Delta_{G, v_1}(H * f) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(H * f) + (\Delta_{G, v_1}H) * f. \quad (14)$$

By the Leibniz integration formula, the first term on the right hand side of (14) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t \sum_{v \in VG} H(v_1, v; t-r) f(v, v_2; r) dr \\ &= \sum_{v \in VG} H(v_1, v; 0) f(v, v_2; t) + \int_0^t \sum_{v \in VG} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H(v_1, v; t-r) f(v, v_2; r) dr \\ &= f(v_1, v_2; t) + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H * f \right)(v_1, v_2; t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{v_1}(H * f)(v_1, v_2; t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(H * f)(v_1, v_2; t) + (\Delta_{G, v_1}H * f)(v_1, v_2; t) \\ &= f(v_1, v_2; t) + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H * f \right)(v_1, v_2; t) + (\Delta_{G, v_1}H * f)(v_1, v_2; t) \\ &= f(v_1, v_2; t) + (L_{v_1}H * f)(v_1, v_2; t), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

With all this, we now can state the main theorem in this section

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $H$  be a parametrix of order  $k \geq 0$ . For  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  define*

$$F(v_1, v_2; t) := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (L_{v_1}H)^{* \ell}(v_1, v_2; t). \quad (15)$$

*Then the series (15) converges absolutely and uniformly on every compact subset of  $VG \times VG \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Furthermore, the heat kernel  $H_G$  on  $G$  associated to graph Laplacian  $\Delta_{G, v_1}$  is given by*

$$H_G(v_1, v_2; t) = H(v_1, v_2; t) + (H * F)(v_1, v_2; t) \quad (16)$$

with

$$(H * F)(v_1, v_2; t) = O(t^{k+1}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Set

$$\tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t) := H(v_1, v_2; t) + (H * F)(v_1, v_2; t).$$

From the characterizing properties of the heat kernel

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H(v_1, v_2; t) = \delta_{v_1=v_2},$$

it suffices to show that

$$L_{v_1} \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2, t) = \delta_{v_1=v_2}. \quad (17)$$

By Lemma 3, the series  $F(v_1, v_2; t)$  defined in (15) converges uniformly and absolutely and has order  $O(t^k)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $H$  is bounded on any finite interval, Lemma 2 then yields the asymptotic

$$(H * F)(v_1, v_2; t) = O(t^{k+1}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H(v_1, v_2; t) = \delta_{v_1=v_2}.$$

It remains to prove the vanishing of  $L_{v_1} \tilde{H}$  in (17). For this, we can apply Lemma 4 to get that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{v_1} \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t) &= L_{v_1}(H)(v_1, v_2; t) + L_{v_1}(H * F)(v_1, v_2; t) \\ &= L_{v_1}(H)(v_1, v_2; t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (L_{v_1} H)^{* \ell}(v_1, v_2; t) \\ &\quad + (L_{v_1} H) * \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (L_{v_1} H)^{* (\ell)} \right) (v_1, v_2; t) \\ &= L_{v_1}(H)(v_1, v_2; t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (L_{v_1} H)^{* \ell}(v_1, v_2; t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (L_{v_1} H)^{* (\ell+1)}(v_1, v_2; t) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used absolute convergence of the series defining  $F(v_1, v_2; t)$  to change the order of summation. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 6.* In (16), the function  $H(v_1, v_2; t)$  is a parametrix, so it is required to satisfy the reasonably weak conditions given in its definition. In particular, one does not use any information about the edge structure associated to the graph. One incorporates the finer information regarding the edge data through the Laplacian, which is used to form the Neumann series (15). In this regard, the parametrix construction of the heat kernel on a graph, as given in Theorem 5 is easier than the parametrix construction for the heat kernel in the setting of Riemannian geometry (see, for example, Chapter IV of [Ch84], page 149) where the Laplacian is necessary in the construction of the parametrix.

## 4 Graphs embedded in a domain

Let us assume that a graph  $G$  is embedded in a Riemannian domain  $\Omega$ . In this section, we will show one way in which the Riemannian heat kernel on  $\Omega$  can be used to construct the graph heat kernel on  $G$ . In effect, we define a parametrix on the graph by computing an average of the heat kernel from  $\Omega$  over neighborhoods of the vertices of  $G$ . We then employ Theorem 5. Certainly, there are other means by which one could define a parametrix. However, we find it appealing to develop a direct connection between the Riemannian heat kernel on  $\Omega$  and the graph heat kernel on  $G$ .

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain with  $C^\infty$  boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The Laplacian on  $\Omega$  is defined through its action on twice continuously differentiable functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  as

$$\Delta_\Omega f(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} f(x).$$

Let  $K_\Omega(x, y; t)$  denote a heat kernel on  $\Omega$ , meaning the fundamental solution to the equation

$$(\Delta_\Omega + \partial_t)K(x, y; t) = 0$$

on  $\Omega \times \Omega \times (0, \infty)$  subject to the initial condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} K(x, y; t) = \delta_x,$$

where  $\delta_x$  is the Dirac delta mass concentrated at  $x$ . For definiteness we assume that  $K_\Omega(x, y; t)$  satisfies a Dirichlet boundary condition, but this will play no role in our construction and any reasonable alternative boundary condition may be assumed. We assume that  $\Omega$  is fixed and will suppress the subscript  $\Omega$  and denote a the heat kernel on  $\Omega$  simply by  $K(x, y; t)$ . Heat kernels with different boundary condition are, of course, different; however, for our construction we can take any one.

Let  $G$  be a graph embedded in  $\Omega$ , in the sense that  $VG \subset \Omega$ . Let  $d$  denote the Euclidean distance on  $\Omega$  and let  $m$  be the Lebesgue measure also denoted  $dx$  below. For any vertex  $v$  in  $G$  we let

$$N(v) = \{x \in \Omega : d(x, v) < d(x, v') \text{ for all } v' \in VG\}$$

be the (Dirichlet-) Voronoï decomposition of  $\Omega$  induced by  $VG$ . In words,  $N(v)$  is the set of points in  $\Omega$  closer to  $v$  than any other vertex in  $VG$ . The collection of sets  $\{N(v) : v \in VG\}$  are disjoint, by construction, and non-empty since  $v \in N(v)$ . Each set is open, thus measurable. We assume that  $VG$  is embedded in  $\Omega$  in such a way that all sets  $N(v)$  in the Voronoï decomposition are path-connected. We denote by  $\mu_v$  the measure of  $N(v)$ . For example, if  $\Omega$  is convex, then each set  $N(v)$  is open and convex.

The set

$$H(v, v') := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : d(x, v) = d(x, v') : v, v' \in VG\}$$

is a union of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , hence a measure zero set. The set  $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{v \in VG} N(v)$  is a subset of  $H(v, v')$ , hence is also a set of measure zero.

