Multisymplectic (co-)momentum geometry

Leonid Ryvkin

University of Duisburg-Essen

14.10.2020



Virtual Three-Day Workshop for Young Poisson Geometers



References

The talk is based on :

- L.R. and T. Wurzbacher Existence and unicity of co-moments in multisymplectic geometry, Journal of Differential Geometry and Applications, 2015.
- ► A. Miti and L.R. Multisymplectic actions of compact Lie groups on spheres, accepted to the Journal of Symplectic Geometry, 2019.
- ► L. Mammadova and L.R. On the extension problem for weak moment maps, arXiv :2001.00264, 2020.

And :

- M. Callies, Y. Fregier, C. L. Rogers, M. Zambon Homotopy moment maps, Advances in Mathematics, 2016.
- Y. Fregier, C. Laurent-Gengoux, M. Zambon A cohomological framework for homotopy moment maps, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 2015.
- ► J. Hermann Weak Moment Maps in Multisymplectic Geometry, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 2018.

References

The talk is based on :

- L.R. and T. Wurzbacher Existence and unicity of co-moments in multisymplectic geometry, Journal of Differential Geometry and Applications, 2015.
- ► A. Miti and L.R. Multisymplectic actions of compact Lie groups on spheres, accepted to the Journal of Symplectic Geometry, 2019.
- L. Mammadova and L.R. On the extension problem for weak moment maps, arXiv :2001.00264, 2020.

And :

- M. Callies, Y. Fregier, C. L. Rogers, M. Zambon Homotopy moment maps, Advances in Mathematics, 2016.
- Y. Fregier, C. Laurent-Gengoux, M. Zambon A cohomological framework for homotopy moment maps, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 2015.
- ► J. Hermann Weak Moment Maps in Multisymplectic Geometry, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 2018.

Multisymplectic manifolds

Definition

Multisymplectic manifold : (M, ω) , such that $\omega \in \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ is closed and non-degenerate.

Non-degeneracy : The map $\iota_{\bullet}\omega$: $TM \to \Lambda^k T^*M$, $v \mapsto \iota_v \omega$ is injective.

- \blacktriangleright $k = 1 : \omega$ is symplectic.
- $k = dim(M) 1 : \omega$ is a volume form.
- k = 2 : Let G be a semsisimple Lie group and ⟨·, ·⟩ its Killing form. Then ⟨[·, ·], ·⟩ extends to a biinvariant (multisymplectic) form ω.

Multisymplectic manifolds

Definition

Multisymplectic manifold : (M, ω) , such that $\omega \in \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ is closed and non-degenerate.

Non-degeneracy : The map $\iota_{\bullet}\omega$: $TM \to \Lambda^k T^*M$, $v \mapsto \iota_v \omega$ is injective.

- $k = 1 : \omega$ is symplectic.
- $k = dim(M) 1 : \omega$ is a volume form.
- k = 2 : Let G be a semsisimple Lie group and ⟨·, ·⟩ its Killing form. Then ⟨[·, ·], ·⟩ extends to a biinvariant (multisymplectic) form ω.

Multisymplectic manifolds

Definition

Multisymplectic manifold : (M, ω) , such that $\omega \in \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ is closed and non-degenerate.

Non-degeneracy : The map $\iota_{\bullet}\omega$: $TM \to \Lambda^k T^*M$, $v \mapsto \iota_v \omega$ is injective.

- $k = 1 : \omega$ is symplectic.
- $k = dim(M) 1 : \omega$ is a volume form.
- k = 2 : Let G be a semsisimple Lie group and ⟨·, ·⟩ its Killing form. Then ⟨[·, ·], ·⟩ extends to a biinvariant (multisymplectic) form ω.

Reminder : Symplectic moment maps

Let (M, ω) be symplectic and $\vartheta : M \times G \to M$ a Lie group action that preserves ω .

Definition

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Moment map} : f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathfrak{g}^{*}), \text{ such that} \\ 1. \ df^{\xi}(\cdot) = \omega(v_{\xi}, \cdot) & \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}. \\ 2. \ f(\vartheta_{g}(x)) = Ad^{*}_{g}(f(x)) & \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ , } x \in M. \end{array}$

Assume G is connected, then from a dual perspective,

 $f:\mathfrak{g}\to C^\infty(M)$

1. that lifts the infinitesimal action $v : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

2. and is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

is called *comoment*.

Reminder : Symplectic moment maps

Let (M, ω) be symplectic and $\vartheta : M \times G \to M$ a Lie group action that preserves ω .

