Research in very old age: Challenges of studying well-being and its predictors in centenarians
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Overview

• Why study the oldest-old?
• What are specific challenges?
• What could be potential solutions?
• Summary and discussion
Structure

• 13:30 – 14:15 Block 1: Centenarian Findings
• 14:15 – 14:45 Coffee Break
• 14:45 – 15:45 Block 2: Issues with Centenarian Research
• 15:45 – 16:15 General Discussion
Why Study the Oldest-Old?
In the US and other Western countries, life expectancy is increasing continuously.
Expanding Aging U.S. Population

Emergence of the Very Old: Recent Phenomenon

Vaupel, 2010
U.S. Population Aging 65 Years and Older: Oldest-Old Fastest Growing Population

Characteristics Third and Fourth Age

**“Third Age”**
- Active & healthy
- High well-being
- Positive engagement
- Fulfillment of goals
- Generativity

**“Fourth Age”**
- Chronic diseases
- High vulnerability
- Cognitive restrictions
- Loss of social partners
- Lack of positive things

U.S. Population Aging Projections: 800,000 to 1 Million Centenarians in 2040

100 Years of Age As Life Perspective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are ages in years. Baseline data were obtained from the Human Mortality Database and refer to the total population of the respective countries.

Christensen et al., 2009

50% of Children Born after Year 2000 Will Reach Age 100!
Increasing Longevity: Potential Scenarios

- **Expansion of morbidity** (Ernest Gruenberg, 1977, Morton Kramer, 1980): Increase in life expectancy means more frailty + more morbidity. As a result, more need for care + more burden.

- **Compression of morbidity** (James F. Fries, 1980): Increase in life expectancy goes along with better health. Chronic diseases and disability only happen at the very end of the life span (shortly before death).

- **Dynamic equilibrium** (Kenneth Manton, 1982): Number of health and disease-dominated years of life remain the same, despite increase in life expectancy. Both increase.
The Older People Get The More Likely They Are to Remain Healthy (?)
Different Faces of Reaching 100 Years

100 Years

100 Years
Study the Oldest-Old!

- Fastest growing population in most industrialized nations
- Continuous growth in future
- Recent phenomenon, understudied and underserved population
- Many questions at the individual, family and societal level
Centenarian Research: So Far

• Despite rising numbers worldwide, relatively little research
Centenarian Publications 2000-2015

Publications on Centenarians
Centenarian Research: So Far

- Despite rising numbers worldwide, relatively little research
- Most studies focus on demographic development, medical aspects and what may responsible for extreme longevity
Centenarian Research: So Far

• Despite rising numbers worldwide, relatively little research

• Most studies focus on demographic development, medical aspects and what may responsible for extreme longevity
  – Which means: data assessed via registers (e.g., population registries, mortality data bases) or
  – Biological information (e.g., cell level, disease identification via medical doctor or national data bases)
Centenarian Research: Still Missing

- Only few research groups investigate psychological aspects in centenarians
Central Topics In Centenarian Research

Subject Representation in Centenarian Literature

- Demographics
- Longevity
- Mortality
- Life histories
- Events / Daily Hassles
- Biological
- Physical
- Functional / ADL
- Mental
- Well-being
- Healthcare
- Life-style
- Social Dimensions
- Theoretical Models
- Methodological
Centenarian Research: Still Missing

- Only few research groups investigate psychological aspects in centenarians
- Centenarians offer special perspective on psychological resilience and adaptation given their unique situation
Centenarian Research: Still Missing

• Only few research groups investigate psychological aspects in centenarians
• Centenarians offer special perspective on psychological resilience and adaptation given their unique situation
  – Which means: need to assess information from centenarian by self-report!
Overarching Goals of Our Studies

- Allow a differentiated view on very old age
- Create a more positive and more realistic picture of very old age
- Determine cultural and societal characteristics involved in shaping life in very old age
- Identify positive potential for development in old age
Our Centenarian Study Network