For any  $\delta > 0$  and  $v \in VG$ , define the set

$$N_\delta(v) := \{x \in N(v) : d(x, \partial N(v)) < \delta\},$$

where  $\partial N(v)$  stands for the boundary of  $N(v)$ . For an arbitrary and fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , we choose  $\delta > 0$  which depends on  $\epsilon$  and  $G$  such that

$$N(v) \setminus N_\delta(v) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad m(N_\delta(v)) < \epsilon/|VG| \quad \text{for all } v \in VG.$$



For such a fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , let us introduce a family of  $C^\infty$ -functions  $\eta_v : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , indexed by  $v \in VG$ , which satisfy the following properties.

- (a) For all  $v \in VG$  one has  $\text{supp}(\eta_v) \subseteq N(v)$ , meaning  $\eta_v(x) = 0$  whenever  $x \notin N(v)$ .
- (b) We have that  $\eta_v(x) \equiv 1$  for all  $x \in N(v) \setminus N_\delta(v)$  and  $\eta_v(x) \equiv 0$  for all  $x \in N_{\delta/2}(v)$ .
- (c) For all  $v \in VG$ , we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta_v(x)^2 = \mu_v = m(N(v)).$$

In words, the function  $\eta_v$  is equal to one on  $N(v) \setminus N_\delta(v)$ , equal to zero outside  $N(v) \setminus N_{\delta/2}(v)$ , increases from one and then decrease to zero on  $N_\delta(v) \setminus N_{\delta/2}(v)$  so that one has the integral condition (c). It is an elementary exercise in real analysis to construct such a family of functions. We note for later use the relation

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta_v(x) dx \leq \mu_v \tag{18}$$

which follows immediately from (a) and (c) above, together with the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

With this notation, we can use a heat kernel  $K(x, y; t)$  on  $\Omega$  to construct a parametrix of any order  $k \geq 0$  for the heat kernel on the graph  $G$ . The details are as follows. For any  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$  and  $t > 0$ , define

$$H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{v_1} \mu_{v_2}}} \int_{N(v_1)} \int_{N(v_2)} K(x, y; t) \eta_{v_1}(x) \eta_{v_2}(y) dy dx. \tag{19}$$

From the known properties of  $K$ , and from the smoothness of the family  $\eta_v$  for  $v \in VG$ , the function (19) is infinitely differentiable in  $t$ . Moreover, for each  $v \in VG$  the function

$$u_v(x; t) := \int_{N(v)} K(x, y; t) \eta_v(y) dy = \int_{\Omega} K(x, y; t) \eta_v(y) dy$$

is a solution to the initial value problem

$$\left( \Delta_{\Omega} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) u_v(x; t) = 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty) \tag{20}$$

with

$$u_v(x; 0) = \eta_v(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega. \tag{21}$$

**Proposition 7.** *Assume the notation as above.*

- (i) For all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ , we have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_1 = v_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } v_1 \neq v_2 \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

- (ii) For any  $k \geq 0$  all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ , there is a constant  $C_k(v_1, v_2)$  such that for all  $t > 0$ , we have that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) \right| \leq C_k(v_1, v_2) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \tag{23}$$

*Remark 8.* From the above proposition it is evident that  $H_0(v_1, v_2; t)$ , defined by (19) is a parametrix of order zero for the heat kernel on  $G$ . Moreover, one can show that for any  $k \geq 0$ , there is a polynomial  $P_k(t)$  in  $t$  whose coefficients depends on  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , such that  $H_0(v_1, v_2; t) - P_k(t)$  is a parametrix of order  $k + 1$ .

*Proof.* (i) From the definition (19) of  $H_0$ , we have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{v_1} \mu_{v_2}}} \int_{N(v_1)} \eta_{v_1}(x) u_{v_2}(x; t) dx.$$

Since all sets are bounded and have finite volume, the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{N(v_1)} \eta_{v_1}(x) u_{v_2}(x; t) dx = \int_{N(v_1)} \left( \eta_{v_1}(x) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u_{v_2}(x; t) \right) dx.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{v_1} \mu_{v_2}}} \int_{N(v_1)} \eta_{v_1}(x) \eta_{v_2}(x) dx.$$

If  $v_1 \neq v_2$ , the functions  $\eta_{v_1}$  and  $\eta_{v_2}$  have disjoint support, implying that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = 0$ . If  $v_1 = v_2$ , we get that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H_0(v_1, v_1; t) = \frac{1}{\mu_{v_1}} \int_{N(v_1)} \eta_{v_1}^2(x) dx = 1,$$

by assumption (c) regarding the function  $\eta_v$ . This proves assertion (i).

To prove (ii), consider two arbitrary and fixed vertices  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ . Since the function  $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_0(v_1, v_2; t)$  is right-continuous in  $t \geq 0$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(v_1, v_2, k)$  such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) \right| \leq 1 + \left| \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) \right) \right|,$$

for all  $t \in (0, \delta)$ . Let us fix such  $\tilde{\delta} = \tilde{\delta}(v_1, v_2, k)$ . To prove that  $\left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) \right|$  is bounded on  $(0, \tilde{\delta})$  it suffices to show that  $\left| \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) \right) \right|$  is bounded. Let us take  $k = 1$  first, to illustrate our approach.

From the definition (19) of  $H_0$ , the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{v_1}}{\mu_{v_2} \mu_{v_1}}} \int_{N(v_1)} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{v_2}(x; t) \right) \eta_{v_1}(x) dx.$$

Recall that  $u_{v_2}(x; t)$  is a solution to the initial-value problem (20) and (21) with  $v = v_2$ . As such, the continuity of  $u_{v_2}(x; t)$  and  $\Delta_\Omega u_{v_2}(x; t)$  in the  $t$ -variable implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{v_2}(x; t) \right) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (-\Delta_\Omega u_{v_2}(x; t)) \\ &= -\Delta_\Omega u_{v_2}(x; 0) = -\Delta_\Omega \eta_{v_2}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{v_1}}{\mu_{v_2}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_{v_1}} \int_{N(v_1)} (-\Delta_\Omega \eta_{v_2}(x)) \eta_{v_1}(x) dx.$$

As a result, we have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = 0 \quad \text{if } v_1 \neq v_2$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_0(v_1, v_1; t) = \frac{1}{\mu_{v_1}} \int_{N(v_1)} (-\Delta_\Omega \eta_{v_1}(x)) \eta_{v_1}(x) dx.$$

We now can apply the mean value theorem for integrals, which is justified because  $\eta_v$  is non-negative and  $\Delta_\Omega \eta_{v_1}(x)$  is continuous. In doing so, we conclude that for some  $x_1 \in N(v_1)$  we have the bounds

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_0(v_1, v_1; t) \leq |\Delta_\Omega \eta_{v_1}(x_1)| \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_{v_1}} \int_{N(v_1)} \eta_{v_1}(x) dx \leq |\Delta_\Omega \eta_{v_1}(x_1)|,$$

where the last inequality follows from (18). Therefore,

$$\left| \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) \right) \right| \leq |\Delta_\Omega \eta_{v_1}(x_1)| \cdot \delta_{v_1=v_2},$$

for some  $x_1 \in N(v_1)$ . For all  $v \in VG$ , the functions  $\eta_v$  are smooth. Let us define

$$C_k(v) := \sup_{x \in N(v)} \left| \Delta_\Omega^k \eta_v(x) \right|,$$

where  $\Delta_\Omega^k$  denotes the operator  $\Delta_\Omega$  applied  $k$  times. Then we have that

$$\left| \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) \right) \right| \leq C_1(v_1) \cdot \delta_{v_1=v_2}.$$

Consequently, we have that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_0(v_1, v_2; t)$  is bounded by a constant which is independent of  $t$  for all  $t \in (0, \tilde{\delta})$ . The proof of (ii) in the case  $k = 1$  is now complete.