Definition

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Moment map} : f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathfrak{g}^{*}), \text{ such that} \\ 1. \ df^{\xi}(\cdot) = \omega(v_{\xi}, \cdot) & \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}. \\ 2. \ f(\vartheta_{g}(x)) = Ad^{*}_{g}(f(x)) & \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ , } x \in M. \end{array}$

Assume G is connected, then from a dual perspective,

$$f:\mathfrak{g}\to C^\infty(M)$$

- 1. that lifts the infinitesimal action $v : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
- 2. and is a Lie algebra homomorphism.
- is called *comoment*.

Let (M, ω) be multisymplectic.

 $\Omega^{k-1}_{Ham}(M,\omega) := \{ \alpha \ | \ d\alpha = -\iota_{X_{\alpha}}\omega \ \text{ for some } X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \} \subset \Omega^{k-1}(M)$



Let (M, ω) be multisymplectic.

 $\Omega^{k-1}_{Ham}(M,\omega) := \{ \alpha \ | \ d\alpha = -\iota_{X_{\alpha}}\omega \ \text{ for some } X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \} \subset \Omega^{k-1}(M)$



Let (M, ω) be multisymplectic.

 $\Omega^{k-1}_{Ham}(M,\omega) := \{ \alpha \ | \ d\alpha = -\iota_{X_{\alpha}}\omega \ \text{ for some } X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \} \subset \Omega^{k-1}(M)$



Definition/ Proposition (Rogers2012)

The L $_\infty$ -algebra of multisymplectic observables : L $_\infty(M,\omega)$

- 1. vector spaces L_i for i = 0, ..., k 1
- 2. differential $\{\cdot\}_1 := d$
- 3. higher brakets $\{\cdots\}_i$

is an L_{∞} -algebra.

for
$$i = 2, ..., k+1$$

 $0 = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1\\\sigma\in ush(i,n-i)}} (-1)^{i(j+1)} sgn(\sigma) \epsilon(\sigma; x) \{\{x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(i)}\}_i, x_{\sigma(i+1)}..., x_{\sigma(n)}\}_j$

Moreover, $L_{\infty}(M,\omega) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a L_{∞} -homomorphism.

Definition/ Proposition (Rogers2012)

The L $_\infty$ -algebra of multisymplectic observables : L $_\infty(M,\omega)$

- 1. vector spaces L_i for i = 0, ..., k 1
- 2. differential $\{\cdot\}_1 := d$
- 3. higher brakets $\{\cdots\}_i$

is an L_{∞} -algebra.

for
$$i = 2, ..., k+1$$

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1\\\sigma \in ush(i,n-i)}} (-1)^{i(j+1)} sgn(\sigma) \epsilon(\sigma; x) \{\{x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(i)}\}_i, x_{\sigma(i+1)}..., x_{\sigma(n)}\}_j\}$$

Moreover, $L_{\infty}(M,\omega) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a L_{∞} -homomorphism.

Definition/ Proposition (Rogers2012)

The L $_\infty$ -algebra of multisymplectic observables : L $_\infty(M,\omega)$

- 1. vector spaces L_i for i = 0, ..., k 1
- 2. differential $\{\cdot\}_1 := d$
- 3. higher brakets $\{\cdots\}_i$

is an L_{∞} -algebra.

for
$$i = 2, ..., k+1$$

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1\\\sigma \in ush(i,n-i)}} (-1)^{i(j+1)} sgn(\sigma) \epsilon(\sigma; x) \{\{x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(i)}\}_i, x_{\sigma(i+1)}..., x_{\sigma(n)}\}_j\}$$

Moreover, $L_{\infty}(M, \omega) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a L_{∞} -homomorphism.

Let (M, ω) multisymplectic and $v : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M, \omega)$ an action preserving ω .

Definition FRZ-2013

A (homotopy) comment is an L_{∞} homomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g} \to L_{\infty}(M, \omega)$ projecting to v.

$$\begin{array}{c}
L_{\infty}(M,\omega) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{f \\ \swarrow \\ \psi} \\
\mathfrak{X}(M)
\end{array}$$

I.e. it is given by $f_i : \bigwedge^i \mathfrak{g} \to L_{i-1}$ for $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$, such that

1.
$$df_1(\xi) = -\iota_{v_{\xi}}\omega$$

2. $\sum_{k < l} -(-1)^{k+l} f_{l-1}([\xi_k, \xi_l] \wedge \xi_1 \dots \wedge \hat{\xi}_k \wedge \dots \hat{\xi}_l \wedge \dots \xi_l) = df_i(\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_i) - (-1)^{\frac{i(l-1)}{2}} \omega(v_{\xi_1}, \dots, v_{\xi_i}, \dots)$

Let (M, ω) multisymplectic and $v : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M, \omega)$ an action preserving ω .

