A Schwarz Method for the Magnetotelluric Approximation of Maxwell's equations

Fabrizio Donzelli, Martin J. Gander and Ronald D. Haynes

1 Introduction

Maxwell's equations can be used to model the propagation of electro-magnetic waves in the subsurface of the Earth. The interaction of such waves with the material in the subsurface produces response waves, which carry information about the physical properties of the Earth's subsurface, and their measurement allows geophysicists to detect the presence of mineral or oil deposits. Since such deposits are often found to be invariant with respect to one direction parallel to the Earth's surface, the model can be reduced to a two dimensional complex partial differential equation. Following [20], the magnetotelluric approximation is derived from the full 3D Maxwell's equations,

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \nabla \times E = 0, \quad -\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \nabla \times H = J, \tag{1}$$

in the quasi-static (i.e. long wavelength, low frequency) regime, which implies that $\frac{\partial D}{\partial t}$ in (1) is neglected. Assuming a time dependence of the form $e^{i\omega t}$, where ω is the pulsation of the wave, using Ohm's law, $J = \sigma E + J^e$, where J^e denotes some exterior current source, and the constitutive relation $B = \mu H$ where μ is the permeability of free space, we obtain

$$\nabla \times E = -i\omega\mu H, \quad \nabla \times H = \sigma E + J^e. \tag{2}$$

Assuming the plane-wave source of magnetotellurics, and a two-dimensional Earth structure such that $\sigma = \sigma(x, z)$, the electric and magnetic fields can be decomposed

Fabrizio Donzelli
Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada, e-mail: fdonzelli@mun.ca

Martin J. Gander
University of Geneva, Switzerland, e-mail: martin.gander@unige.ch

Ronald D. Haynes
Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada, e-mail: rhaynes@mun.ca

into two independent modes. For the TM-, or H-polarization, mode, we have $E = (E_x, 0, E_z)$ and $H = (0, H_y, 0)$. Hence the first vector valued equation in (2) becomes a scalar equation,

$$\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x} = -i\omega\mu H_y, \tag{3}$$

and the second vector valued equation in (2) gives two scalar equations,

$$-\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial z} = \sigma E_x + J_x^e \quad \text{or} \quad E_x = -\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial z} - \frac{J_x^e}{\sigma}, \tag{4}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} = \sigma E_z + J_z^e \quad \text{or} \quad E_z = \frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} - \frac{J_z^e}{\sigma}. \tag{5}$$

Substituting (4) and (5) into (3) thus leads to a scalar equation for H_y,

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial z}\right\} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x}\right\} + i\omega\mu H_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left\{\frac{J_x^e}{\sigma}\right\} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left\{\frac{J_z^e}{\sigma}\right\}. \tag{6}$$

In geophysical applications the coefficient of conductivity σ is in general a non-constant, piece-wise continuous function. We will assume, however, for simplicity that $\sigma \equiv 1$. If we then set $u := H_y$, assume homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions and let $f := -\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left\{\frac{J_x^e}{\sigma}\right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left\{\frac{J_z^e}{\sigma}\right\}$, we obtain the magnetotelluric approximation of the Maxwell equations (cf. equation (2.86) in [20])

$$\Delta u - i\omega u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{7}$$

We further assume for simplicity that Ω is a domain with smooth boundary and that $f \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$. The pulsation ω is assumed to be real and non-zero. Note that the solution u of equation (7) could also represent a component of the electric field if the model had been derived in an analogous fashion from the TE mode.

We are interested in solving the magnetotelluric approximation (7) using Schwarz methods. The alternating Schwarz method, introduced by H.A. Schwarz in 1869 [18] to prove existence and uniqueness of solutions to Laplace's equation on irregular domains, is the foundational idea of the field of domain decomposition, and has inspired work in both theoretical aspects and applications to all fields of science and engineering, see [9, 4] and references therein for more information about the historical context. Lions [16, 17] reconsidered the problem of the convergence of the method for the Poisson equation on more general configurations of overlapping subdomains. In his second paper [17], he followed the idea of Schwarz and proved convergence of the alternating Schwarz method using the maximum principle for harmonic functions. He also introduced a parallel variant of the Schwarz method, where all subdomain problems are solved simultaneously. Schwarz methods have also been introduced and studied for the original Maxwell equations (1), see [2, 1, 6, 5, 7, 8], in regimes where the maximum principle can not be used to prove convergence. We show here that for the magnetotelluric approximation of Maxwell equations in (7),

Fig. 1 Strongly overlapping subdomain decomposition obtained by enlarging a non-overlapping decomposition, indicated by the dashed lines, by a layer of strictly positive width

which also has complex solutions like the original Maxwell equations, the convergence of the parallel Schwarz method can be proved using a maximum modulus principle satisfied by complex solutions of (7).