When  $k > 1$ , we proceed in a similar manner. Namely, we write that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{v_1}}{\mu_{v_2}}} \frac{1}{\mu_{v_1}} \int_{N(v_1)} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} u_{v_2}(x; t) \right) \eta_{v_1}(x) dx.$$

Since the functions  $\eta_v$  are  $C^\infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} u_{v_2}(x; t) \right) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( (-1)^k \Delta_\Omega^k u_{v_2}(x; t) \right) \\ &= (-1)^k \Delta_\Omega^k u_{v_2}(x; 0) = (-1)^k \Delta_\Omega^k \eta_{v_2}(x), \end{aligned}$$

and arguing as above, we get

$$\left| \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) \right) \right| \leq C_k(v_1) \cdot \delta_{v_1=v_2}.$$

Therefore  $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_0(v_1, v_2; t)$  is bounded by a constant which is independent of  $t$  for all  $t \in (0, \tilde{\delta})$ .

For any fixed  $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ , the function  $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} K(x, y; t)$  is uniformly bounded on the set  $\Omega \times \Omega \times [\tilde{\delta}, \infty]$ , by a constant  $C_{\tilde{\delta}, k}$ , see [Gr09]. Therefore, for all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$  and  $t \geq \tilde{\delta}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) \right| \leq C_{\tilde{\delta}, k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{v_1} \mu_{v_2}}} \int_{N(v_1)} \int_{N(v_2)} \eta_{v_1}(x) \eta_{v_2}(y) dy dx \leq C_{\tilde{\delta}, k} m(\Omega) \quad (24)$$

by (18). We have shown that  $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_0(v_1, v_2; t)$  is bounded by a constant independent of  $t$  for all  $t \in [\tilde{\delta}, \infty)$ , and the proof of the proposition is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 9.* The normalization factor  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{v_1} \mu_{v_2}}}$  in the definition (19) was chosen so that

$$H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = H_0(v_2, v_1; t).$$

If one defines

$$\mathcal{H}_0(v_1, v_2; t) := \frac{1}{\mu_{v_1}} \int_{N(v_1)} \int_{N(v_2)} K(x, y; t) \eta_{v_1}(x) \eta_{v_2}(y) dy dx, \quad (25)$$

it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{H}_0(v_1, v_2; t)$  is also a parametrix of order zero for the heat kernel on  $G$ . The different normalization in (25) can be justified by the fact that in the construction of the heat kernel on  $G$  the graph Laplacian acts only to the first variable and the formula (25) can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_0(v_1, v_2; t) = \frac{1}{\mu_{v_1}} \int_{N(v_1)} \eta_{v_1}(x) u_{v_2}(x; t) dx,$$

which is exactly the ‘‘averaged by  $\eta_{v_1}$ ’’ discretization (in the sense of Definition 4.1. on p. 689 of [BIK14]) of the solution  $u_{v_2}(x; t)$  to the initial value problem (20) and (21).

*Remark 10.* A particularly interesting special case of Theorem 5 is when  $k = 0$ . Specifically, we have that the heat kernel on the graph can be written as

$$H_G(v_1, v_2; t) = H_0(v_1, v_2; t) + (H_0 * F)(v_1, v_2; t), \quad (26)$$

where  $H_0(v_1, v_2; t)$  is defined by (19). This formula is particularly nice because  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (H_0 * F)(v_1, v_2; t) = 0$  for all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ .

## 5 Heat kernels for subgraphs

Let  $G$  be a finite or infinite subgraph of a finite or infinite weighted graph  $\tilde{G}$  with the weight function  $\tilde{w}_{xy}$  for vertices  $x, y \in V\tilde{G}$ . For us, a subgraph  $G$  of  $\tilde{G}$  is obtained by using a subset  $VG$  of the vertices  $V\tilde{G}$  defining the weight function  $w_{xy}$  for  $x, y \in VG$  to be equal either to the same weight  $\tilde{w}_{xy}$  on  $\tilde{G}$  or set to zero. In the case when  $\tilde{w}_{xy} \neq 0$  but  $w_{xy} = 0$ , we say that we have removed the edge connecting  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\tilde{G}$ . We define

$$\tilde{\mu}(x) = \sum_{y \in V\tilde{G}} \tilde{w}_{xy} \text{ and } \mu(x) = \sum_{y \in VG} w_{xy}. \quad (27)$$

When the graph  $\tilde{G}$  is infinite, we assume that for all  $x \in V\tilde{G}$ , the function  $\tilde{w}(x, \cdot)$  has finite support and that the quantity  $\tilde{\mu}(x)$  is uniformly bounded for  $x \in V\tilde{G}$ . In this case, we say that  $\tilde{G}$  has *bounded valence*. We further assume that  $\tilde{G}$  is of bounded degree. Let  $\partial G$  denote the set of *boundary vertices* of the subgraph  $G$  in  $\tilde{G}$ . That is,  $\partial G$  consists of vertices  $x \in VG$  such that  $\mu(x) < \tilde{\mu}(x)$ . We call  $\text{Int}(G) = VG \setminus \partial G$  the set of interior points of  $G$ .

Let  $H_{\tilde{G}}$  denote the heat kernel for the graph  $\tilde{G}$  with respect to the weighted graph Laplacian  $\Delta_{\tilde{G}}$ . Recall that for any function  $f : V\tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\Delta_{\tilde{G}}f(x) = \sum_{y \in \tilde{G}} (f(x) - f(y))\tilde{w}_{xy}.$$

The Laplacian  $\Delta_{\tilde{G}}$  is a non-negative and self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space  $L^2(V\tilde{G})$ . Under our assumptions of finite valence and finite degree, the existence and uniqueness of the heat kernel follows from [DM06].

