# Nevanlinna Domains with Large Boundaries

## Yurii Belov Saint Petersburg State University

Joint work with Alexander Borichev (Marseille) and Konstantin Fedorovskii (Moscow)

Topics in Geometric Function Theory, 16 February 2018

## Nevanlinna Domains

#### Definition

A bounded simply connected domain  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  is said to be a Nevanlinna domain, if there exist functions  $u, v \in H^{\infty}(G)$  such that the equality

$$\overline{z} = \frac{u(z)}{v(z)},$$

holds on  $\partial G$  a. e. in sense of conformal mappings.

That means that

$$\overline{\varphi(\zeta)} = \frac{u(\varphi(\zeta))}{v(\varphi(\zeta))}$$
, a.e. on  $\mathbb T$ 

for some(any) conformal mapping  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \mapsto \mathbf{G}$ .

#### Question

How large can be (accessible) boundary of Nevanlinna domain?



## Nevanlinna Domains

#### Definition

A bounded simply connected domain  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  is said to be a Nevanlinna domain, if there exist functions  $u, v \in H^{\infty}(G)$  such that the equality

$$\overline{z} = \frac{u(z)}{v(z)},$$

holds on  $\partial G$  a. e. in sense of conformal mappings.

That means that

$$\overline{\varphi(\zeta)} = \frac{u(\varphi(\zeta))}{v(\varphi(\zeta))}$$
, a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ 

for some(any) conformal mapping  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \mapsto G$ .

#### Question

How large can be (accessible) boundary of Nevanlinna domain?



# Examples

Nevanlinna domains: unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ , Neumann's oval (image of ellipse with center at origin under  $z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}$ ).

Non- Nevanlinna domains: ellipse, polygon.

$$G_{a,b} = \left\{ z = x + iy : \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} < 1 \right\}, \qquad b < a.$$

Boundary of  $G_{a,b}$ 

$$\overline{z} = S_{a,b}(z), \qquad S_{a,b}(z) = rac{(a^2+b^2)z - 2ab\sqrt{z^2-c^2}}{c^2},$$
  $c = \sqrt{a^2-b^2}.$ 



## Motivations

- Polyanalytic approximation
- Quadratutre domains. Schwarz functions;
- Univalent functions in model subspaces of Hardy space

#### Definition

We will say that function f is n-analytic if

$$f(z) = \overline{z}^{n-1} f_{n-1}(z) + ... + \overline{z} f_1(z) + f_0(z),$$

where  $f_k$  are holomorphic functions.

X - compact subset of  $\mathbb C$ 

$$\mathcal{A}_n(X) := \{ f \in C(X) : f - \text{n-analytic in } \mathsf{Int}\, X \},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_n(X) = \operatorname{Clos}_{C(X)}\{P : P - \text{n-analytic polynomial}\}.$$

#### Question

For which X

$$\mathcal{P}_n(X) = \mathcal{A}_n(X)$$
?



#### Definition

We will say that function f is n-analytic if

$$f(z) = \overline{z}^{n-1} f_{n-1}(z) + ... + \overline{z} f_1(z) + f_0(z),$$

where  $f_k$  are holomorphic functions.

X - compact subset of  $\mathbb C$ 

$$A_n(X) := \{ f \in C(X) : f - \text{n-analytic in } Int X \},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_n(X) = \operatorname{Clos}_{\mathcal{C}(X)}\{P : P - \text{n-analytic polynomial}\}.$$

### Question

For which X

$$\mathcal{P}_n(X) = \mathcal{A}_n(X)$$
?



### Theorem (Mergelyan 1952)

 $\mathcal{P}_1(X) = \mathcal{A}_1(X)$  if and only if the set  $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$  is connected.

## Theorem (Carmona 1985)

If  $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$  is connected, then  $\mathcal{P}_m(X) = \mathcal{A}_m(X)$  for any  $m \geq 2$ 

### Theorem (Carmona, Paramonov, Fedorovskiy 2002)

Let X be Caratheodory compact set,  $m \geq 2$ . We have  $\mathcal{P}_m(X) = \mathcal{A}_m(X)$  if and only if every bounded component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$  is not a Nevanlinna domain.

For arbitrary compact X answer may depend on m.



### Theorem (Mergelyan 1952)

 $\mathcal{P}_1(X) = \mathcal{A}_1(X)$  if and only if the set  $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$  is connected.

