



Sandro Cattacin
Directeur

Présents : Lucio Baccaro, Claudine Burton-Jeangros, Sandro Cattacin, Annik Dubied Losa, André Ducret, Cornelia Hummel, Philippe Viallon, Eric Widmer

Excusés : Mathilde Bourrier, Fabrice Clément, Michel Oris, Uli Windisch

Procès-verbal du conseil des professeurs du mardi 25 août 2009, 16h30-17h30, salle M2020

Points	Thèmes
1	Adoption du procès-verbal et de l'ordre du jour Procès-verbal et ordre du jour adoptés.
2	Restructuration : premiers pas Michel Oris sera affilié à la socio. D'autres professeurs pourraient nous rejoindre. SC sera membre du conseil décanal et travaillera au nouveau règlement facultaire.
3	Enseignement : réforme BA/MA – lignes directrices (LB+MB) SC présente les grandes lignes de réforme facultaire, notamment l'introduction d'une mineur en sociologie dès 2010. Il souligne la nécessité de revoir aussi le mastère dans le sens de partager, avec d'autres master, davantage de cours. LB présente les résultats des premiers travaux de réforme de bachelor. La proposition est discutée. Elle sera adaptée.
4	Thèses : ligne directrice de la procédure (AD) (sera traité la prochaine fois)
5	Thèses soumises : Acceptation ou non du mémoire préliminaire de Steffen Roth (voir annexe)
6	Dates des prochaines réunions corprof et staff *Corprof :* mardi 29 septembre 2009 à 8h30 mardi 10 novembre 2009 à 8h30 mardi 15 décembre 2009 à 8h30 mardi 16 février 2010 à 8h30 mardi 16 mars 2010 à 8h30 mardi 11 mai 2010 à 8h30 mardi 15 juin 2010 à 8h30 mardi 24 août 2010 à 8h30 *Staff :* mercredi 16 septembre 2009 à 16h15 (avec apéro) mercredi 4 novembre 2009 à 16h15 mercredi 16 décembre 2009 à 16h15 (avec fête de Noël) mercredi 17 février 2010 (avec apéro) mercredi 28 avril 2010 mercredi 16 juin 2010 (avec apéro)

SC/sl-01.09.2009

The Markets of Society: A Theory of Robust Innovation

PRÉMEMOIRE

to the attention of

*Prof. Sandro Cattacin
Département de Sociologie
Université de Genève*

submitted by

Steffen Roth

Étudiant libre
Université de Genève
(3070670135)

Research Associate
Center for Management
Bern University of Applied Sciences
Morgartenstrasse 2a, PF 305, CH - 3000 Bern 22

steffen.roth@bfh.ch

t| +41 31 848 34 40 f| +41 31 848 34 01 t| +41 76 242 20 24


The impact of innovations is still been indicated by their impact on the economic market. This is surprise because, at the same time, most innovations have to be defined as the outcome of a pan-societal “triple-helix discourse” which is performed not only by representatives of the industries, but by representatives of governments and of universities, as well.

This paper draws two consequences from this contradiction: On the one hand, we assume the existence of non-economic innovations. And with special regard to the diffusion of these non-economic innovations, on the other hand, we have to take the existence of non-economic markets into account, too.


Based on these assumptions, we adopt the formerly knowledge-based concept of **robustness**: Therefore, robust innovations are defined as innovations which succeed in more than one market. Concerning markets, we distinguish in terms of functional differentiation. Consequently, markets are not longer seen as exclusively economic phenomena: In

fact, we can act on the existence of political markets, scientific markets, religious markets, juridical markets, sports markets, and so on. Given this, robust innovations are defined as innovations which succeed in more than one of the markets of society. To that effect, robust innovations are more profitable. Thus, we suggest, that organizations shall target non-economic markets, too.

The basic structure of the paper consists, first, in an integrative definition of innovation which is distinguishing between invention, change, and diffusion as the distinct dimensions of innovation.



Second, the paper derives the existence of non-economic markets from evidence from Urban Archeology, Economic History, Ethnology, Etymology, Capital and Resource Theory, Research on the Mode-2 of Knowledge Production, and Embeddedness Concepts of Economic Sociology. So, this paper is not about bringing market back in society, but rather about the very opposite: **Bringing Society Back in Market**.




Given this multitude of markets, the paper sketches a research program focused on the analysis of

- How both the terms of trade and the forms of value-creation are varying in these distinct markets, and
- How the distinct values and outcomes of these very markets are charged against each other.