Let  $L_{\tilde{G}, v_1} = \Delta_{\tilde{G}, v_1} + \partial_t$  be the heat operator on  $\tilde{G}$  acting in the first variable, and let  $L_{G, v_1} = \Delta_{G, v_1} + \partial_t$  be the heat operator on  $G$ . Define

$$H(v_1, v_2; t) := H_{\tilde{G}}(v_1, v_2; t) \quad \text{for } v_1, v_2 \in VG \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

In other words,  $H$  is the restriction of the heat kernel from  $\tilde{G}$  to  $G$ . Clearly, if  $v_1 \in \text{Int}(G)$  we have  $L_{G, v_1}H(v_1, v_2; t) = 0$ , but if  $v_1 \in \partial G$  then

$$L_{G, v_1}H(v_1, v_2; t) = - \sum_{v \in A_{\tilde{G}, G}(v_1)} (H(v_1, v_2; t) - H(v, v_2; t)) w_{v_1 v}, \quad (28)$$

where

$$A_{\tilde{G}, G}(v_1) = \{v \in V\tilde{G} \setminus VG : v \text{ is adjacent to } v_1 \text{ in } \tilde{G}\} \cup \{v \in VG : \tilde{w}_{v_1 v} \neq 0 \text{ but } w_{v_1 v} = 0\}.$$

The set  $A_{\tilde{G}, G}(v_1)$  is not empty for  $v_1 \in \partial G$ , so one cannot expect that the difference (28) to vanish so  $H$  is certainly not the heat kernel on  $G$ . However, we show in the following proposition that  $H(v_1, v_2; t)$  is a parametrix of order zero for the heat kernel on  $G$ .

**Proposition 11.** *Assume the notation as above.*

(i) *For all  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ , we have that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H(v_1, v_2; t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_1 = v_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } v_1 \neq v_2. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

(ii) *For  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ , there is a constant  $C(v_1, v_2)$  such that for all  $t > 0$ , we have that*

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H(v_1, v_2; t) \right| \leq C(v_1, v_2). \quad (30)$$

*Proof.* Part (i) follows trivially from the fact that  $H(v_1, v_2; t)$  is the restriction of the heat kernel on  $\tilde{G}$ , so it remains to prove part (ii).

Since  $H(v_1, v_2; t) = H_{\tilde{G}}(v_1, v_2; t)$  for  $v_1, v_2 \in VG$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H(v_1, v_2; t) = -\Delta_{\tilde{G}, v_1} H(v_1, v_2; t) = \sum_{y \in VG} H(y, v_2; t)\tilde{w}_{v_1 y} - H(v_1, v_2; t)\mu(v_1).$$

Both  $\tilde{w}$  and  $\mu$  are bounded, so in order to prove (30), it suffices to show that  $H(v_1, v_2; t)$  is bounded as a function of  $t$  for fixed  $v_1, v_2$  by some constant, which may depend upon  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . We could not find a convenient reference for this fact so we provide a short proof for the sake of completeness.

The Dirac property (29) implies that  $H(v_1, v_2; t)$  is bounded by an explicit constant, such as 2, when viewed as a function of  $t$  for  $t \in [0, \eta]$  for some  $\eta > 0$ . It remains to prove boundedness for  $t \in [\eta, \infty)$ . In the case when  $V\tilde{G}$  is finite, we can use the spectral expansion of the heat kernel as a sum over eigenfunctions  $\psi_j$  of the Laplacian  $\Delta_{\tilde{G}}$  and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to deduce that for  $t \geq \eta$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} |H(v_1, v_2; t)| &\leq \left( \sum_j e^{-\lambda_j t} |\psi_j(v_1)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_j e^{-\lambda_j t} |\psi_j(v_2)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (H(v_1, v_1; \eta)H(v_2, v_2; \eta))^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Note that the fact that the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  are nonnegative implies that each  $e^{-\lambda_j t}$  above is nonincreasing on  $t > 0$ . If  $V\tilde{G}$  is infinite, one re-writes the sums in (31) as integrals over the appropriate spectral measures, and one gets that  $H(v_1, v_2; t)$  is bounded for  $t \geq \eta$  by  $(H(v_1, v_1; \eta)H(v_2, v_2; \eta))^{1/2}$ . This completes the proof of the claim.  $\square$

If the graph  $G$  is a *finite* subgraph of  $\tilde{G}$ , Theorem 5 applies to deduce the heat kernel on  $G$  from the parametrix  $H(v_1, v_2; t)$ . However, the parametrix construction of the heat kernel on infinite subgraph of a finite valence infinite graph  $\tilde{G}$  can also be carried out, under the assumption that the boundary  $\partial G$  of  $G$  is finite. The precise result is the following proposition.

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite subgraph of a finite valence, bounded degree graph  $\tilde{G}$  such that boundary  $\partial G$  is finite. Let  $\tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t)$  denote the heat kernel on  $\tilde{G}$ . Then the series*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (L_{G, v_1} \tilde{H})^{*\ell}(v_1, v_2; t) \quad (32)$$

*converges absolutely and uniformly on any compact subset of  $VG \times VG \times [0, \infty)$  and defines a function  $\tilde{F}(v_1, v_2; t)$  on  $VG \times VG \times [0, \infty)$ . Furthermore, the heat kernel  $H_G(v_1, v_2; t)$  on  $G$  is given by*

$$H_G(v_1, v_2; t) := \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t) + (\tilde{H} * \tilde{F})(v_1, v_2; t).$$

*Proof.* We start by observing that the function  $L_{G, v_1} \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t)$  when viewed as a function of the first variable is supported on the finite set  $\partial G$ . Moreover, the heat kernel  $\tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t)$  is bounded. Hence, there exists a constant  $C$  depending on the finite subset  $\partial G$  of  $G$  and the valence of  $G$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{G, v_1} \tilde{H} * L_{G, v_1} \tilde{H})(v_1, v_2; t) &= \sum_{v \in \partial G} \int_0^t L_{G, v_1} \tilde{H}(v_1, v; t - \tau) L_{G, v_1} \tilde{H}(v, v_2; \tau) d\tau \\ &\leq |\partial G| \cdot Ct, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(v_1, v_2) \in \partial G \times VG$  and all  $t \geq 0$ , where  $|\partial G|$  denotes the number of elements in  $\partial G$ . By arguing analogously as in the proof of Lemma 3, we conclude that the series (32) converges absolutely and uniformly on any compact subset of  $VG \times VG \times [0, \infty)$ . The Dirac property  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t) = \delta_{v_1=v_2}$  when combined with the finiteness of support of  $L_{G, v_1} \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t)$  is sufficient to conclude that Lemma 4 also holds with  $H = \tilde{H}$ . The method of the proof of Theorem 5 then applies to show that  $H_G(v_1, v_2; t)$  is the heat kernel on  $G$ .  $\square$

## 6 A subgraph of the complete graph on $N$ vertices

In this section we will develop explicitly our results in the setting of a subgraph of the complete graph on  $N$  vertices. Throughout this section we will employ some of the same considerations as in [LNY21], namely in the explicit computation of the graph convolution. In doing so, we will show the relationship between our Theorem 5 and Theorem 3.3 of [LNY21].

Let  $K_N$  denote the complete graph  $K_N$  on  $N$  vertices. Let us label the vertices with the integers  $1, 2, \dots, N$  and define the weights to be  $\tilde{w}_{xy} = 1$  for all  $x \neq y$ . In the notation of the previous section,  $K_N$  plays the role of  $\tilde{G}$ . The heat kernel on  $K_N$  is well known. Indeed, if we set  $H_{\tilde{G}} := H_{K_N}$ , then we have that

$$H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) e^{-Nt}, & \text{for } x = y \\ \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{N} e^{-Nt}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Let  $G$  be any subgraph of  $K_N$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $G$  has  $N$  vertices, and take  $H_{\tilde{G}}$  as a parametrix for the heat kernel  $H_G$  on  $G$ . In order to compare our results with those from [LNY21], we will borrow and adapt some notation from [LNY21].