2 Well-Posedness, Schwarz Method and Convergence

We start by establishing the well-posedness of the magnetotelluric approximation of the Maxwell equations in (7).

Theorem 1 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Assume that $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and ω is a non-zero constant. Then the boundary value problem (7) has a unique solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, depending continuously on f.*

Proof 1 This result follows from a standard application of the Riesz Representation Theorem and the Lax-Milgram Lemma.   □

We now decompose the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ first into non-overlapping subdomains, and then enlarge each subdomain by a layer of positive width to obtain the overlapping subdomains Ω_j, for $j = 1, ...J$, leading to a strongly overlapping subdomain decomposition of Ω. An example is shown in Figure 1, where the non-overlapping decomposition is indicated by the dashed lines, see also [10]. For such strongly overlapping decompositions, one can define a smooth partition of unity $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^J$ subordinated to the open covering $\{\Omega_j\}_{j=1}^J$, such that the support of χ_j is a set K_j contained in the open subdomain Ω_j for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, J$, see [19, Theorem 15, Chapter 2]. The assumption of a strongly overlapping decomposition is not strictly necessary to use maximum principle arguments, see for example [11, 12], which

contain even accurate convergence estimates, but we make it here since it simplifies the application of the maximum modulus principle (via Corollary 1) for studying Schwarz methods for equations with complex valued solutions. For each Ω_j, we denote by Γ_j the portion of $\partial\Omega_j$ in the interior of Ω.

The parallel Schwarz method for such a multi-subdomain decomposition starts with a global initial guess for the solution of (7), $u_{\text{glob}}^0 \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ (less regularity would also be possible, because of the regularization provided by the equation). If at step n of the parallel Schwarz method the global approximation u_{glob}^n has been constructed, and $u_{\text{glob}}^n \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$, then the iteration produces the next global approximation by solving, for $j = 1, \cdots, J$, the Dirichlet problems

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u_j^{n+1} - i\omega u_j^{n+1} &= f && \text{in } \Omega_j, \\
u_j^{n+1} &= 0 && \text{on } \overline{\Omega}_j \cap \partial\Omega, \\
u_j^{n+1} &= u_{\text{glob}}^n && \text{on } \Gamma_j,
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

and then defining the $(n + 1)^{th}$ global iterate by using the partition of unity,

$$
u_{\text{glob}}^{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \chi_j u_j^{n+1} \; .
\tag{9}
$$

Since the initial guess u_{glob}^0 is smooth, by induction it follows that $u_{\text{glob}}^{n+1} \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$. This fact allows us to use the classical (i.e. non-variational) formulation of the maximum modulus principle.

Definition 1 A real valued function v of class $C^2(\Omega)$ is said to be subharmonic if $\Delta v(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in \Omega$, and strictly subharmonic if $\Delta v(x) > 0, \forall x \in \Omega$.

Note that the above definition is not the most general one, but it is suitable for the purposes of our paper. The property that we will use to prove the convergence of the parallel Schwarz method is the well-known maximum principle, which is the content of the next theorem (see [13], Theorem J-7).

Theorem 2 *Let $v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ be a non-constant subharmonic function. Let $O \subset \Omega$ be a proper open subset. Then v satisfies the strong maximum principle, namely $\max_O v < \max_{\partial\Omega} v$.*

The following corollary contains the key estimate for proving the convergence of the parallel Schwarz method.

Corollary 1 *Let K be a closed subset of Ω. Then there exists a constant $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ such that $\max_K u < \gamma \max_{\partial\Omega} u$, for all non-constant subharmonic functions $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$.*

Proof 2 The result follows as an application of a Lemma originally stated by Schwarz (see [15], pp. 632-635).   □

Since the solution of the magnetotelluric approximation (7) of Maxwell's equation has complex valued solutions, it is not directly possible to use the maximum principle

result in Corollary 1 for proving convergence of the associated Schwarz method (8)-(9). The key additional ingredient is to prove the following property on the modulus of solutions of the magnetotelluric approximation:

Theorem 3 *Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ be a non-zero solution of the homogeneous form of equation* (7). *Then $|u|^2$ is a non-constant subharmonic function.*

Proof 3 Taking the complex conjugate of the partial differential equation (7) with $f = 0$, gives a pair of equations, $\Delta u - i\omega u = 0$ and $\Delta \overline{u} + i\omega \overline{u} = 0$. Hence we can compute

$$\Delta |u|^2 = \Delta(u\overline{u}) = \nabla(\overline{u}\nabla u + u\nabla\overline{u}) = \nabla\overline{u}\nabla u + \overline{u}\Delta u + \nabla u\nabla\overline{u} + u\Delta\overline{u} =$$
$$= 2|\nabla u|^2 + i\omega|u|^2 - i\omega|u|^2 = 2|\nabla u|^2 \geq 0 .$$

Therefore, $|u|^2$ is subharmonic. If $|u|^2$ is constant, the same calculations show that $\nabla u \equiv 0$, which implies that u is a constant solution, hence it must be identically equal to zero, since the equation $\Delta u - i\omega u = 0$ has no constant non-zero solutions. $\qquad \square$

We now prove the convergence of the parallel Schwarz method for the magnetotelluric approximation of Maxwell's equation in the infinity norm, which we denote by $||\cdot||_S$ for any function on a subdomain S.