- Second Heidelberg Centenarian Study (Jopp, PI; completed)
- Fordham Centenarian Study (Jopp, Rott, Boerner & Kruse; completed)
- Oporto Centenarian Study (Ribeiro, Paul; completed)
Findings From the Heidelberg Centenarian Studies
Heidelberg Centenarian Studies: HD100-I and HD100-II

• Population-based samples (N = 91; N = 95)
• All centenarians (i.e., exactly 100 years old) of catchment area invited
• Same 180 registration offices in both studies
• Two birth cohorts: 1900/1901 and 1911/1912
• No exclusion criteria
• Information from centenarian, proxy and interviewer
All Centenarians Have Health Issues...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Issue</th>
<th>HD100-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vision and/or hearing issues</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other health issues</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incontinence</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart problems</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoporosis</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rott, Jopp, et al. (2013)
High Comorbidity: Average of 5 Conditions

M = 5.02, SD = 2.36, Range = 1-11
Despite Health Problems: Fewer Centenarians Live in Nursing Homes

Jopp et al. (2013)
More Centenarians Today Have No or Little Cognitive Limitations

Jopp et al. (2013)
# Increased Independence in Physical ADL!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>HD100-I</th>
<th>HD100-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eat</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>83%***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting to bathroom on time</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get in and out of bed</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>53%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take care of own appearance</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>51%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dress and undress</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take a bath or shower</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pain Frequency: Over 20% Often & Always!

- Never: 15%
- Seldom: 18%
- Sometimes: 17%
- Often: 13%
- Always: 8%

Jopp, Boerner & Rott (2015)
35% Have Pain Levels Exceeding “Bearable”

Jopp, Boerner & Rott (2015)
After age 100, there are people who are healthy and stay healthy. For most centenarians, health declines 2.0 and 0.8 years prior to death.
Do Centenarians Experience Well-Being?
Most Centenarian Feel Happy

Jopp & Rott (2006)
Life Satisfaction in Centenarians

Over 80% are satisfied with their life!

Jopp et al. (2013)
Life Satisfaction: Age Group Comparison

![Graph showing life satisfaction comparison across age groups. The graph indicates that there is a statistically significant difference (p < .05) between the Young-Old (65-79 Years) and Old-Old (80-95 Years) groups.](image)

Jopp et al. (2013)
Self-Efficacy: Young-Old Have Higher Levels Than Old-Old and Centenarians

Jopp et al. (2014)
Centenarians Have Higher Optimism Than Old-Old!

Jopp et al. (2014)
What Predicts Well-Being At Age 100?
Resources: Only Few Direct Effects In Centenarians
Beliefs: Strong Effect

**Note.** Partial Least Square (PLS) Models.

Jopp & Rott (2006)
Resources Have Indirect Effects on Well-Being Mediated by Self-Efficacy

**Note.** Partial Least Square (PLS) Models.

*Jopp & Rott (2006)*
Meaning in Life Mediates Effect of Extraversion

Note. Path Model; Chi2 = 11.38, df = 10, p = .33; RMSEA = .05 (0.00-.16), GFI = .95, IFI = .97, CFI = .97
Living with Others, Optimistic Outlook and Self-Efficacy Most Important for Life Satisfaction

Unique Variance Explained (%)

- Living with others: 8%
- Self-Efficacy: 6%
- Optimistic Outlook: 10%

Jopp et al. (2014)
Promising perspective!!
Findings From the Fordham Centenarian Study
Fordham Centenarian Study

- Funded by Brookdale Foundation Group and Fordham University
- Total of 119 participants
- Average age 99 (95-107 years)
- Recruitment via NYC Voters’ Registry
- 79% Caucasian, 19% African-American, 1% Other; 3% Hispanic descent
- 78% women
- 75% widowed; 7% married; 8% divorced/separated; 9% never married
- Rather high education level ($M_{\text{years}} = 12.64$)
- Cognitively intact ($M_{\text{short MMSE}} = 16.48$; 21 max possible)
Diverse Cultural Backgrounds
Most Centenarians Live Alone!
Findings: Cognition and Health

Cognitive Status

\[ M = 16.48 \]
\[ SD = 4.03 \]

Number of Diseases

\[ M = 4.84 \]
\[ SD = 2.31 \]

⇒ Rather good cognitive status, but challenged physical health
## Prevalence of Sensory Impairments:
### Dual Sensory Impairment is Common

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self-rated Vision Impairment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self-rated Hearing Impairment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensory Impairment Status</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No impairment</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision impairment only</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing impairment only</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual sensory impairment</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How do Centenarians Compare to Younger Ages (65-94 years)?