For any vertex  $x \in VG$ , let  $d_x^G$  be the degree of  $x$  in  $G$  and set  $d_x^c = (N-1) - d_x^G$ . For two vertices  $x \in VG$  and  $y \in VK_N$ , let us write  $x \sim_c y$  if  $x \neq y$  and  $x$  and  $y$  are not connected in  $G$ . Define the combinatorial Laplacian on the complement of  $G$  in  $K_N$  by

$$\Delta^c f(x) = \sum_{y \sim_c x} (f(x) - f(y)) \quad (34)$$

From (28) we have that

$$L_1 H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t) = - \sum_{z \sim_c x} (H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t) - H_{\tilde{G}}(z, y; t)),$$

for all  $x, y \in VG$  and any  $t > 0$ . Note that we have shortened the notation by letting  $L_1$  denote the heat operator of  $G$  acting on the first vertex variable  $x$ . From the expression (33) for the heat kernel  $H_{\tilde{G}}$ , we immediately have for  $x, y \in VG$  that

$$L_1 H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t) = \begin{cases} -e^{-Nt} d_x^c, & \text{if } x = y; \\ e^{-Nt}, & \text{if } x \sim_c y; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

In the notation of formula (3.4) from [LNY21],

$$L_1 H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t) = -e^{-Nt} u_y^c(x). \quad (36)$$

(We write  $u_y^c$  for  $u_y^{G^c}$  in [LNY21].)

In Section 3 of [LNY21] the authors define an operator  $T$  which acts on functions  $F : VG \times VG \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} TF(x, y; t) &= \int_0^t e^{-N(t-s)} \Delta_x^c F(x, y; s) ds \\ &= \int_0^t e^{-N(t-s)} (d_x^c F(x, y; s) - \sum_{\substack{v \in VG \\ v \sim_c x}} F(v, y; s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

In other words

$$TF(x, y; t) = -(L_1 H_{\tilde{G}} * F)(x, y; t).$$

This explains the connection between our Theorem 5:

$$H_G(x, y; t) = H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^l ((L_1 H_{\tilde{G}})^{*l} * H_{\tilde{G}})(x, y; t)$$

and equation (3.3) of [LNY21]:

$$H_G(x, y; t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} T^l H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t).$$

Theorem 3.3 of [LNY21] goes further to show that

$$H_G(x, y; t) = H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t) + te^{-Nt} u_y^c(x) + \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{\ell-1} \frac{t^\ell}{\ell!} e^{-Nt} (\Delta_x^c)^{\ell-1} u_y^c(x),$$

We can give a slightly different expression for  $H_G(x, y; t)$  using matrix notation. Since  $G$  is undirected we have  $u_x^c(y) = u_y^c(x)$  for all  $x, y \in VG$ . Let  $B$  denote the  $N \times N$  symmetric matrix with elements  $b_{xy} = u_x^c(y) = u_y^c(x)$ . Then starting with (36), it is easy to inductively show that

$$\left( H_{\tilde{G}} * (L_1 H_{\tilde{G}})^{*l} \right) (x, y; t) = (-1)^l \frac{t^\ell}{\ell!} e^{-Nt} B^\ell(x, y),$$

Therefore,

$$H_G(x, y; t) = H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t) + e^{-Nt} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^\ell}{\ell!} B^\ell(x, y).$$

Let us highlight the example when  $G$  is a subgraph of  $K_N$  obtained by deleting one edge from  $K_N$ .

**Example 13.** Let  $G_{1,2}$  be the graph that results from deleting the edge between 1 and 2 in  $K_N$ . In this case,  $B = (b_{ij})_{N \times N}$  with  $b_{11} = b_{22} = 1$ ;  $b_{12} = b_{21} = -1$  and all other entries of  $B$  are zeros. Inductively, it is straightforward to show for any  $\ell \geq 1$  we have that

$$B^\ell(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2^{\ell-1}, & \text{if } x = y \in \{1, 2\}, \\ -2^{\ell-1}, & \text{if } x, y \in \{1, 2\}, x \neq y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$H_G(x, y; t) = H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t)$$

except when  $x, y \in \{1, 2\}$ , in which case we have that

$$\begin{aligned} H_G(x, x; t) &= H_{\tilde{G}}(x, x; t) + e^{-Nt} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} 2^{\ell-1} \frac{t^\ell}{\ell!} \\ &= H_{\tilde{G}}(x, x; t) + e^{-Nt} (e^{2t} - 1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_G(x, y; t) &= H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t) - e^{-Nt} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} 2^{\ell-1} \frac{t^\ell}{\ell!} \\ &= H_{\tilde{G}}(x, y; t) - e^{-Nt} (e^{2t} - 1)/2 \quad \text{for } x \neq y. \end{aligned}$$

These computations show very explicitly and simply the effect on the heat kernel from deleting an edge in the complete graph. Specifically, one sees the change by a negative number in  $K_G(1, 2; t)$  reflecting the disconnect between the vertices 1 and 2.



## 7 Heat kernel on a discrete half-line

The following example illustrates the construction of the heat kernel on an infinite subgraph with finite boundary.

**Example 14.** Let  $G$  be a discrete half-line beginning with 0. More precisely,  $G$  is the graph with vertices consisting of non-negative integers such that each positive integer  $j$  is connected only to its neighbors  $j + 1$  and  $j - 1$ , while 0 is connected only to 1. Then  $G$  is an infinite subgraph of  $\tilde{G} = \mathbb{Z}$  with the finite boundary  $\partial G = \{0\}$ . Therefore Proposition 12 applies to give an expression for the heat kernel on  $G$  by using the heat kernel  $H(v, w; t)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a parametrix. Let us carry out these calculations in detail.

Recall that  $H(v, w; t) = e^{-2t} I_{|v-w|}(2t)$ ; see [KN06]. The heat operator of  $G$  acts on the  $\mathbb{Z}$  heat kernel  $H$  as

$$L_{G,v}H(v, w; t) = \begin{cases} e^{-2t}(I_{w+1}(2t) - I_w(2t)), & \text{if } v = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, the heat kernel on the discrete half-line  $G$  is given by

$$H_G(v, w; t) = e^{-2t} I_{|v-w|}(2t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell \left( H * (L_{G,v}H)^{* \ell} \right) (v, w; t). \quad (38)$$