Thus, one of the basic conclusions of this paper is that next generation sociology is to focus on the **Exchange Rates of Society**: How is knowledge (and truth) converted into money? By what means can we convert religious values into power? How is sportive performance converted into money? How do these concrete exchange rates interact and vary over time? And, finally: What do we know about the Market of Society, on which these exchange rates are fixed and negotiated?

Finally we argue that the knowledge of these very exchange rates is an essential prerequisite for the proper understanding and the adequate design of robust innovations, this is for innovations which are not only valuable in terms of sustainable economic profit, but rather are useful for society as a whole, too.




Major problem of the **operationalization** is to find a proper research design for the detection and measurement of the Exchange Rates of Society. In order to investigate the existence and the constant (re-)creation of these exchange rates, the paper focuses on the implementation of virtual business incubators in peripheral regions of Europe¹. The good thing about this focus is that virtual incubators of geographically widespread people need to be IT-based incubators. In other words: Triple-helix negotiation processes which usually take place in terms of more or less informal face to face communication can now be observed in mediated form. Thereby, mediation means storability, and thus, better documentability, too.

In concrete terms, the paper will focus the implementation of **Brainspace** technology (www.brainspace.ch) in the context of virtual business incubation: Brainspace is an IT-based tool which is developed for the support of distributed cognitive systems. The most interesting aspect of Brainspace is that the system arranges all relevant persons according to a certain structure which allows both topic-centered focusing and the best possible diffusion of knowledge. This very effect is achieved by iterative interaction within a polyhedral structure in which each person acts as an edge between two communicative knots; this is, between two discourses which focus on sub-topics of a given principal topic (e.g. enhancing IT-innovation in the Emmental region).

Given our interest in the markets of society, in our context discourses will focus on certain functional aspects of the principal topic: Thus, each discourse will specifically focus on the scientific dimension, the economic, and the political dimension of a given innovation issue. By this means, we both combine an IT-based tool for the support of distributed cognitive systems with the most advanced of the current concepts of innovation regimes and en-

¹ Concerning this the paper benefits from its embeddedness into two international research programs on this very issue.

hance the latter which makes it applicable for distributed & virtual innovation practice, as well.



By this means, the participating persons are involved in two functional discourses, each aiming at the optimization of a certain functional outcome: The Design of the most profitable innovation (economy), the most accepted innovation (politics), the most “objective” innovation (science) ... Thus, the participants will be forced to both perform on specific markets of the society and to correlate their performances to each other.

Given this, on the one hand, it will be most interesting to investigate how individual people deal with the problem of the incommensurability of the distinct values of these very markets: Money cannot be (directly) converted into truth, truth cannot be converted into money, and – even worse – most often these very values are most antagonistic when it comes to moments of decision.

On the other hand, it will be most interesting to analyze collective decision making processes, too: What are the differences and similarities of value creation processes within the markets of society? How will the relative value of these values be estimated in terms of exchange rates between the functional systems? How does a virtual incubator decide whether it is to follow economic, or political, or scientific **guide values** in the case of conflict between these very functional values?

In this sense, the virtual incubator is one of the most ideal research areas for both the analysis of the Exchange Rates between the Markets of Society and the empirical test of a Theory of Robust Innovation.

Literature:

Andersen, N. A. 2002. "Polyphonic Organizations." in Autopoietic Organization Theory, edited by T. Bakken and T. Hernes. Copenhagen.

Arendt, H. 1958. The Human Condition. Chicago.

Baecker, D. 2006. "Markets." Pp. 333-335 in Encyclopedia of Social Theory, edited by A. Harrington, B. L. Marshall, and H.-P. Müller. London.

Barre, Remi. 2001. "The Agora Model of Innovation Systems: S&T Indicators for a Democratic Knowledge Society " Research Evaluation 10:13-18.

Beckert, Jens. 2006. "The Moral Embeddedness of Markets." MPIfG Discussion Paper.
— . 2007. "The Great Transformation of Embeddedness: Karl Polanyi and the New Economic Sociology." MPIfG Discussion Paper.

Beckert, Jens, Rainer Diaz-Bone, and Heiner Ganssmann. 2007. Märkte als soziale Strukturen. Frankfurt/M.

Bourdieu, P. 1983. "Ökonomisches Kapital, kulturelles Kapital, soziales Kapital." Pp. 183-198 in Soziale Ungleichheiten. Soziale Welt, edited by R. Kreckel. Göttingen.
— . 1987. Die Feinen Unterschiede. Frankfurt/M.

