ERRATA

This document contains a list (probably not exhaustive!) of mistakes I've found in my PhD thesis. If you notice any other, just tell me! (Please ignore syntaxical, grammatical, stylistical, ... errors; otherwise this file would become too large!)

- **p.50** In definition (D81) and (D82), $w^*(\gamma)$ should be $w^*(\gamma')$.
- p.57 After equation (3.11), replace "which is exactly (D88)" by "which, up to a constant, is (D88)".
- **p.58** Equation (3.11) should be $\alpha(n) = -\frac{1}{\|t\|_2} \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{G(k)}{k}$.
- **p.60** After the end of the proof, replace " τ is continuous" by " $\tau(\boldsymbol{n}|\Lambda_{L,M};\beta)$ is Lipschitz, uniformly in n and $\Lambda_{L,M}$ ".
- **p.64** In equations (3.37) and (3.38), $\langle \sigma((0,0)) \rangle_{\Lambda_{L,M}}^{+,\beta,h'}$ should be $\langle \sigma((0,0)) \rangle^{+,\beta,h'}$ (3 times). Between these two equations, $N \geq N_0$ should be $L \geq L_0$.
- **p.76** In equation (4.22), replace = by \leq .
- **p.78** In Lemma 4.2.6, replace $\lambda_i \subset A_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ by $\lambda_i \subset A_i$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$.
- **p.82** Equation (4.44) should read

$$\langle \sigma(t)\sigma(t')\rangle^{J} \geqslant \langle \sigma(t)\sigma(t')\rangle_{\Lambda}^{J} = \sum_{\lambda:\partial\lambda = \{t,t'\}} q_{\Lambda}(\lambda;J) \geqslant \sum_{\lambda:\partial\lambda = \{t,t'\}\atop \lambda \subset \Lambda} q(\lambda;J),$$

and the first line of equation (4.45) should be

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda:\,\partial\lambda=\{t,t'\}\\\lambda\subset\Lambda}}q_{\Lambda'}(\lambda)=\sum_{\substack{\lambda:\,\partial\lambda=\{t,t'\}\\\lambda\subset\Lambda}}q_{\Lambda'}(\lambda)-\sum_{\substack{\lambda:\,\partial\lambda=\{t,t'\}\\\lambda\subset\Lambda}}q_{\Lambda'}(\lambda)$$

- **p.85, 86** Lemma 4.3.3 contains a lot of typos and a mistake.
 - 1) Typos:

First line of the proof of Lemma 4.3.3: i, j should of course be t, t'. In equations (4.50) and (4.53), replace $\langle \sigma(0) \rangle_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta} \langle \sigma(t) \rangle_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}$ by $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta} \langle \sigma(t') \rangle_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}$.

In (4.55), replace twice t'-t by t.

2) Correction of the mistake:

First \mathfrak{c} in (4.51) must be interpreted as the \star -connected circuit of + on the exterior boundary of the smallest contour surrounding t (which cannot contain t' by construction! Notice that there are no constraints on the system outside this contour). Then one has to rewrite the last line of (4.51) as

$$\leqslant \sum_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{G}} P_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}[\{\omega(t) = -1\} \, | \, \mathfrak{c}] \, P_{\Lambda''(\mathfrak{c})}^{+,\beta}[\{\omega(t') = -1\}] \, P_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}[\mathfrak{c}] + P_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}[\mathcal{Z}] \, .$$

Now FKG implies $P_{\Lambda''(\mathfrak{c})}^{+,\beta}[\{\omega(t')=-1\}]\leqslant P_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}[\{\omega(t')=-1\}]$ and therefore

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}} P_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}[\{\omega(t) = -1 \,|\, \mathfrak{c}\}] P_{\Lambda''(\mathfrak{c})}^{+,\beta}[\{\omega(t') = -1\}] P_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}[\mathfrak{c}] \\ &\leqslant P_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}[\{\omega(t) = -1\} \cap \mathcal{Z}^c] \, P_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}[\{\omega(t') = -1\}] \\ &\leqslant P_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}[\{\omega(t) = -1\}] \, P_{\Lambda}^{+,\beta}[\{\omega(t') = -1\}] \,, \end{split}$$

and the conclusion follows as before.

```
p.88 In equation (4.62), replace \leq \tau^* by = \tau^*.
```

p.97 In equation (4.105), remove $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n}$

- **p.106** In 3. of Proposition 4.6.2., the upper bound is $||t||_2^{-\mathcal{O}(K)}$.
- **p.121** In equation (5.53), $\varepsilon |\Lambda|$ should be ε (twice).
- p.137 There is some confusion of notations here... To be written!
- **p.143** 7 lines from the bottom: 2D should be D > 2.
- **p.151** In equation (7.26), $m^*(\beta)$ should be $m^*(\beta) |\Lambda_L|$.
- **p.156** In equations (7.46) and (7.47), $1 \mathcal{O}(L^{-K_1})$ should be $\mathcal{O}(L^{-K_1})$.
- **p.164** In equation (7.75), $E_1(m;c)$ should be $E_1(C,\nu,c)$.
- **p.171** In equations (7.112) and (7.113), add a minus sign in the exponent.
- **p.172** Line 7: Add a minus sign in the exponent.
- **p.247** In the second footnote, replace = $\exp\{-\tau_{\sigma}(y-x)\}\$ by $\leq \exp\{-\tau_{\sigma}(y-x)\}$.
- **p.272** In the proof of Lemma B.1.3, only the second part of the claim is proved; the existence of the convex body is proved in Lemma B.1.8.
- **p.275** In the statement of Lemma B.1.8 and in equation (B.10), replace $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ by τ .
- **p.276** In equations (B.12) and (B.13), replace $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ by τ .
- p.281 In the second line of the proof, replace "angles" by "corners".
- **Bibliography** The reference [De] is not complete and at the wrong place. It should be Ben Arous, G. and Deuschel, J.-D.,

Several references have the same labels [Ca] and [D].

The reference [Al2] appeared of course in Annals of Probability.