We will now compute explicitly the convolution series on the right-hand side of (38). We start by observing that

$$\begin{aligned} (H * (L_{G,v}H))(v, w; t) &= \int_0^t H(v, 0; t - \tau) L_{G,v}H(0, w; \tau) d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-2t} \int_0^{2t} I_v(2t - u) (I_{w+1}(u) - I_w(u)) du. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Next, we derive a formula for the classical convolution of  $I$ -Bessel functions. Let  $m, n$  be non-negative integers. For  $x \geq 0$  we start with formula (1) on page 379 of [Wa66]. In the notation from [Wa66] we take  $\mu = m$  and  $\nu = n$  and complex  $z$  to get that

$$z \int_0^{\pi/2} J_m(z \cos^2 \phi) J_n(z \sin^2 \phi) \sin \phi \cos \phi d\phi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k J_{m+n+2k+1}(z),$$

where  $J$  stands for the classical  $J$ -Bessel function of the first kind. By replacing  $z$  with  $iz$  and applying the identity  $J_n(iz) = i^n I_n(z)$  (see [GR07], formula 8.406.3), the above equation becomes

$$z \int_0^{\pi/2} I_m(z \cos^2 \phi) I_n(z \sin^2 \phi) \sin \phi \cos \phi d\phi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_{m+n+2k+1}(z).$$

The change of variables  $t = z \sin^2 \phi$  gives that

$$\int_0^x I_n(t) I_m(x - t) dt = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_{m+n+2k+1}(x). \quad (40)$$

Combining (39) and (40) we arrive at

$$(H * (L_{G,v}H))(v, w; t) = e^{-2t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k I_{v+w+k}(2t)$$

Applying the identity (40) once again, it is immediate to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (H * (L_{G,v}H)^{*2})(v, w; t) &= ((H * (L_{G,v}H)) * (L_{G,v}H))(v, w; t) \\ &= e^{-2t} \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2} (-1)^{k_1+k_2} I_{v+w+k_1+k_2}(2t). \end{aligned}$$

A simple inductive argument yields that, for any  $\ell \geq 1$  the following identity holds true

$$(H * (L_{G,v}H)^{* \ell})(v, w; t) = e^{-2t} \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell} (-1)^{k_1 + \dots + k_\ell} I_{v+w+k_1 + \dots + k_\ell}(2t).$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (H * (L_{G,v}H)^{* \ell})(v, w; t) = e^{-2t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) I_{v+w+n}(2t),$$

where  $a(1) = 1$  and

$$a(n) = (-1)^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n (-1)^\ell |S(n, \ell)|, \quad \text{for } n \geq 2,$$

where  $|S(n, \ell)|$  is the cardinality of the set  $S(n, \ell) = \{(k_1, \dots, k_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell : k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = n\}$ . Actually,  $|S(n, \ell)|$  is the number of ordered partitions of  $n \geq 2$  into  $\ell \geq 1$  parts and it equals  $\binom{n-1}{\ell-1}$ , hence

$$a(n) = (-1)^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n (-1)^\ell \binom{n-1}{\ell-1} = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

This proves that

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (H * (L_{G,v}H)^{* \ell})(v, w; t) = e^{-2t} I_{v+w+1}(2t),$$

hence the heat kernel on a discrete half-line is given by

$$H_G(v, w; t) = e^{-2t} (I_{|v-w|}(2t) + I_{v+w+1}(2t)).$$

## 8 The Dirichlet heat kernel on a graph

Let  $G$  be a subgraph of a (possibly infinite) graph  $\tilde{G}$  of finite and bounded valence. As above, we denote the set of boundary points of  $G$  by  $\partial G$ . We denote the set of interior points of  $G$  by  $\text{Int}(G) = VG \setminus \partial G$ . Similarly, we may view the graph with the vertex set  $VG \setminus \partial G$  and edges between the elements of the vertex set inherited from  $G$  to be a subgraph of  $G$ . The boundary of this subgraph will be denoted by  $\partial(G \setminus \partial G)$ .

We define the Dirichlet heat kernel  $H_{D,G}(v_1, v_2; t) : VG \times VG \times [0, \infty)$  on  $G$  with boundary  $\partial G$  in analogy to the Dirichlet problem on a manifold with the boundary, or on a regular

domain; see, for example Chapter VII of [Ch84]. Specifically, we define  $H_{D,G}(v_1, v_2; t)$  to be the solution to the differential equation

$$L_{G,v_1} H_{D,G}(v_1, v_2; t) := \left( \Delta_{G,v_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) H_{D,G}(v_1, v_2; t) = 0, \text{ if } v_1 \in G \setminus \partial G$$

with the property that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H_{D,G}(v_1, v_2, t) = \delta_{v_1=v_2} \quad \text{for } v_1 \in G \setminus \partial G$$

together with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$H_{D,G}(v_1, v_2; t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } v_2 \in G \text{ and } t \geq 0 \text{ whenever } v_1 \in \partial G.$$

The existence and uniqueness of the Dirichlet heat kernel readily follows from results in [Hu12]. The Dirichlet heat kernel in the setting of a discrete interval was constructed from the heat kernel on  $\mathbb{Z}$  in [Do12] using a symmetry based method of images.

**Proposition 15.** *Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a finite or infinite graph with bounded valence. Let  $G$  be a subgraph of  $\tilde{G}$  such that boundaries  $\partial G$  and  $\partial(G \setminus \partial G)$  are finite. Let  $\tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t)$  denote the heat kernel on  $\tilde{G}$ . For  $(v_1, v_2; t) \in VG \times VG \times [0, \infty)$  define the function*

$$H_0(v_1, v_2; t) := \begin{cases} \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t), & \text{for } v_1 \in VG \setminus \partial G, v_2 \in VG, t \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the series

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (L_{G,v_1} H_0)^{* \ell}(v_1, v_2; t) \tag{41}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on any compact subset of  $VG \times VG \times [0, \infty)$ . Furthermore, the Dirichlet heat kernel  $H_{D,G}(v_1, v_2; t)$  on  $G$  is given by

$$H_{D,G}(v_1, v_2; t) := H_0(v_1, v_2; t) + (H_0 * F)(v_1, v_2; t). \tag{42}$$

*Proof.* First, we note that our assumptions on  $\tilde{G}$  ensure existence and uniqueness of  $\tilde{H}$ ; see for example [DM06]). Hence, the function  $H_0$  is well defined. Let  $L_{\tilde{G},v_1}$  denote the heat operator on  $\tilde{G}$ . Then it is immediate that for all vertices  $v_1 \in VG \setminus (\partial G \cup \partial(G \setminus \partial G))$ , all vertices  $v_2 \in VG$  and any  $t \geq 0$  one has that

$$L_{\tilde{G},v_1} H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = L_{G,v_1} \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t) = 0.$$

In particular, this shows that  $L_{G,v_1} H_0(v_1, v_2; t)$  is supported on  $(\partial G \cup \partial(G \setminus \partial G)) \times VG \times [0, \infty)$ . Therefore, for all  $\ell \geq 1$ , the convolution  $(L_{G,v_1} H_0)^{* \ell}(v_1, v_2; t)$  is supported on the set  $(\partial G \cup \partial(G \setminus \partial G)) \times VG \times [0, \infty)$ .

Choose an arbitrary, but fixed finite subset  $A$  of  $VG$ . We now will prove for any  $T > 0$  the convergence of the series (41) on the set  $(\partial G \cup \partial(G \setminus \partial G)) \times A \times [0, \infty)$ , and that the convergence is absolute and uniform on the set.