## Theorem (Carmona 1985)

If  $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$  is connected, then  $\mathcal{P}_m(X) = \mathcal{A}_m(X)$  for any  $m \geq 2$ .

#### Theorem (Carmona, Paramonov, Fedorovskiy 2002)

Let X be Caratheodory compact set,  $m \geq 2$ . We have  $\mathcal{P}_m(X) = \mathcal{A}_m(X)$  if and only if every bounded component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$  is not a Nevanlinna domain.

For arbitrary compact X answer may depend on m.



## Theorem (Mergelyan 1952)

 $\mathcal{P}_1(X) = \mathcal{A}_1(X)$  if and only if the set  $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$  is connected.

## Theorem (Carmona 1985)

If  $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$  is connected, then  $\mathcal{P}_m(X) = \mathcal{A}_m(X)$  for any  $m \geq 2$ .

### Theorem (Carmona, Paramonov, Fedorovskiy 2002)

Let X be Caratheodory compact set,  $m \geq 2$ . We have  $\mathcal{P}_m(X) = \mathcal{A}_m(X)$  if and only if every bounded component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$  is not a Nevanlinna domain.

For arbitrary compact X answer may depend on m.



# Quadrature domains

#### Definition

A bounded domain  $\Omega$  is a classical quadrature domain if there exists a finite set of points  $\{z_k\} \subset \Omega$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f(z) dx dy = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n_j-1} a_{js} f^{(s)}(z_j)$$

for every summable analytic function f.

Every quadrature domain is a Nevanlinna domain. Moreover nodes correspond to the poles of function u/v



Any boundary of quadrature domain (even in wide sense)  $\Omega$  admits a one-sided Schwarz function

$$\overline{z} = S(z) \text{ on } \partial\Omega, S \in C(\overline{\Omega}), S - \text{ analytic in } \Omega \setminus K.$$

#### Theorem (Sakai 1991)

If  $\Omega$  admits a one-sided Schwarz function, then  $\partial\Omega$  consists of finitely many analytic curves.

The function u/v seems to be a rather weak generalization of the concept of a one-sided Schwarz function, since we are dealing with the equality of angular boundary values only for almost all points on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

# Model subspaces of Hardy space

#### Pseudocontinuation

A domain G is a Nevanlinna domain if and only if a conformal mapping f of the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  onto G admits a Nevanlinna-type pseudocontinuation, so that there exist two functions  $f_1, f_2 \in H^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}})$  such that  $f(\zeta) = f_1(\zeta)/f_2(\zeta)$  for a.e.  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Model subspaces of Hardy space,  $\Theta$ -inner functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ 

$$K_{\Theta} := (\Theta H^2)^{\perp} = H^2 \ominus \Theta H^2.$$

#### ${ m Parametrization}$

Let G be a bounded simply connected domain and let f be some conformal mapping from  $\mathbb{D}$  onto G. If G is a Nevanlinna domain, then there exists an inner function  $\Theta$  such that  $f \in K_{\Theta}$ . Reciprocally, if  $\Theta$  is an inner function, then any bounded univalent function from the space  $K_{\Theta}$  maps  $\mathbb{D}$  conformally onto some Nevanlinna domain.

# Model subspaces of Hardy space

#### Pseudocontinuation

A domain G is a Nevanlinna domain if and only if a conformal mapping f of the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  onto G admits a Nevanlinna-type pseudocontinuation, so that there exist two functions  $f_1, f_2 \in H^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}})$  such that  $f(\zeta) = f_1(\zeta)/f_2(\zeta)$  for a.e.  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Model subspaces of Hardy space,  $\Theta$ -inner functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ ,

$$K_{\Theta} := (\Theta H^2)^{\perp} = H^2 \ominus \Theta H^2.$$

#### Parametrization

Let G be a bounded simply connected domain and let f be some conformal mapping from  $\mathbb{D}$  onto G. If G is a Nevanlinna domain, then there exists an inner function  $\Theta$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\Theta}$ . Reciprocally, if  $\Theta$  is an inner function, then any bounded univalent function from the space  $\mathcal{K}_{\Theta}$  maps  $\mathbb{D}$  conformally onto some Nevanlinna domain.