- Sensory impairment free was less common (27% vs. 40%)
- Dual sensory impairment was higher (40% vs. 20%)
- Hearing impairment only was less common (16% vs. 22%)
- Vision impairment rates are similar (about 20%)
Dual Sensory Impaired: Highest Depression

Cimarolli & Jopp (2014)
Social Resources

Children

$M = 1.71$

$SD = 1.34$

Social Partner

$M = 2.64$

$SD = 1.21$

⇒ Social resources: so-so...
Centenarians Are Not Depressed

Most show no signs of depressive mood

$M = 4.43$

$SD = 2.84$
Psychological Strengths: Meaning in Life & Will to Live

⇒ Strength levels rather high, but show variation

- Meaning in Life: Moderate Level
- Will to Live: Low Level
What Allows Centenarians To Be Satisfied With Their Age?
Aging Satisfaction: Mean Levels

$M = 1.50$
$SD = .93$

Aging Satisfaction Mean Level (Range: 0-4)

Not at all  Moderately  Very much

A little  Quite a bit
Aging Satisfaction: Mean Levels

$M = 1.50$

$SD = .93$

Aging Satisfaction Mean Level (Range: 0-4)

- Not at all
- Moderately
- Very much
- A little
- Quite a bit
Aging Satisfaction: Mean Levels

$M = 1.50$

$SD = .93$
# Finances and Health Important for Aging Satisfaction

## Sociodemographic resources
- Age, gender, education, married, pay bills: all ns
- Difficulty to pay medication: $-0.30^{**}$

## Health resources
- Cognitive status: $-0.21^*$
- Subjective health: $0.28^{**}$
- Subjective vision: $0.44^{**}$
- Subjective hearing: $0.37^{**}$
- IADL: $-0.31^{**}$
- ADL: $-0.34^{**}$
- Number of disease: $-0.30^{**}$
- Health restrictions: $-0.36^{**}$

*Note.* $* p < .05$. $^{**} p < .01$. 

---

63
Social Resources Less Important for Aging Satisfaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social resources</th>
<th>Aging Satisfaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of children</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency time spent with others</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family seen as often as wanted</td>
<td>.27*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidants</td>
<td>.21+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOS contacts</td>
<td>.23*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. + p < .10. * p < .05. ** p < .01.*
## Personality, Beliefs and Strategy

### More Important

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personality</th>
<th>Aging Satisfaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extraversion</td>
<td>.30**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroticism</td>
<td>−.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beliefs</th>
<th>Aging Satisfaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceived control</td>
<td>.30**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>.27*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive outlook</td>
<td>.39**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meaning in life</td>
<td>.54**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will to live</td>
<td>.37**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coping</th>
<th>Aging Satisfaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proactive coping</td>
<td>.23*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other strategies</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. *p < .05. **p < .01.*
### Combined Regression Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$R^2_{TOT}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined Model</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>.52</strong>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty to pay medication</td>
<td>$- .21*$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective vision</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective hearing</td>
<td>.26*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health restrictions</td>
<td>$- .19, p = .07$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family seen as often as wanted</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraversion</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived control</td>
<td>.15, $p = .14$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meaning in life</td>
<td>.32*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proactive coping</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$.**
Meaning in Life is Most Important For Aging Satisfaction

Unique Variance (%)
Summary I

• Centenarians in both Germany and USA have rather high resources, but are challenged by health issues.

• Most centenarians are not depressed and value their lives.

• Centenarians are active and future oriented.

• Centenarians have high levels of psychological strengths.