From Proposition 11, the functions  $H_0(v_1, v_2; t)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_0(v_1, v_2; t)$  are uniformly bounded in  $t$ . Denote an upper bound the two functions by  $C(v_1, v_2)$ . Therefore, for every finite subset  $A$  of  $VG$  the function  $L_{G,v_1} H_0(v_1, v_2; t)$  is bounded by a certain constant  $C(A)$  which depends only on the graph  $G$  and the set  $A$ , and can be chosen to be a constant depending on the graph times  $\max_{v_1 \in (\partial G \cup \partial(G \setminus \partial G)), v_2 \in A} \{C(v_1, v_2)\}$ .

Let  $C$  denote the cardinality of the set  $\partial G \cup \partial(G \setminus \partial G)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{G,v_1}H_0 * L_{G,v_1}H_0)(v_1, v_2; t) &= \sum_{v \in \partial G \cup \partial(G \setminus \partial G)} \int_0^t L_{G,v_1}H_0(v_1, v; t - \tau) L_{G,v_1}H_0(v, v_2; \tau) d\tau \\ &= O(C \cdot C(A)t), \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends only upon  $G$ . By proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3, we deduce that

$$(L_{G,v_1}H_0)^{* \ell}(v_1, v_2; t) = O\left(C \cdot \frac{(C \cdot C(A)t)^{\ell-1}}{(\ell-1)!}\right),$$

where as before where the implied constant depends only upon  $G$ . With these bounds, we have proved the absolute and uniform convergence of the series (41) on the set  $(\partial G \cup \partial(G \setminus \partial G)) \times A \times [0, \infty)$ .

It is left to prove that the right-hand side of (42) is equal to the Dirichlet heat kernel  $H_{D,G}(v_1, v_2; t)$  on  $G$ . For  $v_1 \in \partial G$ ,  $v_2 \in G$  and all  $t \geq 0$ , and by the definition of  $H_0$  and convolution, it is immediate that  $H_{D,G}(v_1, v_2; t) = 0$ . What remains is to prove that  $L_{G,v_1}H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = 0$  for all  $v_1 \in VG \setminus \partial G$ ,  $v_2 \in G$  and all  $t \geq 0$ .

When  $v_1 \in VG \setminus \partial G$ ,  $H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = \tilde{H}(v_1, v_2; t)$ . Hence, by reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 4, and by using the Dirac property of  $\tilde{H}$  we get that

$$L_{G,v_1}(H_0 * F)(v_1, v_2; t) = F(v_1, v_2; t) + ((L_{G,v_1}H_0) * F)(v_1, v_2; t).$$

The absolute and uniform convergence of the series (41) suffices to deduce that  $L_{G,v_1}H_0(v_1, v_2; t) = 0$  for all  $v_1 \in VG \setminus \partial G$ ,  $v_2 \in G$  and all  $t \geq 0$ , as in the proof of Theorem 5.  $\square$

As an example, let us compute the fundamental solution to the Dirichlet problem on the discrete half-line  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  when starting with the heat kernel on the graph  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 16.** With the notation from Example 14, let  $G$  be the discrete half-line  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  when viewed as a subgraph of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let us set

$$H_0(x, y; t) := \begin{cases} e^{-2t} I_{|x-y|}(2t), & \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \geq 1, y \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the Dirichlet heat kernel on  $G$  is given by Proposition 15. In order to compute it explicitly, one first notices that

$$L_{G,x}H_0(x, y; t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } x \geq 2; \\ e^{-2t} I_y(2t), & \text{when } x = 1 \\ -e^{-2t} I_{|y-1|}(2t), & \text{when } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$F(x, y; t) := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell (L_{G,x}H_0)^{* \ell}(x, y; t), \quad x, y = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \quad t \geq 0.$$

When viewed as a function of the first variable  $x$ ,  $L_{G,x}H_0(x, y; t)$  is supported on the set  $\{0, 1\}$ . Then,  $F(x, y; t)$  as a function of  $x$  is also supported on the set  $\{0, 1\}$ . Therefore, we need to find an expression for  $F$  when  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$ .

**Case 1.** When  $x = 0$ . First, we compute  $(L_{G,x}H_0)^{* \ell}(0, y; t)$  for  $\ell \geq 2$ . When  $y \geq 1$  we have that

$$(L_{G,x}H_0)^{*2}(0, y; t) = e^{-2t} \int_0^t (I_1(2(t-\tau))I_{|y-1|}(2\tau) - I_0(2(t-\tau))I_y(2\tau))d\tau = 0$$

while

$$(L_{G,x}H_0)^{*2}(0, 0; t) = -e^{-2t}I_1(2t) = L_{G,x}H_0(0, 0; t).$$

Since  $(L_{G,x}H_0)^{*2}(0, 1; t) = 0$ , we can now deduce that

$$(L_{G,x}H_0)^{*2\ell}(0, 0; t) = (L_{G,x}H_0)^{*2(\ell-1)}H_0(0, 0; t) \quad \text{for all } \ell \geq 1.$$

It is somewhat straightforward to show that  $(L_{G,x}H_0)^{*2\ell}(0, y; t) = 0$  unless  $y = 0$ . Also, we have that

$$(L_{G,x}H_0)^{*2(\ell+1)}(0, y; t) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell+1}e^{-2t}}{2^\ell}((I_1)^{* \ell} * I_{(y-1)})(2t), \quad \text{for } y \geq 1.$$

Note that the symbol  $*$  in the above display is used for two types of convolution: the graph convolution on the left-hand side and the classical convolution of two functions on the right-hand side. We will continue using this notation, as it is clear from the context which type of convolution is used.

With all this, we can conclude that  $F(0, 0; t) \equiv 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and

$$F(0, y; t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\ell e^{-2t}}{2^\ell} ((I_1)^{* \ell} * I_{|y-1|})(2t) \quad \text{for } y \geq 1,$$

where we interpret a 0-fold convolution to act as the identity operator:

$$((I_1)^{*0} * f)(t) = f(t) \quad \text{for all } f : (0, \infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

**Case 2.**  $x = 1$ . We shall compute  $(L_{G,x}H_0)^{* \ell}(1, y; t)$  for  $\ell \geq 2$ . When  $\ell = 2$  we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{G,x}H_0)^{*2}(1, y; t) &= e^{-2t} \int_0^t (I_1(2(t-\tau))I_y(2\tau) - I_0(2(t-\tau))I_{|y-1|}(2\tau))d\tau \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } y = 0; \\ -e^{-2t}I_y(2t), & \text{for } y \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Analogous calculations as in Case 1 give that

$$F(1, y; t) = \begin{cases} -2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\ell e^{-2t}}{2^\ell} ((I_1)^{* \ell} * I_y)(2t), & \text{for } y \geq 1; \\ - \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\ell e^{-2t}}{2^\ell} ((I_1)^{* \ell} * I_0)(2t), & \text{for } y = 0. \end{cases}$$