Definition FRZ-2013

A (homotopy) comment is an L_{∞} homomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g} \to L_{\infty}(M, \omega)$ projecting to v.

$$\begin{array}{c}
L_{\infty}(M,\omega) \\
\downarrow^{f} \downarrow^{\checkmark} & \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\checkmark \quad \nu} & \mathfrak{X}(M)
\end{array}$$

I.e. it is given by $f_i : \bigwedge^i \mathfrak{g} \to L_{i-1}$ for $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$, such that

1.
$$df_1(\xi) = -\iota_{v_{\xi}}\omega$$

2. $\sum_{k < l} -(-1)^{k+l} f_{l-1}([\xi_k, \xi_l] \wedge \xi_1 \dots \wedge \hat{\xi}_k \wedge \dots \hat{\xi}_l \wedge \dots \xi_i) = df_i(\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_i) - (-1)^{\frac{i(l-1)}{2}} \omega(v_{\xi_1}, \dots, v_{\xi_i}, \dots)$

Theorem (RW15 and FLZ15)

Let (M, ω) be multisymplectic and $v : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M, \omega)$.

- The map ξ₁ ∧ ... ∧ ξ_i ↦ ±ω(v_{ξ1}, ..., v_{ξi}, ···) defines classes c_i ∈ Hⁱ(𝔅) ⊗ H^{k+1-i}_{dR}(M)
- A homotopy comment *f* for the action exists if and only if *c_i* = 0 for all *i* ∈ {1, ..., *k* + 1}

Symplectic case : The obstructions to the existence of a comoment live in $H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^1_{dR}(M)$ and $H^2(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^0_{dR}(M)$.

Theorem (RW15 and FLZ15)

Let (M, ω) be multisymplectic and $v : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M, \omega)$.

- The map ξ₁ ∧ ... ∧ ξ_i ↦ ±ω(v_{ξ1}, ..., v_{ξi}, ···) defines classes c_i ∈ Hⁱ(𝔅) ⊗ H^{k+1-i}_{dR}(M)
- A homotopy comment *f* for the action exists if and only if *c_i* = 0 for all *i* ∈ {1, ..., *k* + 1}

Symplectic case : The obstructions to the existence of a comoment live in $H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^1_{dR}(M)$ and $H^2(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^0_{dR}(M)$.

Theorem (MR19)

Let (M, ω) as above, G compact, $\vartheta : M \times G \to M$ preserves ω . A comment exists if and only if

$$[\vartheta^*\omega - \pi^*\omega] = 0 \in H^{k+1}_{dR}(M \times G)$$

Corollaries :

- If ω has an (invariant) potential, then there is a comoment.
- ▶ If ω can be extended to an equivariant cohomology class $\tilde{\omega} \in H^{k+1}_G(M)$, then there is a comoment.
- If G₁ has a comment on (M₁, ω₁) and G₂ has a comment on (M₂, ω₂), then G₁ × G₂ has a comment on (M₁ × M₂, π₁^{*}ω₁ + π₂^{*}ω₂)
- ... and on $(M_1 \times M_2, \pi_1^* \omega_1 \wedge \pi_2^* \omega_2)$. [SZ16]

Theorem (MR19)

Let (M, ω) as above, G compact, $\vartheta : M \times G \to M$ preserves ω . A comment exists if and only if

$$[\vartheta^*\omega - \pi^*\omega] = 0 \in H^{k+1}_{dR}(M \times G)$$

Corollaries :

- If ω has an (invariant) potential, then there is a comoment.
- If ω can be extended to an equivariant cohomology class $\tilde{\omega} \in H_G^{k+1}(M)$, then there is a comoment.
- ▶ If G_1 has a comment on (M_1, ω_1) and G_2 has a comment on (M_2, ω_2) , then $G_1 \times G_2$ has a comment on $(M_1 \times M_2, \pi_1^* \omega_1 + \pi_2^* \omega_2)$
- ... and on $(M_1 \times M_2, \pi_1^* \omega_1 \wedge \pi_2^* \omega_2)$. [SZ16]

Theorem (MR19)

Let (M, ω) as above, G compact, $\vartheta : M \times G \to M$ preserves ω . A comment exists if and only if

$$[\vartheta^*\omega - \pi^*\omega] = 0 \in H^{k+1}_{dR}(M \times G)$$

Corollaries :

- If ω has an (invariant) potential, then there is a comoment.
- If ω can be extended to an equivariant cohomology class $\tilde{\omega} \in H^{k+1}_G(M)$, then there is a comoment.
- If G₁ has a comment on (M₁, ω₁) and G₂ has a comment on (M₂, ω₂), then G₁ × G₂ has a comment on (M₁ × M₂, π₁^{*}ω₁ + π₂^{*}ω₂)
- ... and on $(M_1 \times M_2, \pi_1^* \omega_1 \wedge \pi_2^* \omega_2)$. [SZ16]

Theorem (MR19)

Let $M = S^n$, ω a volume form and G compact, acting effectively, preserving the volume. The action admits a homotopy comoment map if and only if

- *n* is even,
- or the action is not transitive.

