



Evaluation of the Master's work

Date :

Student :

Research group :

Jurors *:

APPRECIATIONS	VERY GOOD	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Practical training				
Personal commitment				
Practical skills				
Autonomy				
Understanding of the techniques/procedures				
Scientific rigor				
Respect for lab rules and interaction with colleagues				

Written report	
Writing of the report	
Structure and logic	
Context and introduction	
Materials and methods	
Presentation of results / quality of figures & legends	
Interpretation and discussion	
Bibliography	

OBSERVATIONS :

Evaluation :	Pass	Fail	Signatures
Practical training :	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Written report :	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

* One of the co-examiners must be external to the candidate's research group.

Date :

Student :

Jurors :

APPRECIATIONS	VERY GOOD	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Oral defense				
Oral and non-verbal expression				
Quality of the slides				
Structure and logic				
Understanding of the subject				
Respect of time				
Answers to questions				

OBSERVATIONS :

Evaluation :

Pass

Fail

Signatures

Oral defense :

☐☐

Overall grade:

Note to be sent before 15 September

Criteria

Practical training

Personnal commitment	Commitment during the internship, motivation, curiosity...
Practical skills	Skills in manipulations in the lab, in the field, etc...
Autonomy	Personal research of bibliography, realization of tasks in autonomy...
Understanding of the techniques/procedures	Ability to understand why and how such experiments are conducted
Scientific rigor	Keeping of laboratory notebook/scripts, ability to follow a protocol rigorously...

Written report (50 pages average, appendices will not be part of the evaluated report)

Report writing	Syntax, spelling, fluency and quality of language (French or English)
Structure and logic	Structure of the presentation, logic and sequence within the text
Context & introduction	Context of the work, introduction to the studied topic.
Material & methods	Presentation of the methods and analyses used during the work
Analysis of the results	Quality of the presentation of the results, figures and legends...
Interpretation & discussion	Understanding of the results, ability to synthesize the results, answers to the initial hypotheses, perspectives...
Bibliography	Citation of sources and relevance of bibliography

Oral defense (35 to 45 min)

Oral & non-verbal expression	Fluidity and quality of language, posture, not reading notes, looking at the audience...
Quality of the slides	Clear layout, text, figures, indication of sources
Structure & logic	Structure of the presentation, logic and sequence of the speech
Understanding of the subject	Ability to understand the subject and the implications
Respect of the time limit	Between 35 and 45 minutes
Answers to questions	Clear answers indicating that the student has understood what he/she did during the internship