• Resources play less of a role for aging satisfaction than expected, but psychological aspects very important.
• Centenarians of more recent cohorts seem healthier and better cognitive intact.
• Increasing number of centenarians does not result in more individuals with more severe limitations!
• Similar finding in other countries (e.g., Denmark) indicate global trend.
• More complex analysis needed to determine psychological mechanisms for well-being.
Part II: Issues in Centenarian Research
Centenarian Research: Potential Complications

• Any thoughts?
Centenarian Research: Complications

• Ethical considerations
  – Highly vulnerable group
  – Can be difficult and timely to get IRB approval

• Recruitment
  – Population-based
  – Volunteers
  – Sample selectivity
  – Access to specific subgroups (e.g., nursing home residents)

• Design
  – Usually cross-sectional
  – Prospective studies: only few may reach 100
  – Longitudinal studies difficult due to participant burden
Centenarian Research: Complications

• Missing comparison group
  – Centenarians are survivors, thus highly selected

• Assessment challenges
  – In-person
  – Adjustment of measures
  – Person-centered assessment
  – Intense interviewer training needed

• Data properties
  – Small samples
  – Biased distributions, non-normal
What Do We Know About Centenarians?

• And how should this translate in our research efforts?
Special Attitude and Attention Needed

• Centenarian share very special moments
  – Are per definition close to their end of life, have limited time left
  – Are valuable source of information, but may not “function“ as do other study participants
  – Need more patience, different study approach
  – May share info in different places across interview, need for flexibility on interviewer end
  – Need to develop sensitivity for exhaustion (physical, emotional)
  – Adjustment of schedule/interview based on capacity
  – Competence/concentration may vary from time to time (short-term variation)
Difficult to Find Enough Centenarians...

- Use statistical means to deal with small sample sizes and non-normal distribution
- Increase sample size to conduct more powerful analysis by:
  - Compare cohorts of centenarians assessed with same measures
  - Combine data sources (International Centenarian Consortium)
  - Create network of parallel studies with shared goals and measures
Important Step: Determine Cognitive Capacity

• Widely used: Mini-Mental State Examination (Folstein et al.)
• Group work:
  – Please have a close look at measure individually
  – Role play: assess MMSE with partner pretending being 100
  – Discuss what could be problematic for a centenarian
Issues MMSE

- Sensory load of items
  - Vision, hearing problems
  - Issues with drawing, bending
  - Lack of age fairness (not considering above health issues)

- Proposal by Holtsberg et al. (1995) to reduce scale to those with low sensory load (Shortened MMSE, max 21 points)
Information Sources

• Centenarian
  – Self-report
  – Potential cognitive (e.g., dementia) and health (e.g., sensory, overall energy) issues

• Proxy respondent
  – Answers questions about centenarian
  – Info is as good as knowledge about the centenarian
  – May have biased view or
  – Objective vs subjective information

• Observer (e.g., interviewer)
  – Standard measures (e.g., Global Deterioration Scale)
HD100 Health Data

- Centenarian
- Proxy respondent
- Observer (e.g., interviewer)
## Health: Comparison Reports From Centenarians and Proxies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Centenarian</th>
<th>Proxy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compared to earlier</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinders activities</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility limitations</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.77*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>9.19***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IADL</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>4.68*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensory issues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues talking on phone</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.73+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues in conversations</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ p < .05. * p < .05. *** p < .001.

Schoenemann-Gieck et al. (2003)
# Predicting Health From Different Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Centenarian</th>
<th>Proxy</th>
<th>Interviewer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive status</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>-.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision problems</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>-.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing problems</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psych health</td>
<td>.63***</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.32**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected $R^2$</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preference of Information Sources

• Centenarian
  – Self-report for well-being, emotions, psychological constructs such as personality
  – Preference for centenarian for all subjective measures

• Proxy respondent
  – Objective aspects (e.g., profession, formal help, ADL/IADL – if involved, number of children)
  – Preference for proxy
  – Some researchers also assess personality
  – Health indicators show differences to centenarian, depends on area

• Observer (e.g., interviewer)
  – Always good to have
  – Training very important
Well-Being and Other Self-Report