- $SO(2n+1) \bigcirc S^{2n}$: has a comoment
- ▶ $SO(2n) \bigcirc S^{2n-1}$: has no comoment
- ► $SO(n) \bigcirc S^n$: has a comoment

Theorem (MR19)

Let $M = S^n$, ω a volume form and G compact, acting effectively, preserving the volume. The action admits a homotopy comoment map if and only if

- *n* is even,
- or the action is not transitive.

Examples :

- $SO(2n+1) \circlearrowleft S^{2n}$: has a comoment
- ► $SO(2n) \bigcirc S^{2n-1}$: has no comoment

▶ $SO(n) \bigcirc S^n$: has a comoment

Theorem (MR19)

Let $M = S^n$, ω a volume form and G compact, acting effectively, preserving the volume. The action admits a homotopy comoment map if and only if

- *n* is even,
- or the action is not transitive.

- $SO(2n+1) \circlearrowleft S^{2n}$: has a comoment
- $SO(2n) \circlearrowleft S^{2n-1}$: has no comoment
- $SO(n) \bigcirc S^n$: has a comoment

Idea of proof :

► The obstructions live in : $H^{n-1}(S^n) \otimes H^1(G)$ $\oplus ...$ $\oplus H^1(S^n) \otimes H^{n-1}(G)$ $\oplus H^0(S^n) \otimes H^n(G) = H^n$

▶ intransitive case : There exists an orbit $p \cdot G \subset S^n$ of dim < n.

transitive case : classification

$$\blacktriangleright SO(n)/SO(n-1) = S^{n-1}$$

$$\blacktriangleright SU(n)/SU(n-1) = S^{2n-1}$$

•
$$Sp(n)/Sp(n-1) = S^{4n-1}$$

- $G_2/SU(3) = S^6$
- Spin(7) / $G_2 = S^7$

•
$$Spin(9)/Spin(7) = S^{15}$$
.

Idea of proof :

► The obstructions live in : $H^{n-1}(S^n) \otimes H^1(G)$ $\oplus ...$ $\oplus H^1(S^n) \otimes H^{n-1}(G)$ $\oplus H^0(S^n) \otimes H^n(G) = H^n(G)$

▶ intransitive case : There exists an orbit $p \cdot G \subset S^n$ of dim < n.

transitive case : classification

- $\triangleright SO(n)/SO(n-1) = S^{n-1}$
- $\blacktriangleright SU(n)/SU(n-1) = S^{2n-1}$
- ► $Sp(n)/Sp(n-1) = S^{4n-1}$

- $G_2/SU(3) = S^6$
- $Spin(7)/G_2 = S^7$
- $Spin(9)/Spin(7) = S^{15}$.

Idea of proof :

► The obstructions live in : $H^{n-1}(S^n) \otimes H^1(G)$ $\oplus ...$ $\oplus H^1(S^n) \otimes H^{n-1}(G)$ $\oplus H^0(S^n) \otimes H^n(G) = H^n(G)$

▶ intransitive case : There exists an orbit $p \cdot G \subset S^n$ of dim < n.

► transitive case : classification

$$\triangleright SO(n)/SO(n-1) = S^{n-1}$$

$$\blacktriangleright SU(n)/SU(n-1) = S^{2n-1}$$

•
$$Sp(n)/Sp(n-1) = S^{4n-1}$$

- $G_2/SU(3) = S^6$
- Spin(7)/ $G_2 = S^7$

•
$$Spin(9)/Spin(7) = S^{15}$$
.

Idea of proof :

► The obstructions live in : $H^{n-1}(S^n) \otimes H^1(G)$ $\oplus ...$ $\oplus H^1(S^n) \otimes H^{n-1}(G)$ $\oplus H^0(S^n) \otimes H^n(G) = H^n(G)$

▶ intransitive case : There exists an orbit $p \cdot G \subset S^n$ of dim < n.

transitive case : classification

$$\blacktriangleright SO(n)/SO(n-1) = S^{n-1}$$

►
$$SU(n)/SU(n-1) = S^{2n-1}$$

•
$$Sp(n)/Sp(n-1) = S^{4n-1}$$

• $G_2/SU(3) = S^6$

•
$$Spin(7)/G_2 = S^7$$

•
$$Spin(9)/Spin(7) = S^{15}$$
.

