### Interrelations between some ergodic theorems

Anders Karlsson

KTH Stockholm

March 11, 2007

Anders Karlsson (KTH Stockholm) Interrelations between some ergodic theorems

### The ergodic theorem

Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space with μ(Ω) = 1, and L : Ω → Ω a measure preserving map, i.e. μ(L<sup>-1</sup>A) = μ(A) for all measureable A ⊂ Ω. Suppose L is μ-ergodic and let f be an integrable function. It was an important question to know whether

$$\frac{1}{n}\left(f(\omega)+f(L\omega)+\ldots+f(L^{n-1}\omega)\right)\to\int_{\Omega}f(\omega)d\mu(\omega)$$

and in what sense.

## The ergodic theorem

Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space with μ(Ω) = 1, and L : Ω → Ω a measure preserving map, i.e. μ(L<sup>-1</sup>A) = μ(A) for all measureable A ⊂ Ω. Suppose L is μ-ergodic and let f be an integrable function. It was an important question to know whether

$$\frac{1}{n}\left(f(\omega)+f(L\omega)+\ldots+f(L^{n-1}\omega)\right)\to\int_{\Omega}f(\omega)d\mu(\omega)$$

and in what sense.

• In the special case of independence of the terms, this is known as the weak/strong law of large numbers, in probability theory:

$$\frac{1}{n}(X_1+X_2+\ldots+X_n)\to E(X_i),$$

first version of which was proved by J. Bernoulli towards the end of the 17th century. Modern version due to the works of Markov, Chebyshev, Borel, Cantelli, Khintchine, Kolmogorov.

# Part I : On von Neumann's Ergodic Theorem

 Around 1930, Koopman, and somewhat later Weil, suggested to von Neumann that it might be useful for this question to consider the unitary operator U on L<sup>2</sup>(Ω, μ) defined by

$$(Uf)(\omega) = f(L\omega).$$

 Around 1930, Koopman, and somewhat later Weil, suggested to von Neumann that it might be useful for this question to consider the unitary operator U on L<sup>2</sup>(Ω, μ) defined by

$$(Uf)(\omega) = f(L\omega).$$

• The ergodic average then becomes

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}U^k f$$

 Around 1930, Koopman, and somewhat later Weil, suggested to von Neumann that it might be useful for this question to consider the unitary operator U on L<sup>2</sup>(Ω, μ) defined by

$$(Uf)(\omega) = f(L\omega).$$

• The ergodic average then becomes

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}U^kf$$

• Indeed, using the newly developed spectral theory, von Neumann proved in October 1931 that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}U^kf\to\int_\Omega fd\mu,$$

in  $L^2$ . This is known as the von Neumann (mean) ergodic theorem.

 Around 1930, Koopman, and somewhat later Weil, suggested to von Neumann that it might be useful for this question to consider the unitary operator U on L<sup>2</sup>(Ω, μ) defined by

$$(Uf)(\omega) = f(L\omega).$$

• The ergodic average then becomes

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}U^kf$$

• Indeed, using the newly developed spectral theory, von Neumann proved in October 1931 that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}U^kf\to\int_\Omega fd\mu,$$

in L<sup>2</sup>. This is known as the von Neumann (mean) ergodic theorem.
 The theorem holds true for any linear operator U of a Hilbert space, assuming the norm ||U|| ≤ 1 and there are simple\_proofs. (In the space) space (March 11, 2007) 4 / 18

### Another reformulation

One can take one step further and define for any g ∈ H,
 φ(g) := Ug + f. Then φ is an isometry (or merely semicontraction in the case ||U|| ≤ 1).

### Another reformulation

One can take one step further and define for any g ∈ H,
 φ(g) := Ug + f. Then φ is an isometry (or merely semicontraction in the case ||U|| ≤ 1).

• Then

$$\phi^{3}(0) = U(U(U0+f)+f) + f = U^{2}f + Uf + f$$

etc. Hence

$$\phi^n(0)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}U^kf.$$

### Another reformulation

One can take one step further and define for any g ∈ H,
 φ(g) := Ug + f. Then φ is an isometry (or merely semicontraction in the case ||U|| ≤ 1).

Then

$$\phi^3(0) = U(U(U0+f)+f) + f = U^2f + Uf + f$$

etc. Hence

$$\phi^n(0)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}U^kf.$$

• Pazy proved in 1971 that for any semicontraction  $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ , i.e. map  $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\| \le \|x - y\|$ , it holds that there is a vector  $v \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\phi^n(0)\to v$$

in norm. (v is the vector in the closure of all displacements  $\phi(x) - x$  closest to 0).

