Byrne, R. M. (1989). Suppressing valid inferences with conditionals. *Cognition*, *31*(1), 61.

Cheng, P. W., & Holyoak, K. J. (1985). Pragmatic reasoning schemas.

COSMIDES, L. (1989). The logic of social exchange: Has natural selection shaped how humans reason? Studies with the Wason selection task. *Cognition*, *31*, 187–276.

Ducrot, O. (1972). Dire et ne pas dire.

Fillenbaum, S. (1971). On coping with ordered and unordered conjunctive sentences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *87*(1), 93–98.

Fillenbaum, S. (1974). Pragmatic normalization: Further results for some conjunctive and disjunctive sentences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *102*(4), 574–578.

Fussell, S. R., & Krauss, R. M. (1989). Understanding friends and strangers: The effects of audience design on message comprehension. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, *19*(6), 509–525.

FUSSELL, S., & KRAUSS, R. (1989). The effects of intended audience on message production and comprehension: reference in a common ground framework. *Journal of experimental social psychology*, *25*(3), 203–219.

Geis, M. L., & Zwicky, A. M. (1971). On invited inferences. *Linguistic inquiry*, *2*(4), 561–566.

Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. *1975*, 41–58.

HENLE, M. (1962). On the relation between logic and thinking. *Psychological review*, *69*, 366.

Hertwig, R., & Gigerenzer, G. (1999). TheConjunction Fallacy'Revisited: How Intelligent Inferences Look Like Reasoning Errors. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, *12*, 275–306.

Horton, W. S., & Keysar, B. (1996). When do speakers take into account common ground? *Cognition*, *59*(1), 91–117.

Keysar, B. (1994). The illusory transparency of intention: Linguistic perspective taking in text.

Cognitive Psychology, 26, 165–165.

Keysar, B., Barr, D. J., Balin, J. A., & Brauner, J. S. (2000). Taking perspective in conversation: The role of mutual knowledge in comprehension. *Psychological Science*, *11*(1), 32.

Kogan, N., & Jordan, T. (1989). Social communication within and between elderly and middleaged cohorts. *Psychological development: Perspectives across the life-span. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers*.

Markman, E. M. (1978). Empirical versus logical solutions to part-whole comparison problems concerning classes and collections. *Child development*, *49*(1), 168–177.

McGarrigle, J., & Donaldson, M. (1975). Conservation accidents. *Cognition*, *3*(4), 341–350.

Mosconi, G., & Macchi, L. (2001). The role of pragmatic rules in the conjunction fallacy. *Mind & Society*, *2*(1), 31–57.

Politzer, G., & Noveck, I. A. (1991). Are conjunction rule violations the result of conversational rule violations? *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, *20*(2), 83–103.

Rumain, B., Connell, J., & Braine, M. D. (1983). Conversational comprehension processes are responsible for reasoning fallacies in children as well as adults: If is not the biconditional. *Developmental Psychology*, *19*(4), 471–481.

SCHOBER, M. (1993). Spatial perspective-taking in conversation. *Cognition*, *47*(1), 1–24.

Schwarz, N., Strack, F., Hilton, D., & Naderer, G. (1991). Base rates, representativeness, and the logic of conversation: The contextual relevance of "irrelevant" information. *Social Cognition*, *9*(1), 67–84.

Sperber, D., Cara, F., & Girotto, V. (1995). Relevance explains the selection task. *Cognition*, *57*, 31–95.

Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (1995). *Relevance: Communication and cognition*. Blackwell Pub.

Topal, J., Gergely, G., Miklosi, A., Erdohegyi, A., & Csibra, G. (2008). Infants' perseverative

search errors are induced by pragmatic misinterpretation. *science*, *321*(5897), 1831.

Van der Henst, J. B., Carles, L., & Sperber, D. (2002). Truthfulness and relevance in telling the time. *Mind and Language*, *17*, 457–466.

Wason, P. C. (1966). Reasoning. *New horizons in psychology*, *1*, 135–151.

Wason, P. C. (1968). Reasoning about a rule. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *20*(3), 273–281.