Theorem 4 *The parallel Schwarz method* (8)-(9) *for the magnetotelluric approximation* (7) *of Maxwell's equations is convergent and satisfies the error estimate*

$$\max_{j=1,\dots,J} ||u - u_j^n||_{\Omega_j} \leq \gamma^n \max_{j=1,\dots,J} ||u - u_j^0||_{\Omega_j}, \qquad (10)$$

where u denotes the global solution of problem (7) *and u_j^n the approximations from the parallel Schwarz method* (8)-(9), *and the constant $\gamma < 1$ comes from Corollary 1.*

Proof 4 For $j = 1, \dots, J$, let $K_j \subset \Omega_j$ be the support of the partition of unity function χ_j, and let $e_j^n := u - u_j^n$ be the error. Then e_j^n is solution of the homogeneous equation $\Delta e_j^n - i\omega e_j^n = 0$, and hence by Theorem 3 its modulus is a subharmonic function, and thus by Theorem 2, the modulus of the error $|e_j^n|$ satisfies the strong maximum principle. We can then estimate on each subdomain Ω_j

$$||e_j^{n+1}||_{\Omega_j} = ||e_j^{n+1}||_{\Gamma_j} = ||\sum_{j'=1}^{J} \chi_{j'} e_{j'}^n||_{\Gamma_j}$$
$$\leq \max_{j'=1,\dots,J} ||e_{j'}^n||_{K_{j'}} \leq \gamma \max_{j'=1,\dots,J} ||e_{j'}^n||_{\Gamma_{j'}} = \gamma \max_{j'=1,\dots,J} ||e_{j'}^n||_{\Omega_{j'}},$$

where $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ is the maximum of the factor introduced in Corollary 1 over all Ω_j and corresponding K_j. Since this holds for all j, we can take the maximum on the left and obtain

$$\max_{j=1,\dots,J} ||e_j^{n+1}||_{\Omega_j} \leq \gamma \max_{j'=1,\dots,J} ||e_{j'}^n||_{\Omega_{j'}},$$

which proves by induction (10). $\qquad \square$

Remark 1 The convergence factor $\gamma < 1$ is not quantified in Theorem 4, since Corollary 1 does not provide a method to estimate the constant γ in the generality of the decomposition we used, but such an estimate is possible for specific decompositions, see for example [11, 12].

Remark 2 In [17], Lions proved the convergence of the classical Schwarz method for the Poisson equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions using a method that does not use the maximum principle. His remarkable proof is based on the method of orthogonal projections, and relies on the fact that the bilinear form associated with the weak formulation of the Poisson equation is an inner product in the solution space $H_0^1(\Omega)$. We do not see how this method can be extended to prove convergence of the classical Schwarz method applied to the magnetotelluric approximation of the Maxwell equations. In our case, the bilinear form associated to the weak formulation of (7) of the global problem is not an inner product, as it fails to be symmetric and positive-definite.

3 Numerical examples

We now present two numerical experiments. The simulations are computed on a domain Ω that consists of two squares Ω_1 and Ω_2, each of unit size 1×1. The discretization for each square consists of a uniform grid of 30×30 points. The overlap is along a vertical strip whose width is specified by the number of grid points, denoted by d.

We first compute the error $e_j^n := u - u_j^n$, as used in the proof of Theorem 4. In Figure 2 we show, from left to right, the modulus of the error on the left subdomain for iteration $n = 1$, $n = 5$ and $n = 15$, for an overlap of $d = 6$ horizontal grid points. We chose $\omega = 1$, and the initial error was produced by generating random values uniformly distributed on the range $[0, 1]$. Note how the modulus of the error clearly satisfies the maximum principle. In Figure 3, we plot the dependence of the interface residual (in the 2-norm) on the iteration number, for three different overlap sizes $d = 2, 4, 6$. As expected, the performance of the algorithm improves as we increase the size of the overlap, since increasing the overlap improves the constant γ in Corollary 1 which is the key quantity governing the convergence of the parallel Schwarz method.