# Yet another reformulation

• There is a unit speed geodesic  $\gamma(t) = t v / \|v\|$  in  $\mathcal H$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \|\phi^n(0) - nv\| = \frac{1}{n} \|\phi^n(0) - \gamma(n\|v\|)\| \to 0.$$

• There is a unit speed geodesic  $\gamma(t) = t v / \|v\|$  in  $\mathcal H$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \|\phi^n(0) - nv\| = \frac{1}{n} \|\phi^n(0) - \gamma(n\|v\|)\| \to 0.$$

• Suppose  $Z_n(\omega)$  is an integrable ergodic cocycle of semicontractions of a complete metric space X which is Buseman nonpositively curved and uniformly convex (e.g. CAT(0) or uniformly convex Banach space). Then K.-Margulis proved in 1999 that there is a number  $l \ge 0$ and unit speed geodesic ray  $\gamma$  (depending measurably on  $\omega$ ) such that

$$\frac{1}{n}d(Z_n(\omega)x_0,\gamma_{\omega}(nl))\to 0$$

### A corollary: a random mean ergodic theorem

• Let  $f \in L^2(Z, \lambda)$ . Pitt, von Neumann-Ulam, Kakutani considered

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}f(T_{L^k\omega}T_{L^{k-1}\omega}...T_{\omega}z)$$

where the Ts are some collection of m.p.t of  $(Z, \lambda)$  indexed by  $\Omega$ .

### A corollary: a random mean ergodic theorem

• Let  $f \in L^2(Z, \lambda)$ . Pitt, von Neumann-Ulam, Kakutani considered

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}f(T_{L^k\omega}T_{L^{k-1}\omega}...T_{\omega}z)$$

where the Ts are some collection of m.p.t of  $(Z, \lambda)$  indexed by  $\Omega$ .

 This can again be reformulated into unitary operators or into a cocycle of isometries:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}f(T_{L^k\omega}T_{L^{k-1}\omega}...T_{\omega}z)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}U_{\omega}U_{L\omega}...U_{L^k\omega}f=\frac{1}{n}Z_n(\omega)0$$

### A corollary: a random mean ergodic theorem

• Let  $f \in L^2(Z, \lambda)$ . Pitt, von Neumann-Ulam, Kakutani considered

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}f(T_{L^k\omega}T_{L^{k-1}\omega}\dots T_{\omega}z)$$

where the Ts are some collection of m.p.t of  $(Z, \lambda)$  indexed by  $\Omega$ .

 This can again be reformulated into unitary operators or into a cocycle of isometries:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}f(T_{L^{k}\omega}T_{L^{k-1}\omega}...T_{\omega}z) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}U_{\omega}U_{L\omega}...U_{L^{k}\omega}f = \frac{1}{n}Z_{n}(\omega)0$$

• That "the middle expression" converges was already known: *the random mean ergodic theorem of Beck-Schwartz* from 1957.

# Another special case: isometries of CAT(0) spaces

#### Corollary

Let  $\phi$  be an isometry of a complete CAT(0)-space and with positive displacement  $\inf_{x \in X} d(\phi(x), x) > 0$ . Then for any x the sequence  $\phi^n(x)$ converges to a point  $\xi \in \partial X$  as  $n \to \infty$  (and which must be fixed by  $\phi$ ).

#### Proof.

• Let  $a_n = d(\phi^n x, x)$ . One has  $a_{n+m} \leq a_n + a_m$ .

#### Corollary

Let  $\phi$  be an isometry of a complete CAT(0)-space and with positive displacement  $\inf_{x \in X} d(\phi(x), x) > 0$ . Then for any x the sequence  $\phi^n(x)$  converges to a point  $\xi \in \partial X$  as  $n \to \infty$  (and which must be fixed by  $\phi$ ).

#### Proof.

• Let  $a_n = d(\phi^n x, x)$ . One has  $a_{n+m} \leq a_n + a_m$ .

It follows that

$$I:=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}a_n=\inf_{x\in X}d(\phi(x),x)>0.$$

#### Corollary

Let  $\phi$  be an isometry of a complete CAT(0)-space and with positive displacement  $\inf_{x \in X} d(\phi(x), x) > 0$ . Then for any x the sequence  $\phi^n(x)$ converges to a point  $\xi \in \partial X$  as  $n \to \infty$  (and which must be fixed by  $\phi$ ).

#### Proof.

• Let 
$$a_n = d(\phi^n x, x)$$
. One has  $a_{n+m} \leq a_n + a_m$ .