We now can compute the convolution of  $H_0$  and  $F$  in closed form. First, notice that the convolution of  $I_m$  and  $I_n$  depends only on the sum of  $m$  and  $n$ , and that it is commutative operation. Therefore, for  $x, y \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 0$  we have

$$\left( I_x * \left[ (I_1)^{* \ell} * I_{y-1} \right] \right) (2t) = \left( I_{x-1} * \left[ (I_1)^{* \ell} * I_y \right] \right) (2t) = \left[ (I_1)^{* \ell} * (I_{x-1} * I_y) \right] (2t).$$

The convolution series over  $\ell$  converges absolutely and uniformly over compact subsets of  $VG \times VG \times [0, \infty)$ . With this, we get a closed formula for  $H_0 * F(x, y; t)$ . Specifically, for all  $x \geq 1$ ,  $y \geq 0$  and  $t \geq 0$ , we have that

$$H_0 * F(x, y; t) = e^{-2t} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\ell+1} e^{-2t}}{2^{\ell+1}} \left( (I_1)^{* \ell} * (I_{x-1} * I_y) \right) (2t).$$

Therefore, the Dirichlet heat kernel on the half-line  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is given for  $t \geq 0$  by

$$H_{D,G}(x, y; t) = e^{-2t} \begin{cases} I_{|x-y|}(2t) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\ell+1} e^{-2t}}{2^{\ell+1}} \left( (I_1)^{* \ell} * (I_{x-1} * I_y) \right) (2t), & \text{for } x \geq 1, y \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to check directly that for all  $x, y \geq 0$  and  $t \geq 0$  the Dirichlet heat kernel on the half-line  $G$  can be expressed as

$$H_{D,G}(x, y; t) = e^{-2t} (I_{x-y}(2t) - I_{x+y}(2t)).$$

The uniqueness of the Dirichlet heat kernel immediately implies the identity that

$$I_{x+y}(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{2^{\ell+1}} \left( (I_1)^{* \ell} * (I_{x-1} * I_y) \right) (t)$$

for all integers  $x \geq 1$  and  $y \geq 0$ . From this expression, we will point out the two interesting special cases that

$$I_1(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{2^{\ell+1}} \left( (I_1)^{* \ell} * (I_0)^{* 2} \right) (t)$$

and

$$I_2(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{2^{\ell+1}} \left( (I_1)^{* (\ell+1)} * I_0 \right) (t).$$

## References

- [Av01] I. Avramidi: *Heat kernel approach in quantum field theory*, Quantum gravity and spectral geometry (Napoli, 2001). Nuclear Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 104 (2002), 3–32. 1
- [BIK14] D. Burago, S. Ivanov, Y. Kurylev: A graph discretization of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, J. Spectr. Theory 4 (2014), 675–714. 9
- [CHJSV23] C. A. Cadavid, P. Hoyos, J. Jorgenson, L. Smajlović, J. D. Vélez: On an approach for evaluating certain trigonometric character sums using the discrete time heat kernel, European J. Combin. 108 (2023), Paper No. 103635, 23 pp. 1
- [Ch84] I. Chavel: *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*, Academic Press, 1984. 1, 6, 8
- [Do84] J. Dodziuk: Elliptic operators on infinite graphs, Analysis, geometry and topology of elliptic operators: papers in honor of Krzysztof P. Wojciechowski, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006, 353–368. 1
- [DM06] J. Dodziuk, V. Mathai: Kato’s inequality and asymptotic spectral properties for discrete magnetic Laplacians, The ubiquitous heat kernel, Contemp. Math., vol. 398, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, 69–81. 1, 5, 8

- [Do12] J. S. Dowker: Heat kernels on the discrete circle and interval, Preprint, arXiv:1207.2096v2, 2012. 8
- [GJ18] D. Garbin, J. Jorgenson: Spectral asymptotics on sequences of elliptically degenerating Riemann surfaces, *L'Enseignement Mathématique* **64** (2018), 161–206. 1
- [GR07] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik: *Table of integrals, series and products*. Elsevier Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2007. 14
- [Gr09] A. Grigor'yan: Heat kernel and analysis on manifolds. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 47. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Boston, MA, 2009. xviii+482 pp. 1, 4
- [Gr22] A. Grigor'yan, Y. Lin, S.-T. Yau: Discrete tori and trigonometric sums, *J. Geom. Anal.* **32** (2022), no. 12, Paper No. 298, 17 pp. 1
- [Hu12] X. Huang: On uniqueness class for a heat equation on graphs, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **393** (2012), no. 2, 377–388. 8
- [JoLa01] J. Jorgenson, S. Lang: The ubiquitous heat kernel, in: *Mathematics Unlimited - 2001 and Beyond*, ed. Enquist and Schmid, *Springer-Verlag* (2001) 655–684. 1
- [KN06] A. Karlsson, M. Neuhauser: Heat kernels, theta identities, and zeta functions on cyclic groups. Topological and asymptotic aspects of group theory, *Contemp. Math.* **394**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, 177–189. 1, 14
- [LL05] J. Lafferty, G. Lebanon: Diffusion kernels on statistical manifolds. *J. Mach. Learn. Res* **6** (2005), 129–163. 1
- [LNY21] Y. Lin, S.-M. Ngai, S.-T. Yau: Heat kernels on forms defined on a subgraph of a complete graph. *Math. Ann.* 380 (2021), no. 3-4, 1891–1931. 1, 1, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6
- [Mi49] S. Minakshisundaram: A generalization of Epstein zeta functions. With a supplementary note by Hermann Weyl, *Canad. J. Math.* **1** (1949), 320–327. 1
- [Mi53] S. Minakshisundaram: Eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **17** (1953), 159–165. 1
- [MP49] S. Minakshisundaram, Å. Pleijel: Some properties of the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-operator on Riemannian manifolds, *Canad. J. Math.* **1** (1949), 242–256. 1
- [Ro97] S. Rosenberg: *The Laplacian on a Riemannian Manifold: An Introduction to Analysis on Manifolds*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 1
- [Wa66] G. N. Watson: *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1966. 14
- [Wo21] R. K. Wojciechowski: Stochastic completeness of graphs: bounded Laplacians, intrinsic metrics, volume growth and curvature, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **27** (2021), no. 2, Paper No. 30, 45 pp. 1

Gautam Chinta  
Department of Mathematics

The City College of New York  
Convent Avenue at 138th Street  
New York, NY 10031 U.S.A.  
e-mail: gchinta@ccny.cuny.edu

Jay Jorgenson  
Department of Mathematics  
The City College of New York  
Convent Avenue at 138th Street  
New York, NY 10031 U.S.A.  
e-mail: jjorgenson@mindspring.com

Anders Karlsson  
Section de mathématiques  
Université de Genève  
2-4 Rue du Lièvre  
Case Postale 64, 1211  
Genève 4, Suisse  
e-mail: anders.karlsson@unige.ch

and  
Matematiska institutionen  
Uppsala universitet  
Box 256, 751 05  
Uppsala, Sweden  
e-mail: anders.karlsson@math.uu.se

Lejla Smajlović  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
University of Sarajevo  
Zmaja od Bosne 35, 71 000 Sarajevo  
Bosnia and Herzegovina  
e-mail: lejlas@pmf.unsa.ba