Büscher, M., Ninck, A. (2004). 'BrainSpace: a virtual environment for collaboration and innovation', Int. J. Technology Management, Vol. 28, Nos. 7/8, pp. 702–713

David, P. A. 1985. "Clio and the Economics of QWERTY." The American Economic Review 75:332-337.

Etzkowitz, H., and L. Leydesdorff. 2000. "The Dynamics of Innovation: From National Systems and 'Mode 2' to a Triple Helix of University-Industry-Government Relations." Research Policy 29:109-123.

Howland, R. H. 1941. "Some Notes on Markets, Especially Those of Ancient Athens." The Journal of the Society of Architectural Historians 1:16-20.

Humphreys, S.C. 1969. "History, Economics, and Anthropology: The Work of Karl Polanyi." History and Theory 8:165-212.

Kirner, E. 2006. "Innovation in KMU—Der ganzheitliche Innovationsansatz und die Bedeutung von Innovationsroutinen " in Fraunhofer-Institut für System- und Innovationsforschung Karlsruhe. Karlsruhe.

Leydesdorff, L. 2005. "The Triple Helix Model and the Study of Knowledge-Based Innovation Systems." International Journal of Contemporary Sociology 42.

—. 2006. "The Knowledge-Based Economy and the Triple Helix Model." Pp. 42-76 in *Reading the Dynamics of a Knowledge Economy*, edited by W. Dolfsma and L. Soete. Cheltenham.

Liebowitz, S. J., and S. E. Margolis. 1990. "The Fable of the Keys." *Journal of Law and Economics* 33:1-25.

—. 1995. "Path Dependence, Lock-In, and History." *Journal of Law and Economics* 11:205-226.

Luhmann, N. 1984. *Soziale Systeme*. Frankfurt/M.

—. 1988. *Die Wirtschaft der Gesellschaft*. Frankfurt/M.

—. 1997. *Die Gesellschaft der Gesellschaft*. Band 1 und 2. Frankfurt am Main.

Malinowski, B. 1979. *Argonauten des westlichen Pazifiks*. Frankfurt/M.

Matiaske, W. 2003. "Soziales Kapital in ökonomischer Perspektive." in *"Nachhaltigkeit von Arbeit und Rationalisierung"*. Technische Universität Chemnitz.

Mauss, M. 1996. *Die Gabe. Form und Funktion des Austausches in archaischen Gesellschaften*. Frankfurt/Main: Suhrkamp.

Moldaschl, Manfred. 2006. "Innovationsfähigkeit, Zukunftsfähigkeit, Dynamic Capabilities. Moderne Fähigkeitsmystik und eine Alternative." in *Managementforschung 16*, edited by G. Schreyögg and P. Conrad. Wiesbaden.

Nowotny, H., P. Scott, and M. Gibbons. 2004. *Re-Thinking Science, Knowledge and the Public in the Age of Uncertainty*. Weilerwist.

OECD, Eurostat. 2005. "Oslo Manual - Guidelines for Collecting and Interpreting Innovation Data." edited by European Commission. Paris.

Polanyi, Karl. 1963. "Ports of Trade in Early Societies." *The Journal of Economic History* 23:30-45.

Rogers, E. 2003. *Diffusion of innovations*. New York: Free Press.

Rotstein, Abraham. 1970. "Karl Polanyi's Concept of Non-Market Trade." *The Journal of Economic History* 30:117-126.

Stichweh, Rudolf. 1995. "Zur Theorie der Weltgesellschaft." *Soziale Systeme* 1: 29-45.

—. 1997. "Zur Theorie der Weltgesellschaft." *Soziale Systeme* 3:123-136.

Thompson, Homer A. 1954. "The Agora at Athens and the Greek Market Place." *The Journal of the Society of Architectural Historians* 13:9-14.

Ulrich, Peter. 2001. "Wirtschaftsbürgerkunde als Orientierung im politischökonomischen Denken." *Journal für Sozialwissenschaften und ihre Didaktik*.

— . 2005. *Zivilisierte Marktwirtschaft. Eine wirtschaftsethische Orientierung* (2., aktualisierte Taschenbuchausgabe von "Der entzauberte Markt. Eine wirtschaftsethische Orientierung. Freiburg.

Windrum, Paul. 2000. "Back from the Brink: Microsoft and the Strategic Use of Standards in the Browser Wars." Maastricht.

— . 2004. "Leveraging technological externalities in complex technologies: Microsoft's exploitation of standards in the browser wars." *Research Policy* 33: 385-394.

Wycherley, R. E. 1942. "The Ionian Agora." *The Journal of Hellenistic Studies*.62:21-32.