It follows that

$$I:=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}a_n=\inf_{x\in X}d(\phi(x),x)>0.$$

• For any sequence  $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$  one can choose  $n_i$  such that

$$a_{n_i}-a_{n_i-k}\geq (I-\varepsilon_i)k$$

for all  $1 \le k < n_i$ . Anders Karlsson (KTH Stockholm) Interrelations between some ergodic theorems

#### Proof.

• Consider the triangles x,  $\phi^{n_i}x$  and  $\phi^{n_j}x$ . With  $\varepsilon_i$  small enough the geodesic segments from x form a "Cauchy sequence". From which we get a limit geodesic ray with the desired property. It is unique.

#### Proof.

Consider the triangles x, φ<sup>n<sub>i</sub>x and φ<sup>n<sub>j</sub>x. With ε<sub>i</sub> small enough the geodesic segments from x form a "Cauchy sequence". From which we get a limit geodesic ray with the desired property. It is unique.
</sup></sup>

• Recall that this in particular gives a proof of the original von Neumann's theorem.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}f(\phi^n(0))=I.$$

 Kohlberg & Neyman 1981: Let X be a Banach space and φ : X → X a semicontraction. Then there exists a linear functional f of norm 1 such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}f(\phi^n(0))=I.$$

 If X is say uniformly convex this implies that φ<sup>n</sup>(0)/n converges in norm. Kohlberg-Neyman also found a counterexample of this latter convergence for general Banach spaces.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}f(\phi^n(0))=I.$$

- If X is say uniformly convex this implies that φ<sup>n</sup>(0)/n converges in norm. Kohlberg-Neyman also found a counterexample of this latter convergence for general Banach spaces.
- I proved a few years ago that this theorem also holds more generally for a cocycle  $Z_n(\omega)$  of semicontractions of a *reflexive* Banach spaces.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}f(\phi^n(0))=I.$$

- If X is say uniformly convex this implies that φ<sup>n</sup>(0)/n converges in norm. Kohlberg-Neyman also found a counterexample of this latter convergence for general Banach spaces.
- I proved a few years ago that this theorem also holds more generally for a cocycle Z<sub>n</sub>(ω) of semicontractions of a *reflexive* Banach spaces.
- Conjecture: reflexiveness can be removed. (This would have implications for ergodic cocycles taking values in C\*-algebras)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}f(\phi^n(0))=I.$$

- If X is say uniformly convex this implies that φ<sup>n</sup>(0)/n converges in norm. Kohlberg-Neyman also found a counterexample of this latter convergence for general Banach spaces.
- I proved a few years ago that this theorem also holds more generally for a cocycle  $Z_n(\omega)$  of semicontractions of a *reflexive* Banach spaces.
- Conjecture: reflexiveness can be removed. (This would have implications for ergodic cocycles taking values in C\*-algebras)
- Question?: Let  $\phi$  be a semicontraction of a Hilbert space. Then is there a linear functional f such that  $f(\phi^n(0)) \ge 0$  for all n > 0? (In finite dimensions this was proved by Beardon).

# Part II : On Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem

# Birkhoff's ergodic theorem

Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space with μ(Ω) = 1, and L : Ω → Ω a measure preserving map, i.e. μ(L<sup>-1</sup>A) = μ(A) for all measureable A ⊂ Ω. Suppose L is μ-ergodic.

# Birkhoff's ergodic theorem

- Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space with μ(Ω) = 1, and L : Ω → Ω a measure preserving map, i.e. μ(L<sup>-1</sup>A) = μ(A) for all measureable A ⊂ Ω. Suppose L is μ-ergodic.
- In October 1931 von Neumann communicated his ergodic theorem to George D. Birkhoff. In November Birkhoff could present a proof that for any integrable function f that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}f(L^k\omega)\to\int_\Omega f(\omega)d\mu(\omega) \text{ for }\mu\text{-a.e. }\omega.$$

This is known as the Birkhoff (pointwise) ergodic theorem.

- Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space with μ(Ω) = 1, and L : Ω → Ω a measure preserving map, i.e. μ(L<sup>-1</sup>A) = μ(A) for all measureable A ⊂ Ω. Suppose L is μ-ergodic.
- In October 1931 von Neumann communicated his ergodic theorem to George D. Birkhoff. In November Birkhoff could present a proof that for any integrable function f that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}f(L^k\omega)\to\int_\Omega f(\omega)d\mu(\omega) \text{ for }\mu\text{-a.e. }\omega.$$

This is known as the Birkhoff (pointwise) ergodic theorem.

 This appeared in Proc N.A.S. in December 1931 while von Neumann's paper appeared in Proc N.A.S. in January1932.