4 Conclusion

We showed in this paper that even though the solutions of the magnetotelluric approximation of Maxwell's equations are complex valued, maximum principle arguments can be used to prove convergence of a parallel Schwarz method. The main new ingredient is a maximum modulus principle which is satisfied by the solutions of the magnetotelluric approximation. In a forthcoming paper, we will analyze the

Fig. 2 Modulus of the error $e_1^n := u - u_1^n$ for $n = 1, 5, 15$ on the left subdomain when using the parallel Schwarz method for solving $\Delta u - i\omega u = 0$. Note how the modulus satisfies the maximum principle.

Fig. 3 Decay of the interface residual (in the 2-norm) as a function of the iteration number when using the parallel Schwarz method for solving $\Delta u - i\omega u = 0$ using different overlap sizes (d denotes the number of grid points in the overlap).

convergence rate of the parallel Schwarz method via Fourier analysis, and we will also introduce more efficient transmission conditions of Robin (or higher-order) type at the interfaces between the subdomains, which leads to optimized Schwarz methods, see [14, 3] and references therein.

References

1. M. E. Bouajaji, V. Dolean, M. J. Gander, and S. Lanteri, *Optimized Schwarz methods for the time-harmonic Maxwell equations with damping*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., **34** (2012), pp. A2048–A2071
2. V. Dolean, M. J. Gander, and L. Gerardo-Giorda, *Optimized Schwarz methods for Maxwell's equations*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., **31** (2009), pp. 2193–2213
3. M. J. Gander, *Optimized Schwarz Methods*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., **44** (2006), pp. 669–731
4. M. J. Gander, G. Wanner, *The Origins of the Alternating Schwarz Method*, Domain Decomposition Methods in Science and Engineering XXI, LNCSE, Springer-Verlag, (2014), pp. 487–496
5. M. El Bouajaji, V. Dolean, M. J. Gander, S. Lanteri and R. Perrussel, *Discontinuous Galerkin Discretizations of Optimized Schwarz Methods for Solving the Time-Harmonic Maxwell's Equations*, ETNA, **44** (2015), pp. 572–592
6. V. Dolean, M. J. Gander, S. Lanteri, J. F. Lee and Z. Peng, *Effective Transmission Conditions for Domain Decomposition Methods applied to the Time-Harmonic Curl-Curl Maxwell's equations*, Journal of Computational Physics, **280** (2015), pp. 232–247
7. V. Dolean, M. J. Gander, E. Veneros, *Schwarz Methods for Second Order Maxwell Equations in 3D with Coefficient Jumps*, Domain Decomposition Methods in Science and Engineering XXII, LNCSE, Springer-Verlag, (2016), pp. 471–479
8. V. Dolean, M. J. Gander, E. Veneros, *Asymptotic Analysis of Optimized Schwarz Methods for Maxwell's Equations with Discontinuous Coefficients*, to appear in M2AN (2018)
9. M. J. Gander, *Schwarz Methods over the Course of Time*, ETNA, **31** (2008), pp. 228–155
10. M. J. Gander, H. Zhao, *Overlapping Schwarz Waveform Relaxation for the Heat Equation in n-Dimensions*, BIT (2002), Vol 42(4), No. 4, pp. 779–795
11. G. Ciaramella, M. J. Gander, *Analysis of the parallel Schwarz method for growing chains of fixed-sized subdomains: part II*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., **56(3)** (2018), pp. 1498–1524
12. G. Ciaramella, M. J. Gander, *Happy 25th anniversary ddm! ... but how fast can the Schwarz method solve your logo?*, Domain Decomposition Methods in Science and Engineering XXV, (2018) submitted
13. R. C. Gunning, H. Rossi, *Analytic functions of several complex variables*, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. Prentice-Hall (1965)
14. C. Japhet, *Méthode de décomposition de domaine et conditions aux limites artificielles en mécanique des fluides*, Ph.D. Thesis (Université Paris 13, 1998)
15. L. V. Kantorovich, V. I. Krylov, *Approximate methods of higher analysis*, Translated from the 3rd Russian edition by C. D. Benster Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York; P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen 1958 xv+681 pp. 65.00
16. P.-L. Lions, *On the Schwarz alternating method I*, in The First international symposium on domain decomposition methods for partial differential equations (Paris, France, 1988), pp. 1-42
17. P.-L. Lions, *On the Schwarz alternating method II: Stochastic interpretation and order properties*, in Domain Decomposition Methods. SIAM (Philadelphia, PA, 1989), pp. 47–70
18. A. H. Schwarz, *Über einige Abbildungsaufgaben*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **70** (1869), pp. 105–120
19. M. Spivak, *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry*, Publish or Perish Inc (Houston, TX, 1999)
20. C. J. Weiss, The two-and three-dimensional forward problems, in ed. Chave, A. D. and Alan. G. J. *The Magnetotelluric Method: Theory and Practice*, (Cambridge University Press, 2012), pp. 303–346