# Model subspaces of Hardy space

Factorization of  $\Theta$ .

$$\Theta(z) = \alpha B(z)S(z), \quad B(z) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{a_n}}{a_n} \cdot \frac{z - a_n}{\overline{a_n}z - 1},$$
$$S(z) = \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} d\mu_S(\zeta)\right).$$

## Fedorovkiy, B.

Let  $\Theta$  be an inner function in  $\mathbb{D}$ . The space  $\mathcal{K}_{\Theta}$  contains a bounded univalent functions if and only if one of the following two conditions satisfied:

- i)  $\Theta$  has zero in  $\mathbb{D}$ :
- ii)  $\Theta = S$  is a singular inner function and measure  $\mu_S$  is such that  $\mu_S(E) > 0$  for some Carleson set  $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ , which means that  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \operatorname{dist}(\zeta, E) d\zeta > -\infty$ .



Let  $\Theta$  be a Blashke product. If  $f \in K_{\Theta}$ , then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{1 - \overline{a_n} z}.$$
 (1)

Almost unrectifiable boundary.

#### Theorem (Fedorovskiy 2006)

For any  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  there exists a Nevanlinna domain with boundary in the class  $C^1$  but not in the class  $C^{1,\alpha}$ .

#### Theorem (Baranov, Fedorovskiy 2011)

There exits an univalent (in  $\mathbb{D}$ ) function f of the form (1) such that  $f' \notin H^p$  for every p > 1.



Let  $\Theta$  be a Blashke product. If  $f \in K_{\Theta}$ , then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{1 - \overline{a_n} z}.$$
 (1)

Almost unrectifiable boundary.

#### Theorem (Fedorovskiy 2006)

For any  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  there exists a Nevanlinna domain with boundary in the class  $C^1$  but not in the class  $C^{1,\alpha}$ .

#### Theorem (Baranov, Fedorovskiy 2011)

There exits an univalent (in  $\mathbb{D}$ ) function f of the form (1) such that  $f' \notin H^p$  for every p > 1.



Hedgehog domains.

#### Theorem (Mazalov 2015)

There exists a Nevanlinna domain with unrectifiable boundary.

### Theorem (Mazalov 2017)

There exists a Nevanlinna domain G such that

$$\dim_H(\partial G) = \log_2 3.$$

For a given bounded simply connected domain G let us define the set  $\partial_a G \subset \partial G$ , which consists of all points of  $\partial G$  being accessible from G by some curve.

#### Question

How large can be accessible boundary of Nevanlinna domain?



Hedgehog domains.

#### Theorem (Mazalov 2015)

There exists a Nevanlinna domain with unrectifiable boundary.

#### Theorem (Mazalov 2017)

There exists a Nevanlinna domain G such that

$$\dim_H(\partial G) = \log_2 3.$$

For a given bounded simply connected domain G let us define the set  $\partial_a G \subset \partial G$ , which consists of all points of  $\partial G$  being accessible from G by some curve.

#### Question

How large can be accessible boundary of Nevanlinna domain?



### Main results

Hedgehog with needles on needles.

## Theorem (Borichev, Fedorovskiy, B. 2018)

For each  $\beta \in [1,2]$  there exists a Nevanlinna domain G such that  $\dim_H(\partial_a G) = \beta$ . This domain has the form  $G = f(\mathbb{D})$ , where f is some function of the form (1) univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Univalent functions from Bernstein class (correponds to the case when  $\mu_{\mathcal{S}} = \delta_1$ ).

#### Theorem (Borichev, Fedorovskiy, B. 2018)

For each  $\beta \in [1,2]$  there exists a Nevanlinna domain G such that  $\dim_H(\partial G) = \beta$  and  $G = f(\mathbb{C}^+)$ , where f is some univalent function from Bernstein class  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ .



### Main results

Hedgehog with needles on needles.

### Theorem (Borichev, Fedorovskiy, B. 2018)

For each  $\beta \in [1,2]$  there exists a Nevanlinna domain G such that  $\dim_H(\partial_a G) = \beta$ . This domain has the form  $G = f(\mathbb{D})$ , where f is some function of the form (1) univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Univalent functions from Bernstein class (correponds to the case when  $\mu_{\mathcal{S}} = \delta_1$ ).

#### Theorem (Borichev, Fedorovskiy, B. 2018)

For each  $\beta \in [1,2]$  there exists a Nevanlinna domain G such that  $\dim_H(\partial G) = \beta$  and  $G = f(\mathbb{C}^+)$ , where f is some univalent function from Bernstein class  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ .



Let  $\mathcal{RU}_n$  be a set of all rational functions of degree n which is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ . We know that  $R(\mathbb{D})$  is a Nevanlinna domain for  $R \in \mathcal{RU}_n$ .

Let

$$\gamma_0 = \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{R \in \mathcal{RU}_n, ||R||_{\infty} \le 1} \frac{\log \ell(R)}{\log n}, \qquad \ell(R) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |R'(\zeta)| |d\zeta|.$$

### Theorem (Baranov, Fedorovskiy 2013)

$$B_b(1) < \gamma_0 \le 1/2$$
.

 $B_b(1)$  is the integral means spectrum for bounded univalent functions. It is known that (Smirnov, Belyaev, Shimorin, Hedenmalm)

$$0,23 < B_b(1) \le 0,46$$



Let  $\mathcal{RU}_n$  be a set of all rational functions of degree n which is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ . We know that  $R(\mathbb{D})$  is a Nevanlinna domain for  $R \in \mathcal{RU}_n$ .

Let

$$\gamma_0 = \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{R \in \mathcal{RU}_n, \|R\|_{\infty} \le 1} \frac{\log \ell(R)}{\log n}, \qquad \ell(R) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |R'(\zeta)| |d\zeta|.$$

#### Theorem (Baranov, Fedorovskiy 2013)

$$B_b(1) < \gamma_0 \le 1/2$$
.

 $B_b(1)$  is the integral means spectrum for bounded univalent functions. It is known that (Smirnov, Belyaev, Shimorin, Hedenmalm)

$$0,23 < B_b(1) \le 0,46.$$



Snake domain

## Theorem (Borichev, Fedorovskiy, B. 2018)

For every  $R \in \mathcal{RU}_n$ ,  $||R||_{\infty} \leq 1$  we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{6\pi} \le \ell(R) \le 6\pi\sqrt{n}.$$

So,  $\gamma_0 = 1/2$ .

### Theorem (Dolzhenko 1978, Spijker 1991 ( $E = \mathbb{T}$ ))

Let R be a rational function of degree n with poles outside  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . For any measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{T}$  of positive measure the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |R'(\zeta)| |d\zeta| \le n \|R\|_{\infty, E}$$

holds and is sharp.

Snake domain

### Theorem (Borichev, Fedorovskiy, B. 2018)

For every  $R \in \mathcal{RU}_n$ ,  $||R||_{\infty} \leq 1$  we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{6\pi} \le \ell(R) \le 6\pi\sqrt{n}.$$

So,  $\gamma_0 = 1/2$ .

## Theorem (Dolzhenko 1978, Spijker 1991 ( $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} = \mathbb{T}$ ))

Let R be a rational function of degree n with poles outside  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . For any measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{T}$  of positive measure the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |R'(\zeta)| |d\zeta| \le n \|R\|_{\infty, E}$$

holds and is sharp.

# Idea of the proof of some results

Put  $\varepsilon = 10^{-9}$ . Let  $\{w_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a bounded sequence. Put

$$a_n = w_{n+1} - w_n, \qquad Q_n^{\pm} = conv\{w_n, w_{n+1}, w_n \pm 2ia_n, w_{n+1} \pm 2ia_n\},$$

$$T_n^{\pm} = conv\{w_{n+1}, w_{n+1} \pm 2ia_{n+1}, w_{n+1} \pm 2ia_{n+1}\}.$$

We will assume that  $|w_n| < 1$ ,  $w_0 = 0$ ,

$$1 - \varepsilon < |a_{n+1}|/|a_n| < 1 + \varepsilon, \qquad |\arg a_{n+1}\overline{a_n}| \le \varepsilon,$$

$$Q_n^{\pm} \cap Q_m^{\pm} = \emptyset, Q_n^{\pm} \cap T_m^{\pm} = \emptyset \text{ for } |n-m| > 1.$$

Put

$$L = \bigcup_n [w_n, w_{n+1}], \qquad \Omega_L = \bigcup_n Q_n^{\pm} \cup T_n^{\pm}.$$

There exists a meromorphic function f which is univalent in  $\mathbb{C}^+$  and  $L \subset f(\mathbb{C}^+) \subset \Omega_I$ .