Let
$$(M, \omega)$$
 and G as above. $P_{\mathfrak{g}}^k = ker(\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g} o \Lambda^{k-1} \mathfrak{g})$

Definition (H2018)

A weak comment map is a collection $f_i : P_g^i \to L_{i-1}$ for $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$, such that 1. $df_1(\xi) = -\iota_{v_{\xi}}\omega$ 2. $0 = df_i(\xi_1 \land ... \land \xi_i) - (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} \omega(v_{\xi_1}, ..., v_{\xi_i}, \cdots)$

If a homotopy comoment exists, it exists.

- ▶ If $H^1_{dR}(M) = ... = H^k_{dR}(M) = 0$, then it exists.
- Especially, for (S^n, ω) it exists. (n = k + 1).

Let
$$(M, \omega)$$
 and G as above. $P_{\mathfrak{g}}^k = ker(\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g} o \Lambda^{k-1} \mathfrak{g})$

Definition (H2018)

A weak comment map is a collection $f_i : P_g^i \to L_{i-1}$ for $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$, such that 1. $df_1(\xi) = -\iota_{v_{\xi}}\omega$ 2. $0 = df_i(\xi_1 \land ... \land \xi_i) - (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}\omega(v_{\xi_1}, ..., v_{\xi_i}, \cdots)$

If a homotopy comoment exists, it exists.

- ▶ If $H^1_{dR}(M) = ... = H^k_{dR}(M) = 0$, then it exists.
- Especially, for (S^n, ω) it exists. (n = k + 1).

Let
$$(M, \omega)$$
 and G as above. $P_{\mathfrak{g}}^k = ker(\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g} o \Lambda^{k-1} \mathfrak{g})$

Definition (H2018)

A weak comment map is a collection $f_i : P_g^i \to L_{i-1}$ for $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$, such that 1. $df_1(\xi) = -\iota_{v_{\xi}}\omega$ 2. $0 = df_i(\xi_1 \land ... \land \xi_i) - (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}\omega(v_{\xi_1}, ..., v_{\xi_i}, \cdots)$

If a homotopy comoment exists, it exists.

▶ If $H^1_{dR}(M) = ... = H^k_{dR}(M) = 0$, then it exists.

• Especially, for (S^n, ω) it exists. (n = k + 1).

Let
$$(M, \omega)$$
 and G as above. $P_{\mathfrak{g}}^k = ker(\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g} o \Lambda^{k-1} \mathfrak{g})$

Definition (H2018)

A weak comment map is a collection $f_i : P_g^i \to L_{i-1}$ for $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$, such that 1. $df_1(\xi) = -\iota_{v_{\xi}}\omega$ 2. $0 = df_i(\xi_1 \land ... \land \xi_i) - (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}\omega(v_{\xi_1}, ..., v_{\xi_i}, \cdots)$

If a homotopy comment exists, it exists.
 If H¹_{dR}(M) = ... = H^k_{dR}(M) = 0, then it exists.
 Especially, for (Sⁿ, ω) it exists. (n = k + 1).

Let
$$(M, \omega)$$
 and G as above. $P_{\mathfrak{g}}^k = ker(\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g} o \Lambda^{k-1} \mathfrak{g})$

Definition (H2018)

A weak comment map is a collection $f_i : P_g^i \to L_{i-1}$ for $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$, such that 1. $df_1(\xi) = -\iota_{v_{\xi}}\omega$ 2. $0 = df_i(\xi_1 \land ... \land \xi_i) - (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}\omega(v_{\xi_1}, ..., v_{\xi_i}, \cdots)$

If a homotopy comoment exists, it exists.

- If $H^1_{dR}(M) = ... = H^k_{dR}(M) = 0$, then it exists.
- Especially, for (S^n, ω) it exists. (n = k + 1).

If $c_{k+1} \neq 0 \in H^0_{dR}(M) \otimes H^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g})$, then there is no homotopy comoment, but there still might be a weak comoment.

Theorem (MR2020)

A weak comoment f for the action exists if and only if $c_i = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

If $c_{k+1} \neq 0 \in H^0_{dR}(M) \otimes H^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g})$, then there is no homotopy comoment, but there still might be a weak comoment.

Theorem (MR2020)

A weak comoment f for the action exists if and only if $c_i = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

Thank you for your attention !