



## Sheet 1

**Problem 1** (Tensor product). Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field,  $V$  and  $W$  be two  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces. We consider  $B = (b_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $C = (c_j)_{j \in J}$  bases of  $V$  and  $W$ . Let us denote by  $V \otimes_{(B,C)} W$  the  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space spanned by the set  $(b_i, c_j)_{i \in I, j \in J}$  and by  $\phi_{(B,C)} : V \times W \rightarrow V \otimes_{(B,C)} W$  the bilinear map defined by  $\phi_{(B,C)}(b_i, c_j) = (b_i, c_j)$ .

1. Show that if  $B'$  and  $C'$  are other bases for  $V$  and  $W$ , there exists a unique isomorphism of vector spaces  $\psi : V \otimes_{(B,C)} W \rightarrow V \otimes_{(B',C')} W$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & V \otimes_{(B,C)} W \\
 & \nearrow \phi_{(B,C)} & \downarrow \psi \\
 V \times W & & \\
 & \searrow \phi_{(B',C')} & \\
 & & V \otimes_{(B',C')} W
 \end{array}$$

From now on, the symbol  $V \otimes W$  denotes the vector space  $V \otimes_{(B,C)} W$  for some arbitrary but fixed bases  $B$  and  $C$ . If  $(x, y)$  is an element of  $V \times W$ , the symbol  $x \otimes y$  denotes the image of  $(x, y)$  by  $\phi_{(B,C)}$  and is called an *elementary tensor*. In the following we write  $\phi$  instead of  $\phi_{(B,C)}$ . If we want to emphasize the ground field, we might write  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} W$  and  $x \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} y$ .

2. If  $V$  and  $W$  are finite dimensional, what is the dimension of  $V \otimes W$  ?
3. Prove that, for every  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space  $E$  and for every bilinear map  $f$  from  $V \times W$ , there exists a unique linear map  $\tilde{f}$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & V \otimes W \\
 & \nearrow \phi & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\
 V \times W & & \\
 & \searrow f & \\
 & & E
 \end{array}$$

4. Prove that the property given in the previous question determines the pair  $(V \otimes W, \phi)$  up to a unique isomorphism (meaning that if a pair  $(U, \rho)$  satisfies the property, then there exists a unique isomorphism  $\pi$  from  $V \otimes W$  to  $U$  such that  $\phi = \pi \circ \rho$ ).
5. Generalizing the previous questions, define the tensor product of a finite collection of vector spaces.
6. Suppose that  $V$  and  $W$  are finite dimensional, prove that  $W^* \otimes V$  is “canonically” isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(W, V)$ . This means that every linear map from  $W$  to  $V$  can be expressed as a finite linear combination of elementary tensors.
7. If  $V$  is finite dimensional and if  $g$  is an endomorphism of  $V$ , write a formula for the trace of  $g$  tanks to the identification of  $\text{End}(V)$  with  $V^* \otimes V$ .

8. Let  $V_1, V_2, W_1$  and  $W_2$  be four  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces, let  $f_1 : V_1 \rightarrow W_1$  and  $f_2 : V_2 \rightarrow W_2$  two linear maps. Use the question 3 to define a “natural” linear map  $f_1 \otimes f_2 : V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow W_1 \otimes W_2$ . If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are matrices of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  in some bases, describe a matrix representing  $f_1 \otimes f_2$  in some appropriate bases.

**Problem 2** (Group algebra). Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field and  $G$  a group. Let  $\mathbb{K}[G]$  denote the  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with basis  $G$ .

1. Show that the multiplication of the group  $G$  induces a multiplication on  $\mathbb{K}[G]$  making this vector space an (associative)  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra. It is called the group algebra of  $G$ . Is  $\mathbb{K}[G]$  unital? For which groups  $G$  is the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[G]$  commutative?
2. Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let us denote by  $A_n$  the set of matrices with shape

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} & a_n \\ a_n & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & a_1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2 & \dots & \dots & a_n & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  elements of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Prove that  $A_n$  is an algebra isomorphic to a group algebra.

3. We denote by  $\mathbb{K}[X^{\pm 1}]$  the set of Laurent polynomials over  $\mathbb{K}$ . It is defined by the following formula:

$$\mathbb{K}[X^{\pm 1}] = \{f(X) \in \mathbb{K}(X) \mid \exists l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } X^l f(X) \in \mathbb{K}[X]\}$$

Prove that  $\mathbb{K}[X^{\pm 1}]$  is isomorphic to a group algebra.

4. Suppose that  $G$  is finite of order  $n$  and  $\mathbb{K}$  is of characteristic 0. Show that  $\mathbb{K}[G]$  decomposes as a direct sum of an ideal of dimension  $n - 1$  and an ideal of dimension 1.

**Problem 3** (If you have never heard about categories, do not work on this problem). A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is *essentially surjective* if for every object  $W$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ , there exists an object  $U$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $F(U) \simeq W$ .

A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is *faithful* (resp. *fully faithful*) if for every pair of objects  $(U_1, U_2)$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the map  $F : \text{Hom}(U_1, U_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F(U_1), F(U_2))$  is injective (resp. bijective).

A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is an *equivalence of categories* if there exists a functor  $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and two natural isomorphisms  $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow F \circ G$  and  $\theta : G \circ F \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

In this problem, we intend to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is an equivalence of categories if and only if it is fully faithful and essentially surjective.*

1. We first suppose that  $F$  is an equivalence of categories. Prove that  $F$  is essentially surjective.
2. Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be two objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Show that  $\theta$  (we use the notations introduced in the definitions) induces a bijection between  $\text{Hom}(G \circ F(U_1), G \circ F(U_2))$  and  $\text{Hom}(U_1, U_2)$ . Prove that  $F$  is faithful. Prove that  $G$  is faithful.
3. Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be two objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $g : F(U_1) \rightarrow F(U_2)$  a morphism of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Compute  $F(\theta(U_2) \circ G(g) \circ \theta(U_1)^{-1})$ . Prove that  $F$  is fully faithful.
4. We now suppose that  $F$  is essentially surjective and fully faithful. We want to define a functor  $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and two natural isomorphisms  $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow F \circ G$  and  $\theta : G \circ F \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . For every object  $W$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  we choose<sup>1</sup> an object  $G(W)$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $F(G(W))$  is isomorphic to  $W$  and we choose<sup>2</sup> an isomorphism  $\eta(W) : W \rightarrow F(G(W))$ . If  $g$  is a morphism in the category  $\mathcal{D}$ , what is the “natural” definition of  $G(g)$ ? Prove that with this definition,  $G$  is indeed a functor and  $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow F \circ G$  a natural transformation.
5. What is the “natural” definition of  $\theta : G \circ F \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ ? Prove that  $F$  is an equivalence of category.

<sup>1</sup>We use the axiom of choice.

<sup>2</sup>We use it again.