• Different approaches
  – Standard questionnaire (e.g., Life satisfaction, Pavot & Diener)
## Life Satisfaction

### Original items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1 = strongly disagree</th>
<th>2 = disagree</th>
<th>3 = slightly disagree</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>7 = strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. In most ways my life is close to my ideal.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The conditions of my life are excellent.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I am satisfied with my life?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. So far I have gotten the important things I wanted in life.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. If I could live my life over, I would change almost nothing.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Well-Being and Other Self-Report

• Different approaches
  – Standard questionnaire (e.g., Life satisfaction, Pavot & Diener)
    • Number of items
    • Complexity of formulation
    • Number of answering options
    • High cognitive load
Well-Being and Other Self-Report

• Different approaches
  – Standard questionnaire (e.g., Life satisfaction, Pavot & Diener)
    • Number of items
    • Complexity of formulations (e.g., double negations)
    • High cognitive load

• Reformulation of statements into questions
• Adjustment/reduction of answering formats
• Unfolding to support answering
• Visual support for answering format
## Life Satisfaction

### Changed items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>0 = not at all</th>
<th>1 = a little</th>
<th>2 = moderately</th>
<th>3 = quite a bit</th>
<th>4 = very much</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. In most ways, is your life close to your ideal?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Do you think that the conditions of your life are excellent?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are you satisfied with your life?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. So far, did you get the most important things you wanted in life?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. If you could live your life over, would you change anything?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Well-Being and Other Self-Report

• Different approaches
  – Standard questionnaire (e.g., Life satisfaction, Pavot & Diener)
    • Number of items
    • Complexity of formulation
    • Number of answering options
    • High cognitive load
  – Open-ended questions
    • Structured, semi-structured, open
    • Training of interviewers
    • Coding of material
  – (non-reactive measures; facial coding etc)
Coding of Open Reports: Centenarians Are Active

- Regular activities:
  - Visit museums, attend lectures
  - Read newspaper daily
  - Volunteer at schools and churches
  - Travel
  - Exercise and practice yoga
  - Sew and knit
Centenarians Have Goals

• Fordham: 84% reported goals they pursue
  – Areas most mentioned: health, family, friends, and leisure
  – Examples:
    “To be happy and make others happy.”
    “Get up everyday and visit neighborhood friends.”
    “I want to watch my family succeed.”
    “Have curiosity to learn new things.”
And Their Goals Do Not Differ Much From Yours...

**Expected Differences and Unexpected Similarities**

**Undergraduate Students vs Centenarians**

![Graph showing expected differences and unexpected similarities between Undergraduates and Centenarians.](Kurant, Parks, Reynolds, & Jopp (2013))
Interview Design

• How to Select Content (i.e., what to ask and what not?) and in Which Sequence?
• Besides the things we really want to assess for theoretical etc purposes, what should be added to the interview to increase responsiveness and enjoyment of the study?
• How can we mix standard questionnaires with open interview parts and tests to keep people going?
• Can we ask centenarians about death and dying – given their closeness to death?
How Do Centenarians See Death and Dying?
Desired Age of Centenarians: Responses Reflect Future Orientation

What age would you like to reach?

- Gives exact desired age
- Generally wants more time
- Still has plans/interests
- Things to complete/pass on
- Feels grateful/no more wants
- Just get through it somehow
- Not much longer

Boch, Jopp, Boerner et al. (2012)
What’s Behind Wanting More Time (Or Not)?

More time

- Ability focus
  - Physical fit
  - Basic ADLs
  - Ability to experience
  - Ability to converse
- Acceptance
  - Death part of life

No more time

- Loss focus
  - Loss of health
  - Loss of social life
  - Vulnerability
- **Negative life conditions**
  - Poor care conditions

Boch, Jopp, Boerner et al. (2012)
End of Life Not Threatening & No Longing for Death

Boch, Jopp, Boerner et al. (2012)
Summary

• Multiple challenges in centenarian research, but doable

• Offers very interesting insights on important questions

• Allows access to centenarians’ knowledge of value to our society

• Will help to address challenge of global aging
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