# Recall a few theorems extending Birkhoff

Oseledec multiplicative ergodic theorem, mid 1960s  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ ; Kaimanovich, mid 1980s, symmetric spaces of nonpositive curvature, Gromov hyperbolic spaces; K.-Margulis 1999.

#### Theorem (K.-Ledrappier, 2006)

Let  $Z_n(\omega)$  be an integrable ergodic cocycle taking values in a group G which acts by isometry on a proper metric space X. Then for a.e.  $\omega$  there is a horofunction  $h_{\omega} \in \partial X$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}-\frac{1}{n}h_{\omega}(Z_n(\omega)x_0)=I=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}d(Z_n(\omega)x_0,x_0).$$

• Birkhoff is the case  $G = \mathbb{R}$  acting by translation on  $(X, d) = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$ ,  $x_0 = 0$ . The horofunctions  $\partial(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|) = \{h_{+\infty}(x) = -x, h_{-\infty}(x) = x\}$ . Moreover,  $Z_n(\omega) = f(\omega) + f(L\omega) + ... + f(L^{n-1}\omega)$ and  $I = |\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) d\mu|$ .

Aarch 11, 200

$$\lim_{\omega \to \infty} \pm \frac{1}{2} \left( f(\omega) + f(L\omega) + \dots + f(L^{n-1}\omega) \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( f(\omega) + \dots + f(L^{n-1}\omega) \right) d\omega$$

Anders Karlsson (KTH Stockholm) Interrelations between some ergodic theorems

• Buseman: Let  $\gamma$  be a geodesic ray from  $x_0$  in a metric space X. Then

$$h_{\gamma}(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\gamma(n), y) - d(\gamma(n), x_0)$$

is a point in the geometric boundary  $\partial X$  (defined by Gromov around 1980), which was the new points of the closure of the image of X under

$$x \mapsto d(x, \cdot) - d(x, x_0) \in C(X).$$

• Buseman: Let  $\gamma$  be a geodesic ray from  $x_0$  in a metric space X. Then

$$h_{\gamma}(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\gamma(n), y) - d(\gamma(n), x_0)$$

is a point in the geometric boundary  $\partial X$  (defined by Gromov around 1980), which was the new points of the closure of the image of X under

$$x \mapsto d(x, \cdot) - d(x, x_0) \in C(X).$$

• When does every  $h \in \partial X$  arise in this way?

• Buseman: Let  $\gamma$  be a geodesic ray from  $x_0$  in a metric space X. Then

$$h_{\gamma}(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\gamma(n), y) - d(\gamma(n), x_0)$$

is a point in the geometric boundary  $\partial X$  (defined by Gromov around 1980), which was the new points of the closure of the image of X under

$$x \mapsto d(x, \cdot) - d(x, x_0) \in C(X).$$

- When does every  $h \in \partial X$  arise in this way?
- Definition? A complete metric space is reflexive if

 $\partial_{rays} X \cong \partial X.$ 

Ok if X is UC & US. E.g. CAT(0)-spaces.

 Another application of the K-Margulis/K-Ledrappier theorem, in view of Kaimanovich's ray criterion for the identification of Poisson boundaries:

- Another application of the K-Margulis/K-Ledrappier theorem, in view of Kaimanovich's ray criterion for the identification of Poisson boundaries:
- **Theorem:** Let Γ be a finitely generated nonamenable group acting properly on a CAT(0)-space with bounded geometry. Then the lmit set must be uncountable.

• Inspired by a preprint by Francois Ledrappier and Seonhee Lim, Nicolas Monod and I arrived at the following discussion:

- Inspired by a preprint by Francois Ledrappier and Seonhee Lim, Nicolas Monod and I arrived at the following discussion:
- Recall Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund: Let X<sub>i</sub> be an i.i.d. sequence and 0

$$E\left[X_i^p
ight] < \infty \iff rac{1}{n^{1/p}}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}X_k o 0 ext{ as } n o \infty.$$

- Inspired by a preprint by Francois Ledrappier and Seonhee Lim, Nicolas Monod and I arrived at the following discussion:
- Recall Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund: Let X<sub>i</sub> be an i.i.d. sequence and 0

$$E\left[X_{i}^{p}
ight] < \infty \iff rac{1}{n^{1/p}}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}X_{k} 
ightarrow 0 ext{ as } n 
ightarrow \infty.$$

"⇐=" is not true in the ergodic setting. "⇒" Sawyer in the 1960s.

- Inspired by a preprint by Francois Ledrappier and Seonhee Lim, Nicolas Monod and I arrived at the following discussion:
- Recall Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund: Let X<sub>i</sub> be an i.i.d. sequence and 0

$$E\left[X_{i}^{p}
ight]<\infty \ \Leftrightarrow rac{1}{n^{1/p}}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}X_{k}
ightarrow 0 ext{ as } n
ightarrow\infty.$$

- " $\Leftarrow$ " is not true in the ergodic setting. " $\Longrightarrow$ " Sawyer in the 1960s.
- Corollary (Aaronson) Let L be a positive function such that L(0) = 0,  $L(t) \to \infty$ , L is subadditive and  $L(t)/t \to 0$ . If f is a measurable function  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} L(|f|) d\mu < \infty, \text{ then } \frac{1}{n} L\left(\left|\sum f(L^k \omega)\right|\right) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

• Corollary (Aaronson) Let L be a positive function such that L(0) = 0,  $L(t) \to \infty$ , L is subadditive and  $L(t)/t \to 0$ . If f is a measurable function  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} L(|f|) d\mu < \infty, \text{ then } \frac{1}{n} L\left(\left|\sum f(L^k \omega)\right|\right) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

• Corollary (Aaronson) Let L be a positive function such that L(0) = 0,  $L(t) \rightarrow \infty$ , L is subadditive and  $L(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ . If f is a measurable function  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} L(|f|) d\mu < \infty, \text{ then } \frac{1}{n} L\left(\left|\sum f(L^k \omega)\right|\right) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

 Our proof: The space (ℝ, L(|·|)) is a translation invariant proper metric space. From L(t)/t → ∞, it follows that the geometric boundary ∂(ℝ, L(|·|)) = {h ≡ 0}. K-Ledrappier then clearly implies that I = 0.QED.

• Corollary (Aaronson) Let L be a positive function such that L(0) = 0,  $L(t) \rightarrow \infty$ , L is subadditive and  $L(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ . If f is a measurable function  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} L(|f|) d\mu < \infty, \text{ then } \frac{1}{n} L\left(\left|\sum f(L^k \omega)\right|\right) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

- Our proof: The space (ℝ, L(|·|)) is a translation invariant proper metric space. From L(t)/t → ∞, it follows that the geometric boundary ∂(ℝ, L(|·|)) = {h ≡ 0}. K-Ledrappier then clearly implies that I = 0.QED.
- Conclusion: changing metric on a familiar space might be useful.

• Corollary (Aaronson) Let L be a positive function such that L(0) = 0,  $L(t) \rightarrow \infty$ , L is subadditive and  $L(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ . If f is a measurable function  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} L(|f|) d\mu < \infty, \text{ then } \frac{1}{n} L\left(\left|\sum f(L^k \omega)\right|\right) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

- Our proof: The space (ℝ, L(|·|)) is a translation invariant proper metric space. From L(t)/t → ∞, it follows that the geometric boundary ∂(ℝ, L(|·|)) = {h ≡ 0}. K-Ledrappier then clearly implies that I = 0.QED.
- Conclusion: changing metric on a familiar space might be useful.
- Question: Does K-Ledrappier give something more than Oseledec in the case of certain subgroups of  $GL_n\mathbb{R}$  by taking advantage of other invariant metrics, e.g. cone metrics?

 Let Γ be a finitely generated group with word metric ||·|| and which acts on a metric space (X, d) (e.g. a Hilbert space). Assume the action is distorted,

$$d(gx_0, x_0) = o(\|g\|)$$

e.g.  $d(gx_0, x_0) \le C ||g||^{1/2}$ . Cf. de Cornulier-Tessera-Valette.

 Let Γ be a finitely generated group with word metric ||·|| and which acts on a metric space (X, d) (e.g. a Hilbert space). Assume the action is distorted,

$$d(gx_0, x_0) = o(\|g\|)$$

e.g.  $d(gx_0, x_0) \leq C \|g\|^{1/2}$ . Cf. de Cornulier-Tessera-Valette.

• Pull back the metric d to  $\Gamma$  via orbit  $\Gamma x_0$ . Distortion implies  $\partial(\Gamma, d) = \{h = 0\}.$ 

 Let Γ be a finitely generated group with word metric ||·|| and which acts on a metric space (X, d) (e.g. a Hilbert space). Assume the action is distorted,

$$d(gx_0, x_0) = o(\|g\|)$$

e.g.  $d(gx_0, x_0) \leq C \|g\|^{1/2}$ . Cf. de Cornulier-Tessera-Valette.

- Pull back the metric d to  $\Gamma$  via orbit  $\Gamma x_0$ . Distortion implies  $\partial(\Gamma, d) = \{h = 0\}.$
- Get that if

$$\int_{\Omega} d(A(\omega)x_0, x_0) d\mu < \infty$$

then

$$I=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}d(Z_nx_0,x_0)=0.$$